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#### Abstract

In this paper, we explore the connection between the energy and spread of the adjacency, Laplacian, and signless Laplacian matrices for graphs. We then introduce new limitations for the energy and spread of these matrices, based on previous research and our findings.


## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a graph, with its order and size denoted by $n$ and $m$, respectively. The degree of a vertex $v$ in $G, d_{G}(v)$, is the number of edges incident to $v$. The edge degree of an edge $e$ in $G, d_{G}(e)$, is the number of edges incident

[^0]to $e$. In this work, we use $\Delta, \delta$, and $\Delta_{e}$ to denote the maximum degree, minimum degree, and maximum edge degree of $G$, respectively.

The chromatic number of a graph $G$, denoted by $\chi(G)$ or simply $\chi$, is the smallest number of colors necessary to assign to the vertices of $G$ so that adjacent vertices do not have the same color.

Two edges that are not adjacent are called independent edges. The matching number of a graph $G$, denoted by $\alpha^{\prime}(G)$ or simply $\alpha^{\prime}$, is the number of edges in the largest independent set of edges in $G$.

The clique number of a graph $G$, denoted by $\omega(G)$ or simply $\omega$, is the number of vertices in the largest complete subgraph of $G$.

A vertex cover of a graph $G$ is a set of vertices that includes at least one endpoint of every edge in the graph. The vertex cover number of graph $G$, denoted by $\beta(G)$ or simply $\beta$, is the size of a minimum vertex cover of $G$.

In the following, $D$ and $\rho$ represent the diameter and the number of components of $G$, respectively.

A graph $G$ has a vertex set denoted by $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. The adjacency matrix of $G$ is represented as $A(G)$, where $a_{i j}=1$ if there is an edge between vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, and $a_{i j}=0$ otherwise. We obtain the diagonal matrix of $G$, denoted by $D(G)$, by taking the row sums of $A(G)$, which gives us the degree of each vertex in $G$. The Laplacian matrix of $G$ is defined as $L(G)=D(G)-A(G)$, while the signless Laplacian matrix of $G$ is denoted by $Q(G)=D(G)+A(G)$. The eigenvalues of $A(G), L(G)$, and $Q(G)$ are known as $A$-, $L$-, and $Q$-eigenvalues, respectively, and are arranged in decreasing order: $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}, \alpha_{1} \geq \alpha_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{n}=$ 0 , and $q_{1} \geq q_{2} \geq \cdots \geq q_{n} \geq 0$.

Adjacency energy $\mathcal{E}(G)$ [16], Laplacian energy $L \mathcal{E}(G)$ [17], and signless Laplacian energy $Q \mathcal{E}(G)$ [1] of $G$ are defined as:

$$
\mathcal{E}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|, \quad L \mathcal{E}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}-\frac{2 m}{n}\right|, \quad Q \mathcal{E}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|q_{i}-\frac{2 m}{n}\right| .
$$

For details of the mathematical theory of these, nowadays very popular, graph-spectral invariants see the book [24], the recent papers [7-12], and the references cited therein. Also, the adjacency spread $A S(G)$ [15], Laplacian spread $L S(G)$ [13], and signless Laplacian spread $Q S(G)$ [30,36], of
$G$ are defined as:

$$
A S(G)=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n}, \quad L S(G)=\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{n-1}, \quad Q S(G)=q_{1}-q_{n} .
$$

The spectrum of $A(G), L(G)$, and $Q(G)$ can be represented as follows: $\operatorname{Spec}(A(G))=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), \operatorname{Spec}(L(G))=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$, and $\operatorname{Spec}(Q(G))=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$.

