# Product of Wiener and Harary Indices of Uniform Hypergraphs

Hongyan Lu<sup>*a,b*</sup>, Zhongxun Zhu<sup>*c,\**</sup>

 <sup>a</sup>School of International Education, Xianda College of Economics and Humanities Shanghai International Studies University, Shanghai 200083, PR China
<sup>b</sup>School of Computer Science, Xijing University, Xi'an 710123, PR China

<sup>c</sup>College of Preparatory Education, South Central Minzu University, Wuhan 430074, PR China lhyy1160163.com, zzxun730mail.scuec.edu.cn

(Received October 25, 2024)

#### Abstract

For a connected graph G, the Wiener index W and the Harary index H are defined as  $W = \sum_{u,v} d(u,v)$  and  $H = \sum_{u,v} 1/d(u,v)$ , respectively. In this paper, the product  $W \cdot H$  is first extended to hypergraphs. We determine the unique k-uniform hypergraphs with maximum, minimum and second minimum  $W \cdot H$ -value, respectively.

## 1 Introduction

Let G be a k-uniform hypergraph with V(G) and E(G), where every edge contains exactly k vertices for an integer  $k \ge 2$ . When k = 2, G is an ordinary graph. The degree d(v) of v is the number of edges of G that contain v. A vertex of degree one is called a pendent vertex. An edge is called a pendent edge if it contains exactly k - 1 vertices of degree one.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

A path P from u to v is a vertex-edge alternative sequence:  $u = u_1, e_1, u_2, e_2, ..., u_s, e_s, u_{s+1} = v$  such that (a)  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_{s+1}$  are distinct vertices; (b) $e_1, e_2, ..., e_s$  are distinct edges; (c)  $u_i, u_{i+1} \in e_i$  for i = 1, 2, ..., s. The integer s is the length of the path. The path P is called a pendant path at  $u_1$ , if  $d(u_1) \ge 2, d(u_i) = 2$  for i = 2, ..., s, d(w) = 1 for  $w \in e_i \setminus \{u_i, u_{i+1}\}$  with  $1 \le i \le s$ , and  $d(u_{s+1}) = 1$ . The distance d(u, v) between two vertices u and v in G is the minimum length of a path which connects u and v. In particular, d(u, u) = 0. The diameter of G is the maximum distance between all vertex pairs of G. The Wiener index W(G) and the Harary index H(G) of a graph G are defined as

$$W(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq v(G)} d(u,v), H(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq v(G)} \frac{1}{d(u,v)}.$$

respectively. The product of Wiener and Harary index is denoted by  $W \cdot H(G)$ .

The Wiener index has a long history since 1947 when Wiener introduced this parameter as the path number [12]. There are rich results for ordinary graphs and hypergraphs on this index [2,3,6,7,10]. For analogous data on Harary index see [4,8,9,11,13]. Recently, Gutman et al. [5] have obtained a lower bound for general connected graphs. Azjargal et al. [1] characterized the respective species with minimum  $W \cdot H$  for all graphs of order n and size m. However, almost no results on the product  $W \cdot H$  of hypergraphs have been obtained. This paper is a new attempt to study on this topic and it is likely to attract more and more attention in the nearest future. In this paper, we attempt to determine the maximum and the minimum  $W \cdot H$ -value for k-uniform hypergraphs.

#### 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some definitions and lemmas, which will be used to prove our main results.

For  $X \subseteq V(G)$ , let G - X be the sub-hypergraph of G obtained by deleting all vertices in X and all edges containing at least one vertex in X. Removing  $v \in e$  from the e is called v-shrinking on e.

For  $X \subseteq V(H)$  with  $X \neq \emptyset$ , let G[X] be the sub-hypergraph induced by X, that is, G[X] has vertex set X and edge set  $\{e \subseteq X : e \in E(G)\}$ .

For positive integers p, q, and a k-uniform hypergraph G, let  $G_u(p,q)$  be the k-uniform hypergraph obtained from G by attaching two pendant paths of length p and q at u, respectively, and  $G_u(p,0)$  be the k-uniform hypergraph obtained from G by attaching a pendant path of length p at u.

We define a function of n-1 variables as following:

$$f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-1}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ix_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{x_i}{i}\right)$$

where  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-1}$  are nonnegative integers.

