Some Notes on Sombor Index of Graphs

Samane Rabizadeh^a, Mohammad Habibi^{a*}, Ivan Gutman^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Tafresh University, Tafresh, Iran ^bFaculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia samane.rabizadeh@gmail.com, mhabibi@tafreshu.ac.ir, gutman@kg.ac.rs

(Received August 26, 2024)

Abstract

Several relations for the Sombor index are presented. A simpler proof of a result by Phanjoubam and Mawiong is given. An inequality connecting graph energy and Sombor index is corrected; in its proof Arizmendi's concept of vertex energy is used. An easy estimate of Sombor index in terms of eigenvalues of Sombor matrix is stated, followed by a conjecture.

1 Introduction

Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a simple graph of order n, where $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and E(G) are the vertex and edge sets of G, respectively. By the *order* and *size* of G, we mean the number of its vertices and edges. The edge of G, connecting the vertices u and v will be denoted by uv.

The degree d_u of a vertex $u \in V(G)$ is the number of first neighbors of u in G. The maximum and minimum degrees of G are denoted by Δ and δ , respectively.

The Sombor index [7,8,14,16,19] and the first Zagreb index [6,11,13,17]

^{*}Corresponding author.

of a graph G are defined as

$$SO(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_u^2 + d_v^2}$$
 and $M_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v).$

The energy $\mathcal{E}(G)$ of the graph G is defined as the sum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix [1–3,15,20,21]. According to Arizmendi et al. [4,5], the graph energy can be distributed over the vertices of G. If $\mathcal{E}_G(u)$ is the energy pertaining to the vertex u, then

$$\sum_{u \in V(G)} \mathcal{E}_G(u) = \mathcal{E}(G) \,.$$

The Sombor matrix $A_{SO(G)} = (s_{ij})$ of the graph G is defined by [9] $s_{ij} = \sqrt{d_{v_i}^2 + d_{v_j}^2}$ if v_i and v_j are adjacent and 0 otherwise. We denote its eigenvalues by $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n$.

In order to proceed, we need to recall a few auxiliary and earlier established results.

Theorem 1. [4] For a graph G and a vertex $u \in V(G)$, it holds

$$\mathcal{E}_G(u) \leq \sqrt{d_u}$$
.

Theorem 2. [4] Let G be a graph with vertex covering set C. Then

$$\sum_{u \in C} \mathcal{E}_G(u) \ge \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}(G) \,.$$

Lemma 1. Let $x, y \ge 2$ be two real numbers. Then

$$\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \ge \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y} \,. \tag{1}$$

Equality holds if and only if x = y = 2.

Proof. Observe first that both x(x-2) and y(y-2) are non-negative, implying $x^2 - x \ge x$ and $y^2 - y \ge y$. Therefore, $x^2 + y^2 - x - y \ge x + y$ and $x + y \ge 2\sqrt{xy}$ (by the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric means). Consequently, $x^2 + y^2 \ge x + y + 2\sqrt{xy} = (\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y})^2$ and inequality (1) holds.

Since x(x-2) and y(y-2) are equal to zero only for x = y = 2, the condition for equality in (1) follows.

Note that equality in (1) holds also for (x, y) equal to (0,0), (1,0), or (0,1).

2 Main results

In Theorem 2.6 of [18], the authors proved that for an arbitrary graph of size m, $SO(G) \leq \sqrt{m \Delta M_1(G)}$. We start this article by giving a shorter proof for this result.

Theorem 3. [18] Let G be a graph of size m. Then $SO(G) \leq \sqrt{m \Delta M_1(G)}$.

Proof. By the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we have

$$SO(G) = \sum_{xy \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_x^2 + d_y^2} \le \sum_{xy \in E(G)} \sqrt{\Delta(d_x + d_y)}$$
$$\le \sqrt{\sum_{xy \in E(G)} \Delta} \sqrt{\sum_{xy \in E(G)} (d_x + d_y)}$$

and the result follows.

In Theorem 5 of [12] it was claimed that if C is a vertex-covering set of a graph G, then

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \le \frac{2}{\sqrt{\delta}} SO(G) - \frac{\sqrt{2}\,\Delta^2}{\sqrt{\delta}} |C|$$

A mistake has occurred in the last line of the respective proof. In the next theorem, we state an improved version of this result.