The first Zagreb index $M_{1}(G)$ [35] and Randić index $R(G)$ [38] of $G$ can be defined as:

$$
M_{1}=M_{1}(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{G}(v)^{2}, \quad R=R(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{G}(u) d_{G}(v)}} .
$$

The adjacency, Laplacian, and signless Laplacian eigenvalues satisfy:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}=0, & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2}=2 m, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=2 m, & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}^{2}=2 m+M_{1}(G), \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}=2 m, & \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}^{2}=2 m+M_{1}(G) . \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

We denote the union of $p$ copies of a graph $H$ by $p H$. Let $V(H)=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. The duplication graph $D_{p} H$ is a graph with $p n$ vertices. It is obtained from $p H$ by joining vertex $v_{i}$ to every neighbor of $v_{i}$ in the $j$-th copy of $G_{j}$ where $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

In a theorem from [32], it is shown that there is a relationship between the eigenvalues of $H$ and $D_{p} H$.

Theorem 1. [32] Suppose $G$ is a graph with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$. Then the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of the duplication graph $D_{p} H$ are $p \lambda_{i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and 0 with the multiplicity $(p-1) n$.

In this study, we explore the connection between the energy and spread of the adjacency, Laplacian, and signless Laplacian matrices for graphs. We then introduce new limitations for the energy and spread of these matrices, based on previous research and our findings.

## 2 Main result

In this section, we will prove our main results which establish the relationship between the energy and spread of the adjacency, Laplacian, and signless Laplacian matrices of graphs. Firstly, we will start by presenting the theorem that plays the main role in achieving our objectives.

Theorem 2. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=0$, $a_{1}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{i}$ and $a_{n}=\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{i}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}{ }^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1}-a_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds if and only if $\left\{a_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, 0, a_{n}\right\}$.
Proof. Given $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=0$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(2 a_{i}-a_{1}-a_{n}\right) a_{i} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|2 a_{i}-a_{1}-a_{n}\right|\left|a_{i}\right| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds if and only if $\left(2 a_{i}-a_{1}-a_{n}\right) a_{i} \geq 0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
We also know that $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $a_{n}-a_{1} \leq 2 a_{i}-a_{1}-a_{n} \leq a_{1}-a_{n}$. The equality on the left side holds if and only if $a_{i}=a_{n}$, whilst the equality on the right side holds if and only if $a_{i}=a_{1}$. Therefore, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\left|2 a_{i}-a_{1}-a_{n}\right| \leq a_{1}-a_{n}$ and the equality holds if and only if $a_{i} \in\left\{a_{1}, a_{n}\right\}$. Hence, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2 a_{i}-a_{1}-a_{n}\right|\left|a_{i}\right| \leq\left(a_{1}-a_{n}\right)\left|a_{i}\right| . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds if and only if $a_{i} \in\left\{a_{1}, 0, a_{n}\right\}$.
By combining Equations (5) and (6), we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|2 a_{i}-a_{1}-a_{n}\right|\left|a_{i}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{1}-a_{n}\right)\left|a_{i}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1}-a_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality in (4) holds if and only if the equality in (5), and also for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, the equalities in (6) hold. Therefore, the equality in (4) holds if and only if $\left\{a_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq\left\{a_{1}, 0, a_{n}\right\}$, as desired.

In the following theorem, we will derive the relationship between the energy and spread of adjacency, Laplacian, and signless Laplacian matrices of graphs.

It is worth noting that Jahanbani and Sheikholeslami proved in [19] that $\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{A S(G)}$, with equality holding for $G \cong K_{n}$ and $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.

Theorem 3. Let $G$ be graph of order $n$ and size $m$. Then
(i) $\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{A S(G)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq$ $\left\{\lambda_{1}, 0, \lambda_{n}\right\}$. Moreover, if $G$ is bipartite, then the equality holds if and only if $G \cong \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_{a_{i}, b_{i}} \cup r K_{1}$, where $n=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right)+r, r \geq 0$ and $a_{1} b_{1}=a_{2} b_{2}=\cdots=a_{k} b_{k}$.
(ii) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{2 n M_{1}(G)+4 m(n-2 m)}{n \alpha_{1}}$ and the equality holds if and only if $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right.$ : $1 \leq i \leq n\} \subseteq\left\{\alpha_{1}, \frac{2 m}{n}, 0\right\}$. Moreover, the equality holds if $G \cong K_{n}$ or $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
(iii) $Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{2 n M_{1}(G)+4 m(n-2 m)}{n Q S(G)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $\left\{q_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq\left\{q_{1}, \frac{2 m}{n}, q_{n}\right\}$. Moreover, the equality holds if $G \cong K_{n}$ or $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.