**Lemma 2.1** [1] Let n and d be given integers such that  $2 \le d \le n-1$ . Then

$$f(\underbrace{x_1, ..., x_{d-1}, x_d}_{d}, 0, ..., 0) > f(\underbrace{x_1, ..., x_{d-1} + x_d}_{d-1}, 0, ..., 0),$$

Let *d* be the diameter of *G*. Suppose that d > 2. Denote by  $p_i$  the number of distinct pairs of vertices whose distance in *G* is exactly *i*. Then the Wiener index *W* and the Harary index *H* are represented as  $W(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} ip_i, H(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{p_i}{i}$ , respectively. In addition, we have

$$W \cdot H = f(\underbrace{p_1, ..., p_{d-1}, p_d}_{d}, 0, ..., 0),$$

**Lemma 2.2** [1] Let  $p_k$  be positive integer such that  $1 \le k \le d$ . Then

$$\begin{split} f(\underbrace{p_1,...,p_{d-1},p_d}_{d},0,...,0) &> & f(\underbrace{p_1,...,p_{d-1}+p_d}_{d-1},0,...,0), \\ &> & \dots > f(p_1,\sum_{i=2}^d p_i,0,...,0). \end{split}$$

For a k-uniform hypergraph G and positive integers s and t, let  $G_u(s, t)$ be the k-uniform hypergraph obtained from G by attaching two pendant paths S of length s and T of length t at u. Let  $G_u^*(s+t)$  be the hypergraph obtained from G by attaching s + t pendant edges.

**Lemma 2.3** Let G be a connected k-uniform hypergraph with  $|E(G)| \ge 1$ ,  $u \in V(G)$ . For integers  $s \ge t \ge 1$ ,  $W \cdot H(G_u(s,t)) > W \cdot H(G_u^*(s+t))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P = (u, e_1, u_1, ..., u_{s-1}, e_s, u_s)$  and  $Q = (u, e'_1, v_1, ..., v_{t-1}, e'_t, v_t)$  be the two pendant paths of  $G_u(s, t)$  at u of lengths s and t, respectively. Let  $G_u^*(s+t)$  be the hypergraph obtained from G by attaching s+t pendant edges at u.

Let  $A = G[V(S) \cup V(T) \cup \{u\}]$  be the sub-hypergraph of  $G_u(s, t)$ , and  $A^* = G^*[V(S) \cup V(T) \cup \{u\}]$  be the sub-hypergraph of  $G^*_u(s + t)$ . Note that

$$W \cdot H_{G_u(s,t)}(G) = W \cdot H_{G_u^*(s+t)}(G)$$

Denote by  $p_i$  the number of distinct pairs of vertices whose distance in A is exactly i. We deduce that  $p_1 = (k-1)(s+t)$ ,  $p_2 = (k-1)^2(s+t-1)$ ,  $p_3 = (k-1)^2(s+t-2)$ , ...,  $p_{d-1} = 2(k-1)^2$ ,  $p_d = (k-1)^2$ .

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=2}^{d} p_i &= (k-1)^2 (s+t-1) + (k-1)^2 (s+t-2) + \ldots + 2(k-1)^2 \\ &+ (k-1)^2 \\ &= (k-1)^2 [s+t-1 + (s+t-2) + \ldots + 2+1] \\ &= (k-1)^2 \binom{s+t}{2} \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have  $f(\underbrace{(k-1)(s+t), (k-1)^2(s+t-1), ..., 2(k-1)^2, (k-1)^2}_{f((k-1)(s+t), (k-1)^2\binom{s+t}{2}, 0, ..., 0)}_d, ..., 0) > f(k-1)(s+t), (k-1)^2\binom{s+t}{2}_d, 0, ..., 0).$ Note that

$$W \cdot H_{G_u(s,t)}(A) > W \cdot H_{G_u^*(s+t)}(A^*)$$

Let d be the diameter of G and v be a vertex in G. Suppose that there

is a path between v and u. Let  $m_i$  be the number of paths between u and v with distance i, for  $1 \le i \le d$ .