Theorem 4. Let G be a connected graph and C its vertex-covering set. Then

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \le \frac{2}{\delta\sqrt{\delta}} SO(G) + 4 \frac{\sqrt{\delta} - 1}{\delta} |E(G[C])|$$
(2)

where |E(G[C])| is the number of edges of the subgraph of G indices by C, that is the number of edges of G whose both endpoints belong to C.

Proof. If G is a graph of size 0 or 1, then clearly the inequality holds. Therefore, we assume that the size of G is greater than one.

First note that $\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sum_{i \in C} \sqrt{d_i}$, by Theorems 1 and 2. Also, since C is a vertex-covering set, every edge of G has at least one side in C. So we have:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}(G) &\leq \sum_{i \in C} \sqrt{d_i} = \sum_{\substack{ij \in E(G) \\ i \in C, j \in V \setminus C}} \frac{\sqrt{d_i}}{d_i} + \sum_{\substack{ij \in E(G) \\ i, j \in C}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{d_i}}{d_i} + \frac{\sqrt{d_j}}{d_j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{ij \in E(G) \\ i \in C, j \in V \setminus C}} \frac{\sqrt{d_i}}{d_i} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sum_{\substack{ij \in E(G) \\ i, j \in C}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{d_i}}{d_i} + \frac{\sqrt{d_j}}{d_j} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{\delta} - 1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sum_{\substack{ij \in E(G) \\ i, j \in C}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{d_i}}{d_i} + \frac{\sqrt{d_j}}{d_j} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{\substack{ij \in E(G) \\ i \in C, j \in V \setminus C}} \sqrt{\frac{d_i^2}{d_i} + \frac{d_j^2}{d_j}} + \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{\delta}} \sum_{\substack{ij \in E(G) \\ i, j \in C}} \left(\sqrt{d_i} + \sqrt{d_j} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{\delta} - 1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sum_{\substack{ij \in E(G) \\ i, j \in C}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} \right). \end{split}$$

Also, $d_u \geq 2$ for any vertex $u \in C$. Therefore, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}(G) &\leq \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{\delta}} \sum_{\substack{ij \in E(G)\\i \in C, j \in V \backslash C}} \sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} + \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{\delta}} \sum_{\substack{ij \in E(G)\\i,j \in C}} \sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} \\ &+ 2\frac{\sqrt{\delta} - 1}{\delta} |E(G[C])| = \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{\delta}} SO(G) + 2\frac{\sqrt{\delta} - 1}{\delta} |E(G[C])| \end{split}$$

from which Eq. (2) straightforwardly follows.

Denote by K_2 the connected graph of order 2. Also, denote by $H_{m,n}$ the graph consisting of m copies of K_2 and n - 2m isolated vertices. Note that $\sigma_1(K_2) = \sqrt{2}$ and therefore also $\sigma_1(H_{m,n}) = \sqrt{2}$.

Theorem 5. Let G be a graph of size m > 0 and order n, and let $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n$ be the eigenvalues of its Sombor matrix. Then

$$m\,\sigma_1 \ge SO(G)\,.\tag{3}$$

If G is connected, then equality in (3) holds if and only if $G \cong K_2$, i.e., if G is the complete graph of order 2. In the general case, equality holds if and only if $G \cong H_{m,n}$, when $SO(G) = \sqrt{2}m$.

Note that in a trivial manner, relation (3) holds also for m = 0, since for edgeless graphs, SO(G) = 0.

Proof. Let $e = v_i v_j$ be an arbitrary edge of G and $X = [x_k]$ be an n-dimensional (0,1)-row-vector, where $x_k = 1$ if and only if k = i and k = j, and zero otherwise. By the Rayleigh–Ritz variational principle,

$$\frac{X^T A_{SO(G)} X}{X^T X} \le \sigma_1$$

and therefore $\sqrt{d_i^2 + d_j^2} \le \sigma_1$. Summation over all edges yields (3).

Equality in (3) will hold if X is the eigenvector of $A_{SO(G)}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue σ_1 .