Proof. (i) Let $a_{i}=\lambda_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then, by the first part of relation (1), we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=0$. Thus, by using Theorem 2 ,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|=\frac{1}{2} A S(G) \mathcal{E}(G)
$$

Then, by the second part of relation (1), $2 m \leq \frac{1}{2} A S(G) \mathcal{E}(G)$. Hence, we conclude that $\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{A S(G)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq\left\{\lambda_{1}, 0, \lambda_{n}\right\}$. The first part of the proof is done.

Suppose that equality holds. Let $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{p}>0 \geq \lambda_{p+1} \geq$ $\lambda_{p+2} \geq \lambda_{n}$. By Theorem 2, we have $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq\left\{\lambda_{1}, 0, \lambda_{n}\right\}$. Then $G$ has at most three distinct eigenvalues and hence the diameter is
at most 2 , see [4]. If $d(G)=1$, then $G \cong K_{n}$ and hence the equality holds. Otherwise, $d(G)=2$. In this case there are exactly three distinct eigenvalues in $G$, that is, $\lambda_{1}>0>\lambda_{n}$. Now, we assume that $G$ is a bipartite graph with $p$ components. Then we obtain $\lambda_{i}=-\lambda_{n-i+1}(1 \leq$ $i \leq p)$ and if $n>2 p, \lambda_{j}=0(j=p+1, \ldots, n-p)$. Moreover, $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i}^{2}=$ $\sum_{i=p+1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2}=m$. Thus we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2}=2 m=\lambda_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|
$$

that is, $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{1}-\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\right)\left|\lambda_{i}\right|=0$, that is,

$$
\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\cdots=\lambda_{p}=-\lambda_{n-p+1}=-\lambda_{n-p+2}=\cdots=-\lambda_{n}
$$

First we assume that $G$ is connected. For any connected graph, it is wellknown that $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}$. Thus we have $p=1$ and hence $\lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{i}=0$ for $i=2, \ldots, n-1$. Therefore $G \cong K_{a, b}(a+b=n)$.

Next we assume that $G$ is disconnected. Let $G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} G_{i} \cup r K_{1}$, where $r \geq 0$. For each $G_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k), G_{i} \cong K_{a_{i}, b_{i}}$ with $a_{i} b_{i}=m_{i}$ such that $m_{1}=m_{2}=\cdots=m_{k}$. Hence $G \cong \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_{a_{i}, b_{i}} \cup r K_{1}$, where $n=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right)+r, r \geq 0, \quad$ and $a_{1} b_{1}=a_{2} b_{2}=\cdots=a_{k} b_{k}$.

Conversely, let $G \cong \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_{a_{i}, b_{i}} \cup r K_{1}, \quad$ where $n=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right)+$ $r, r \geq 0, \quad$ and $a_{1} b_{1}=a_{2} b_{2}=\cdots=a_{k} b_{k}$. Then $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\cdots=\lambda_{k}=$ $-\lambda_{n-k+1}=\cdots=-\lambda_{n-1}=-\lambda_{n}=\sqrt{a_{i} b_{i}}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ and $\lambda_{i}=0$ $(i=k+1, k+2, \ldots, n-k)$. Thus we have $\mathcal{E}(G)=2 k \sqrt{a_{1} b_{1}}, m=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} b_{i}=k a_{1} b_{1}$ and $A S(G)=2 \lambda_{1}=2 \sqrt{a_{1} b_{1}}$. Hence $\mathcal{E}(G)=\frac{4 m}{A S(G)}$.
(ii) Let $a_{i}=\alpha_{i}-\frac{2 m}{n}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then, by the first part of
relation (2), we can write $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=0$. Thus, by Theorem 2,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{i}-\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} L \mathcal{E}(G)
$$