Denote by  $p_{m_i+j}$  the number of distinct pairs of vertices whose distance between v and  $w \in V(A) \setminus \{u\}$  is exactly  $m_i+j$ . For  $G_u(s,t)$ , suppose that  $s \geq t$ , we deduce that  $p_{m_i} = m_i(k-1)^2$ ,  $p_{m_i+1} = 2m_i(k-1)^2$ ,  $p_{m_i+2} = 2m_i(k-1)^2$ , ...,  $p_{m_i+t} = 2m_i(k-1)^2$ ,  $p_{m_i+t+1} = m_i(k-1)^2$ ,  $p_{m_i+t+2} = m_i(k-1)^2$ , ...,  $p_{m_i+s} = m_i(k-1)^2$ .

Denote by  $p'_j$  the number of distinct pairs of vertices whose distance between v and  $w \in V(A^*)$  is exactly j. We deduce that  $p'_{m_i} = m_i(k-1)^2$ ,  $p'_{m_i+1} = (s+t)m_i(k-1)^2$ .

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} p_{m_i+j} = \underbrace{2m_i(k-1)^2 + \dots + 2m_i(k-1)^2}_{t} + \underbrace{m_i(k-1)^2 + \dots + m_i(k-1)^2}_{s}$$
$$= (2t+s)m_i(k-1)^2 > (t+s)m_i(k-1)^2$$

By Lemma 2.2, for  $v \in V(G)$ , we have

$$\sum_{u \in V(A)} d(u, v) \sum_{u \in V(A)} \frac{1}{d(u, v)} > \sum_{u \in V(A^*)} d(u, v) \sum_{u \in V(A^*)} \frac{1}{d(u, v)}$$

Further, we have the following conclusion

$$B_{1} = \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}, u \in V(A)} d(u, v) \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}, u \in V(A)} \frac{1}{d(u, v)}$$
  
> 
$$\sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}, u \in V(A^{*})} d(u, v) \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}, u \in V(A^{*})} \frac{1}{d(u, v)} = B_{2}$$

Since

$$W \cdot H(G_u(s,t)) = W \cdot H_{G_u(s,t)}(G) + W \cdot H_{G_u(s,t)}(A) + B_1$$
$$W \cdot H(G_u^*(s+t)) = W \cdot H_{G_u^*(s+t)}(G) + W \cdot H_{G_u^*(s+t)}(A^*) + B_2$$

Thus we have  $W \cdot H(G_u(s,t)) > W \cdot H(G_u^*(s+t)).$ 

For a k-uniform hypertree G of order n with m edges, if there is a disjoint partition of the vertex set  $V(G) = \{u\} \cup V_1 \cup ... \cup V_m$  such that  $|V_1| = ... = |V_m| = k - 1$ , and  $E(G) = \{\{u\} \cup V_i : 1 \le i \le m\}$ , then we call G is a k-uniform hyperstar (with center u), denoted by  $S_{m,k}$ .

For a k-uniform hypergraph G with  $u, v \in e \in E(G)$ . For positive integers s and t, let  $G_{u,v}(s,t)$  be the k-uniform hypergraph obtained from G by attaching a pendant path S of length s at u and a pendant path T of length t at v. Let  $G_u^*(s+t)$  be the hypergraph obtained from G by attaching a hyperstar  $S_{s+t,k}$  at u.

**Lemma 2.4** Let G be a connected k-uniform hypergraph with  $|E(G)| \geq 2$ ,  $u, v \in e \in E(G)$ . For integers  $s \geq t \geq 1$ ,  $W \cdot H(G_{u,v}(s,t)) > W \cdot H(G_u^*(s+t))$ .

Proof. For a k-uniform hypergraph G with  $u, v \in e \in E(G)$ , let  $G_{u,v}^*(s,t)$  be the k-uniform hypergraph obtained from G by attaching a hyperstar  $S_{s,k}$  at u and a hyperstar  $S_{t,k}$  at v. By Lemma 2.3, we have  $W \cdot H(G_{u,v}(s,t)) > W \cdot H(G_{u,v}^*(s,t))$ .