Assume first that G is connected and that equality in (3) holds. Then by the Perron–Frobenius theorem, all components of X must be positivevalued. Therefore, X must be of dimension n = 2, and therefore it must be $G \cong K_2$.

If G is not connected, then all components of G must be K_2 or isolated vertices, i.e., $G \cong H_{m,n}$.

Note that if G is a bipartite graph, then $\sigma_1 = -\sigma_n$. If G is a connected non-bipartite graph, then $\sigma_1 > -\sigma_n$, see [9, 10].

Conjecture 1. (a) Using the same notation as in Theorem 5, we hypothesize that

$$m |\sigma_n| = -m \,\sigma_n \ge SO(G) \,. \tag{4}$$

(b) If G is connected, then equality in (4) holds if and only if G is the complete graph. Then $\sigma_n = -\sqrt{2}(n-1)$ and $SO(G) = \sqrt{2}(n-1)\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. In the general case, equality holds if and only if G consists of mutually isomorphic complete graphs and some (or no) isolated vertices.

References

- S. Akbari, H. Alizadeh, M. Fakharan, M. Habibi, S. Rabizadeh, S. Rouhani, Some relations between rank, vertex cover number and energy of graph, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 589 (2023) 653–664.
- [2] S. Akbari, M. Habibi, S. Rabizadeh, Relations between energy and Sombor index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 92 (2024) 425–435.
- [3] S. Akbari, M. Habibi, S. Rouhani, A note on an inequality between energy and Sombor index of a graph, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 90 (2023) 765–771.
- [4] O. Arizmendi, J. F. Hidalgo, O. Juarez-Romero, Energy of a vertex, Lin. Algebra Appl. 557 (2018) 464–495.
- [5] O. Arizmendi, S. Sigarreta, The change of vertex energy when joining trees, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* 687 (2024) 117–131.
- [6] B. Borovićanin, K. C. Das, B. Furtula, I. Gutman, Bounds for Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 78 (2017) 17–100.
- [7] K. C. Das, A. S. Çevik, I. N. Cangul, Y. Shang, On Sombor index, Symmetry 13 (2021) #140.
- [8] I. Gutman, Geometric approach to degree-based topological indices: Sombor indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 86 (2021) 11–16.
- [9] I. Gutman, Spectrum and energy of Sombor matrix, *Milit. Tech. Cour.* 69 (2021) 551–561.

- [10] I. Gutman, On spectral radius of VDB graph matrices, *Milit. Tech. Cour.* 71 (2023) 1–8.
- [11] I. Gutman, K. C. Das, The first Zagreb index 30 years after, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 50 (2004) 83–92.
- [12] I. Gutman, N. K. Gürsoy, A. Gürsoy, A. Ülker, New bounds on Sombor index, Commun. Comb. Optim. 8 (2023) 305–311.
- [13] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535–538.
- [14] B. Horoldagva, C. Xu, On Sombor index of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 86 (2021) 703–713.
- [15] X. Li, Y. Shi, I. Gutman, *Graph Energy*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [16] H. Liu, I. Gutman, L. You, Y. Huang, Sombor index: Review of extremal results and bounds, J. Math. Chem. 66 (2022) 771–798.
- [17] S. Nikolić, G. Kovačević, A. Miličević, N. Trinajstić, The Zagreb indices 30 years after, *Croat. Chem. Acta* 76 (2003) 113–124.
- [18] C. Phanjoubam, S. M. Mawiong, On Sombor index and some topological indices, *Iran. J. Math. Chem.* 12 (2021) 209–215.
- [19] J. Rada, J. M. Rodríguez, J. M. Sigarreta, General properties on Sombor indices, *Discr. Appl. Math.* **299** (2021) 87–97.
- [20] H. S. Ramane, Energy of graphs, in: M. Pal, S. Samanta, A. Pal (Eds.), Handbook of Research on Advanced Applications of Graph Theory in Modern Society, IGI Global, Hershey, 2020, pp. 267–296.
- [21] A. Ulker, A. Gürsoy, N. K. Gürsoy, The energy and Sombor index of graphs, MATCH Commun. *Math. Comput. Chem.* 87 (2022) 51–58.