Then, by the second part of relation (2), we have $\frac{n M_{1}(G)+2 m(n-2 m)}{n} \leq$ $\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} L \mathcal{E}(G)$. Therefore, $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{2 n M_{1}(G)+4 m(n-2 m)}{n \alpha_{1}}$ and the equality holds if and only if $\left\{\alpha_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq\left\{\alpha_{1}, \frac{2 m}{n}, 0\right\}$. Clearly, if $G \cong K_{n}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Spec}(L(G))=(\overbrace{n, \ldots, n}^{n-1 \text { items }}, 0)
$$

and so $L \mathcal{E}(G)=\frac{2 n M_{1}(G)+4 m(n-2 m)}{n \alpha_{1}}=2(n-1)$. Moreover, if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Spec}(L(G))=(n, \overbrace{\frac{n}{2}, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}}^{n-2}, 0)
$$

and so $L \mathcal{E}(G)=\frac{2 n M_{1}(G)+4 m(n-2 m)}{n \alpha_{1}}=n$.
(iii) Let $a_{i}=q_{i}-\frac{2 m}{n}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then, by the first part of relation (3), we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=0$. Thus, by using Theorem 2,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(q_{i}-\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} Q S(G) Q \mathcal{E}(G)
$$

Hence, by the second part of relation (3), we have $\frac{n M_{1}(G)+2 m(n-2 m)}{n} \leq$ $\frac{1}{2} Q S(G) Q \mathcal{E}(G)$. It concludes that $Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{2 n M_{1}(G)+4 m(n-2 m)}{n Q S(G)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $\left\{q_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq\left\{q_{1}, \frac{2 m}{n}, q_{n}\right\}$.

Clearly if $G \cong K_{n}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Spec}(Q(G))=(2 n-2, \overbrace{n-2, \ldots, n-2}^{n-1})
$$

and so $Q \mathcal{E}(G)=\frac{2 n M_{1}(G)+4 m(n-2 m)}{n Q S(G)}=2(n-1)$. Moreover, if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Spec}(Q(G))=(n, \overbrace{\frac{n}{2}, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}}^{n-2 \text { items }}, 0)
$$

and so $Q \mathcal{E}(G)=\frac{2 n M_{1}(G)+4 m(n-2 m)}{n Q S(G)}=n$.
If we have an $n \times n$ matrix $M$, and there exists another matrix $B$ such that $M B=B M=I_{n}$, then we say that $M$ is non-singular. This means that $M$ has no zero eigenvalues. In other words, all of the eigenvalues of $M$ are non-zero.

Corollary 1. If $A(G)$ is nonsingular, then $\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{A S(G)}$ with equality if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.

Consider the following questions related to a graph $G$ of order $n$ and size $m$ :

1. If $\mathcal{E}(G)=\frac{4 m}{A S(G)}$, then what is the structure of graph $G$ ?
2. If $L \mathcal{E}(G)=\frac{2 n M_{1}(G)+4 m(n-2 m)}{n \alpha_{1}}$, then what is the structure of graph $G ?$
3. If $Q \mathcal{E}(G)=\frac{2 n M_{1}(G)+4 m(n-2 m)}{n Q S(G)}$, then what is the structure of graph $G ?$

Proposition 4. Let $G$ be a graph with $m$ edges. If $\mathcal{E}(G)=\frac{4 m}{A S(G)}$, then $\mathcal{E}\left(D_{n} G\right)=\frac{4\left|E\left(D_{n} G\right)\right|}{A S\left(D_{n} G\right)}$.