Let  $A = G^*[V(S_{s,k}) \cup V(S_{t,k}) \cup \{e\}]$  be the sub-hypergraph of  $G^*_{u,v}(s,t)$ , and  $A^* = G^*[V(S_{s+t,k}) \cup \{e\}]$  be the sub-hypergraph of  $G^*_u(s+t)$ . Note that

$$W \cdot H_{G^*_u, v(s,t)}(G) = W \cdot H_{G^*_u(s+t)}(G)$$

Denote by  $p_i$  the number of distinct pairs of vertices whose distance in A is exactly i. For the sub-hypergraph A of  $G_{u,v}^*(s,t)$ , suppose that  $s \ge t$ , we deduce that  $p_1 = (k-1)(s+t+1)$ ,  $p_2 = (k-1)^2 [\binom{s}{2} + \binom{t}{2} + s+t]$ ,  $p_3 = (k-1)^2 (s^t)$ .

Denote by  $p'_i$  the number of distinct pairs of vertices whose distance in  $A^*$  is exactly *i*. We deduce that  $p'_1 = (k-1)(s+t+1), p'_2 = (k-1)^2 [\binom{s+t}{2} + s + t].$ 

$$\sum_{i=2}^{a} p_i = (k-1)^2 \left[ \binom{s}{2} + \binom{t}{2} + s + t \right] + (k-1)^2 (s^t)$$

$$= (k-1)^{2} \left[ \binom{s}{2} + \binom{t}{2} + s + t + s^{t} \right]$$
  
>  $(k-1)^{2} \left[ \binom{s+t}{2} + s + t \right]$ 

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$f\left((k-1)(s+t+1), (k-1)^2 \left[\binom{s}{2} + \binom{t}{2} + s+t\right], (k-1)^2(s^t), 0, ..., 0\right)$$
  
>  $f\left((k-1)(s+t+1), (k-1)^2 \left[\binom{s+t}{2} + s+t\right], 0, ..., 0\right).$ 

Note that

$$W \cdot H_{G_{u}^{*}(s,t)}(A) > W \cdot H_{G_{u}^{*}(s+t)}(A^{*})$$

Let d be the diameter of G and w be a vertex in G. Suppose that there is a path between w and u. Let  $m_i$  be the number of paths between w and u with distance i, for  $1 \le i \le d$ . Suppose that there is a path between w and v. Let  $n_j$  be the number of paths between w and v with distance j, for  $1 \le j \le d$ .

Denote by  $p_k$  the number of distinct pairs of vertices whose distance between w and  $w' \in V(A) \setminus \{u, v\}$  is exactly k. We deduce that  $p_{m_i+1} = m_i(s+1)(k-1)^2$ ,  $p_{m_i+2} = m_it(k-1)^2$ ,  $p_{n_j+1} = n_j(t+1)(k-1)^2$ ,  $p_{n_j+2} = n_js(k-1)^2$ .

Denote by  $p'_k$  the number of distinct pairs of vertices whose distance between w and  $w' \in A^* \setminus \{u, v\}$  is exactly k. We deduce that  $p'_{m_i+1} = m_i(s+t+1)(k-1)^2$ ,  $p'_{n_j+1} = n_j(k-1)^2$ ,  $p'_{n_j+2} = n_j(s+t)(k-1)^2$ .

$$\sum_{i=2}^{d} p_i = m_i(s+1)(k-1)^2 + m_it(k-1)^2 + n_j(t+1)(k-1)^2 + n_js(k-1)^2 = (k-1)^2[(m_i+n_j)s + (m_i+n_j)t + m_i + n_j)] = (k-1)^2[m_i(s+t+1) + n_j + n_j(s+t)]$$

By Lemma 2.2, for  $w \in V(G)$ , we have

$$\sum_{w' \in V(A)} d(w, w') \sum_{w' \in V(A)} \frac{1}{d(w, w')} = \sum_{w' \in V(A^*)} d(w, w') \sum_{w' \in V(A^*)} \frac{1}{d(w, w')}$$

Further, we have the following conclusion

$$C_{1} = \sum_{w \in V(G) \setminus V(e), w' \in V(A)} d(w, w') \sum_{w \in V(G) \setminus V(e), w' \in V(A)} \frac{1}{d(w, w')}$$
$$= \sum_{w \in V(G) \setminus V(e), w' \in V(A^{*})} d(w, w') \sum_{w \in V(G) \setminus V(e), w' \in V(A^{*})} \frac{1}{d(w, w')} = C_{2}$$

Since

$$W \cdot H(G_u^*(s,t)) = W \cdot H_{G_u^*(s,t)}(G) + W \cdot H_{G_u^*(s,t)}(A) + C_1$$
$$W \cdot H(G_u^*(s+t)) = W \cdot H_{G_u^*(s+t)}(G) + W \cdot H_{G_u^*(s+t)}(A^*) + C_2$$

Then we have  $W \cdot H(G_u^*(s,t)) > W \cdot H(G_u^*(s+t)).$ Thus  $W \cdot H(G_{u,v}(s,t)) > W \cdot H(G_u^*(s+t)).$ 

#### 3 Hypertrees with small $W \cdot H$ -value

In this section, we will obtain the unique k-uniform hypertrees with minimum, second minimum  $W \cdot H$ , respectively.

**Theorem 3.1** For  $m \ge 1$ , let T be a k-uniform hypertree with m edges. Then  $W \cdot H(T) \ge W \cdot H(S_{m,k})$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong S_{m,k}$ .

*Proof.* It is trivial if  $m \leq 2$ . Suppose that  $m \geq 3$ . Let T be a k-uniform hypertree with minimum  $W \cdot H$ -value.

Let d be the diameter of T. Obviously,  $d \ge 2$ . Suppose that  $d \ge 3$ . Let  $P = (v_0, e_1, v_1, ..., v_{d-1}, e_d, v_d)$  be a diametral path of T and  $e_{d-1} = \{v_{d-2}, w_1, ..., w_{k-2}, w_{k-1}\}$ , where  $w_{k-1} = v_{d-1}$ . The edges associated with  $w_i$  are only pendant edges if exit, and there may be many pendant edges at  $w_i$ , forming a star with centre  $w_i$  for  $1 \le i \le k-1$ .

Let  $S_i$  be the star with centre  $w_i$  for  $1 \le i \le k - 1$ . Denote by  $m_i$  the number of edges in  $S_i$  for  $1 \le i \le k - 1$ . Let  $G = T[V(T) \setminus V(S_1 \cup S_2) \cup$ 

 $\{w_1\} \cup \{w_2\}$ , then  $G_{w_1,w_2}(m_1,m_2) \cong T$ . By moving edges in  $S_2$  from  $w_2$  to  $w_1$ , we get a k-uniform graph  $G_{w_1}(m_1 + m_2)$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have  $G_{w_1,w_2}(m_1,m_2) > G_{w_1}(m_1 + m_2)$ .

Repeat the process above, we get a k-uniform graph  $G'_{w_1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} m_i\right)$ with  $G' = T[V(T) \setminus V(S_i) \cup \{w_i\}]$  for  $1 \le i \le k-1$ . By the prove of Lemma 2.4, we have  $G_{w_1}(m_1 + m_2) > G'_{w_1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} m_i\right)$ .

Let  $E = E(S_1 \cup S_2 ... \cup S_{k-1})$  for  $1 \le i \le k-1$ . By moving each edge in E from  $w_1$  to  $v_{d-2}$ , we get a k-uniform hypertree T'. By Lemma 2.4, then  $W \cdot H\left(G'_{w_1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} m_i\right)\right) > W \cdot H(T')$ , thus  $W \cdot H(T) > W \cdot H(T')$ , a contradiction.

Thus d = 2, implying that  $T \cong S_{m,k}$ .

When k = 2, the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 is exactly the result of [5]. **Corollary 3.1** [5] For a 2-uniform hypertree T with m edges. Then  $W \cdot H(T) \ge W \cdot H(S_{m,2})$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong S_{m,2}$ .

For  $m \geq 3$  and  $1 \leq a \leq m-1$ , let  $D_{m,k,a}$  be the k-uniform hypertree obtained from vertex-disjoint  $S_{a,k}$  with center u and  $S_{m-a,k}$  with center v by adding k-2 new vertices  $w_1, \ldots, w_{k-2}$  and an edge  $e = \{u, v, w_1, \ldots, w_{k-2}\}$ .

**Theorem 3.2** For  $m \geq 3$ , let T be a k-uniform hypertree with m edges. Suppose that  $T \ncong S_{m,k}$ . Then  $W \cdot H(T) > W \cdot H(D_{m,k,2})$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong D_{m,k,2}$ .

*Proof.* It is trivial if  $m \leq 3$ . Suppose that  $m \geq 4$ . Let T be a k-uniform hypergraph with m edges nonisomorphic to  $S_{m,k}$  with minimum  $W \cdot H$ -value.

Let d be the diameter of T. Since  $T \not\cong S_{m,k}$ , we have  $d \geq 3$ . By similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have d = 3. Then  $W \cdot H(T) > W \cdot H(D_{m,k,a})$ .

For the k-uniform hypergraph  $D_{m,k,a}$ , suppose that  $a \ge 3$  and  $a - 1 \ge m - a$ . Denote by  $p_i$  the number of distinct pairs of vertices whose distance in  $D_{m,k,a}$  is exactly *i*. We deduce that  $p_1 = m(k-1), p_2 = \left[\binom{a}{2} + \binom{m-a+1}{2}\right](k-1)^2, p_3 = (a-1)^{m-a}(k-1)^2.$ 

Denote by  $p'_i$  the number of distinct pairs of vertices whose distance in  $D_{m,k,2}$  is exactly *i*. We deduce that  $p_1 = m(k-1), p_2 = \left[\binom{m-2}{2} + 1\right](k-1)^2, p_3 = (m-2)(k-1)^2.$ 

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=2}^{3} p_i &= \left[ \binom{a}{2} + \binom{m-a+1}{2} \right] (k-1)^2 + (a-1)^{m-a} (k-1)^2 \\ &= (k-1)^2 \left[ \binom{a}{2} + \binom{m-a+1}{2} + (a-1)^{m-a} \right] \\ &> (k-1)^2 \left[ \binom{m-2}{2} + 1 + (m-2) \right] \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$f\left((k-1)m,(k-1)^{2}\left[\binom{a}{2} + \binom{m-a+1}{2}\right],(k-1)^{2}(a-1)^{m-a},0,...,0\right)$$
  
>  $f\left((k-1)m,(k-1)^{2}\left[\binom{m-2}{2} + 1\right],(k-1)^{2}(m-2),0,...,0\right).$ 

Note that

$$W \cdot H(D_{m,k,a}) > W \cdot H(D_{m,k,2})$$

Since  $W \cdot H(T) > W \cdot H(D_{m,k,a})$  and  $T \not\cong S_{m,k}$ , we have  $W \cdot H(T) > W \cdot H(D_{m,k,2})$ .

### 4 Hypertrees with large $W \cdot H$ -value

In this section, we shall present the unique k - uniform hypertrees with maximum  $W \cdot H$ -value.

For a k-uniform hypertree G with  $V(G) = \{v_1, ..., v_k, ..., v_{(m-1)(k-1)+k}\},\$ if  $E(G) = \{e_1, ..., e_m\},\$  where  $e_i = \{v_{(i-1)(k-1)+1}, ..., v_{(i-1)(k-1)+k}\}$  for i = 1, ..., m, then we call G a k-uniform loose path, denoted by  $P_{m,k}$ .

For positive integers  $\triangle, m$ , with  $1 \leq \triangle \leq m$ . Let  $B_{m,k}^{\triangle}$  be the k-uniform hypertree obtained from vertex-disjoint hyperstar  $S_{\triangle,k}$  with center u and loose path  $P_{m-\triangle,k}$  with an end vertex v by identifying u and v. In particular,  $B_{m,k}^{\triangle} \cong P_{m,k}$  if  $\triangle = 1, 2$ . **Lemma 4.1** Let T be a k-uniform hypertree with m edges and maximum degree  $\triangle$ , where  $1 \leq \triangle \leq m$ . Then  $W \cdot H(T) \leq W \cdot H(B_{m,k}^{\triangle})$  with equality if and if  $T \cong B_{m,k}^{\triangle}$ .

*Proof.* It is trivial if  $\triangle = 1$ . Suppose that  $\triangle \ge 2$ . Let T be a k-uniform hypertree with m edges and maximum degree  $\triangle$  having maximum  $W \cdot H$ -value.

Let u be a vertex of T with degree  $\triangle$ .

Case1.  $\triangle \geq 3$ .

Suppose that there are at least two vertices of degree at least 3 in T. Choose a vertex v of degree at least 3 such that d(u, v) is as large as possible. Let  $T'_1, T'_2, ..., T'_{d(v)}$  be the components by applying the v-shrinking on E(v) and  $T_i = T[V(T'_i) \cup \{v\}]$  for  $1 \le i \le d(v)$ . So  $T_1, T_2, ..., T_{d(v)}$ are the sub-hypergraphs of T. Suppose without loss of generality that  $u \in V(T_1)$ . Suppose that  $T_i$  is not a pendant path at v for  $2 \le i \le d(v)$ . Then there is at least one edge in  $T_i$  with at least three vertices of degree 2. We choose such an edge  $e = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_k\}$  by requiring that  $d(v, w_1)$  is as large as possible, where  $d(v, w_1) = d(v, w_j) - 1$  for  $2 \le j \le k$ . Then there are two pendant paths at different vertices of e, say P at  $w_p$  and Q at  $w_q$ , where  $2 \leq p < q \leq k$ . Let p and q with  $p,q \geq 1$ be the length of P and Q, respectively. Then  $T \cong G_{w_p,w_q}(p,q)$  with  $G = T[V(T) \setminus V(P \cup Q) \cup \{w_p, w_q\}]$ . Note that  $d(w_p) = d(w_q) = 1$  in  $G_{w_p,w_q}(p,q)$ . Suppose without loss of generality that  $p \geq q$ . Obviously,  $T' = G_{w_p,w_q}(p+q,0)$  is a k-uniform hypertree with maximum degree  $\triangle$ . By the prove of Lemma 2.4, we have  $W \cdot H(T) < W \cdot H(T')$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $T_i$  is a pendant path at v for  $2 \le i \le d(v)$ . Let  $l_i$  be the lengths of the pendant path  $T_i$  at v, where  $2 \le i \le d(v)$  and  $l_i \ge 1$ . Suppose without loss of generality that  $l_2 \ge l_3$ . Then  $T \cong G'_v(l_2, l_3)$ , where G' = $T[V(T) \setminus V(T_2 \cup T_3) \cup \{v\}]$ . Note that  $T'' = G'_v(l_2 + l_3, 0)$  is a k-uniform hypertree with maximum degree  $\triangle$ . By the prove of Lemma 2.3,  $W \cdot$  $H(T') < W \cdot H(T'')$ , a contradiction. Thus u is the unique vertex of degree at least 3 in T.

Let  $G'_1, G'_2, ..., G'_{\Delta}$  be the components by applying the *u*-shrinking on E(u) and  $G_i = T[V(G'_i) \cup \{u\}]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \Delta$ . So  $G_1, G_2, ..., G_{\Delta}$  are the sub-hypergraphs of T.

By similar argument as above,  $G_i$  is a pendant path at u for  $1 \le i \le \Delta$ . Suppose that there are at least two pendant paths of length at least 2 at u, say  $G_i$  and  $G_j$  are such two paths with lengths s and t respectively, where  $1 \le i < j \le \Delta$ . Then  $T \cong G_u(s,t)$  with  $G = T[V(T) \setminus V(G_i \cup G_j)]$ . Suppose without loss of generality that  $s \ge t$ . Then  $T^* = G_u(s+1,t-1)$  is a k-uniform hypertree with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . By the prove of Lemma 2.3, we have  $W \cdot H(T) < W \cdot H(T^*)$ , a contradiction. Thus there is at most one pendant path of length at least 1, implying that  $T \cong B_{m,k}^{\Delta}$ .

**Case2.**  $\triangle = 2$ . Suppose that  $T \ncong B_{m,k}^2$ . Then there is an edge in T with at least three vertices of degree 2. We choose such an edge  $e = \{w_1, ..., w_k\}$  in T by requiring that  $d(u, w_1)$  is as large as possible, where  $d(u, w_1) = d(u, w_j) - 1$  for  $2 \le j \le k$ . Then there are two pendent paths at different vertices of e, say P at  $w_p$  and Q at  $w_q$ , where  $2 \le q . Let <math>p$  and q with  $p, q \ge 1$  be the lengths of P and Q, respectively. Then  $T \cong G_{w_p,w_q}(p,q)$  with  $G = T[V(T) \setminus V(P \cup Q) \cup \{w_p, w_q\}]$ . Note that  $d(w_p) = d(w_q) = 1$ . Suppose without loss of generality that  $p \ge q$ . Obviously,  $T' = G_{w_p,w_q}(p+q,0)$  is a k-uniform hypertree with maximum degree 2. By the prove of Lemma 2.3, we have  $W \cdot H(T) < W \cdot H(T')$ , a contradiction. Thus there are at most two vertices of degree 2 in each edge, implying that  $T \cong B_{m,k}^2$ . Combining Cases 1 and 2, we complete the proof.

**Theorem 4.2** For  $m \ge 1$ , let T be a k-uniform hypertree with m edges and n vertices. Then  $W \cdot H(T) \le W \cdot H(P_{m,k})$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong P_{m,k}$ .

Proof. It is trivial if m = 1, 2. Suppose that  $m \ge 3$ . Let T be a kuniform hypertree with m edges with maximum  $W \cdot H$ -value. Let  $\triangle$  be the maximum degree of T. Then by Theorem 4.1,  $T \cong B^{\triangle}_{m,k}$ . Suppose that  $\triangle \ge 3$ , then by Lemma 2.3, we have  $W \cdot H\left(B^{\triangle}_{m,k}\right) < W \cdot H\left(B^{\triangle-1}_{m,k}\right)$ , a contradiction. Then  $\triangle = 2$ , and thus  $T \cong B^2_{m,k} \cong P_{m,k}$ .

When k = 2, the conclusion of Theorem 4.2 is exactly the result of [5].

**Corollary 4.1** [5] For a 2-uniform hypertree T with m edges. Then  $W \cdot H(T) \leq W \cdot H(P_{m,2})$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong P_{m,2}$ .

**Acknowledgment:** This work is supported by the Natural Science Basic Research Program of Shaanxi(No.2023-JC-QN-0090), the National Natural Science Foundation(NSFC 12172269) and the Special Fund for Basic Scientific Research of Central Colleges, South-Central Minzu University (No. CZY23009).

#### References

- E. Azjargal, B. Horoldagva, I. Gutman, Minimum of product of Wiener and Harary indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 92 (2024) 65–71.
- [2] Y. Alizadeh, I. Gutman, S. Nosratollahi, Z Hussain, On relations between distance-based topological indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 93 (2025) 509–520.
- [3] A. A. Dobrynin, R. Entringer, I. Gutman, Wiener index of trees: Theory and applications, Acta Appl. Math. 66 (2001) 211–249.
- [4] L. Feng, X. Zhu, W. Liu, Wiener index, Harary index and graph properties, *Discr. Appl. Math.* 223 (2017) 72–83.
- [5] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, I. Redžepović, On topological indices and their reciprocals, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 91 (2024) 287– 297.
- [6] I. Gutman, Y. N. Yeh, S. L. Lee, Y. L. Luo, Some recent results in the theory of the Wiener number, *Indian J. Chem.* **32A** (1993) 651–661.
- [7] M. Knor, R. Škrekovski, A. Tepeh, Mathematical aspects of Wiener index, Ars Math. Contemp. 11 (2016) 327–352.
- [8] B. Lučić, A. Miličević, S. Nikolić, N. Trinajstić, Harary index Twelve years latter, Croat. Chem. Acta 75 (2002) 847–868.
- [9] D. Plavšić, S. Nikolić, N. Trinajstić, Z. Mihalić, On the Harary index for the characterization of chemical graphs, J. Math. Chem. 12 (1993) 235–250.
- [10] D. H. Rouvray, The rich legacy of half century of the Wiener index, in: D. H. Rouvray, R. B. King (Eds.), *Topology in Chemistry – Discrete Mathematics of Molecules*, Horwood, Chichester, 2002, p. 1637.
- [11] H. S. Ramane, Harary index of graphs, in: I. Gutman, B. Furtula, K. C. Das, E. Milovanović, I. Milovanović (Eds.), *Bounds in Chemical*

Graph Theory – Basics, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2017, pp. 201–208.

- [12] H. Wiener, Structural determination of paraffin boiling points, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 69 (1947) 17–20.
- [13] K. Xu, K. C. Das, N. Trinajstić, The Harary Index of a Graph, Springer, Heidelberg, 2015.