Proof. Let $v \in V(G)$. By definition of $D_{n} G$, we can say that there are $n$ copies of $v$ in $D_{n} G$, all with degree $n d_{G}(v)$. For any graph $H$, it is known that $\sum_{v \in V(H)} d_{H}(v)=2|E(H)|$. Then, according to Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4\left|E\left(D_{n} G\right)\right|}{A S\left(D_{n} G\right)} & =\frac{2 n \sum_{v \in V(G)} n d_{G}(v)}{n A S(G)}=\frac{4 n^{2} m}{n A S(G)}=n \frac{4 m}{A S(G)}  \tag{7}\\
\mathcal{E}\left(D_{n} G\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|n \lambda_{i}\right|=n \mathcal{E}(G) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, using relations (7) and (8), we can conclude that if $\mathcal{E}(G)=$ $\frac{4 m}{A S(G)}$, then $\mathcal{E}\left(D_{n} G\right)=\frac{4\left|E\left(D_{n} G\right)\right|}{A S\left(D_{n} G\right)}$.

We need to refer to the theorem presented in [26] to prove our upcoming results.

Theorem 5. [26] Let $G$ be a graph. Then $M_{1} \geq \frac{4 m^{2}}{n}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is a regular graph.

Corollary 2. Let $G$ be graph. Then
i) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{\alpha_{1}}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is a regular graph and $\left\{\alpha_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq\left\{\alpha_{1}, \frac{2 m}{n}, 0\right\}$. Moreover, the equality holds if $G \cong K_{n}$ or $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
ii) $Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{Q S(G)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is a regular graph and $\left\{q_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq\left\{q_{1}, \frac{2 m}{n}, q_{n}\right\}$. Moreover, the equality holds if $G \cong K_{n}$ or $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 3(ii), 3(iii) and 5.
Remark 1. Let $G$ be a graph. According to Theorem 3, we reach to the following results:
i) Each upper bound on $A S(G)$ gives a lower bound for $\mathcal{E}(G)$. Also, each upper bound on $\mathcal{E}(G)$ gives a lower bound for $A S(G)$.
ii) Each upper bound on $\alpha_{1}$ drives a lower bound for $L \mathcal{E}(G)$. Also, each upper bound on $L \mathcal{E}(G)$ gives a lower bound for $\alpha_{1}$.
iii) Each upper bound on $Q S(G)$ leads a lower bound for $Q \mathcal{E}(G)$. Moreover, each upper bound on $Q \mathcal{E}(G)$ gives a lower bound for $Q S(G)$.

## 3 Applications

In this section, we will present new bounds for the energy and spread of the adjacency, Laplacian, and signless Laplacian matrices of graphs, building upon previous publications and our results.

Theorem 6. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) $[33] \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt{2 m n}$.
ii) [21] $\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{2 m}{n}+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-\frac{4 m^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)}$ for $2 m \geq n$, and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $\frac{n}{2} K_{2}$ or $K_{n}$ or a non-complete connected strongly regular graph with two non-trivial eigenvalues both with absolute value $\sqrt{\left(2 m-\left(\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2}\right) /(n-1)}$.
iii) [18] $\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{m}{R}+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-\left(\frac{m}{R}\right)^{2}\right.}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
iv) $[18] \mathcal{E}(G) \leq(\chi-1)+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-(\chi-1)^{2}\right)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
v) $[18] \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt[D]{n-1}+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-(\sqrt[D]{n-1})^{2}\right)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
vi) $[5] \mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2 m-\frac{2 m}{n}\left(\frac{2 m}{n}-1\right)-\ln \left(\frac{n|\operatorname{det} A|}{2 m}\right)$, where $A$ is a nonsingular matrix, and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
vii) $[37] \mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2 \alpha^{\prime} \sqrt{2 \Delta_{e}+1}$, where $\Delta_{e}$ is an even number, and the equality holds if and only if each component of $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1}$ or $K_{2}$.
viii) [37] $\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \alpha^{\prime}(\sqrt{2 \nu+2 \sqrt{2 \nu}}+\sqrt{2 \nu-2 \sqrt{2 \nu}})$, where $\nu=\Delta_{e}+1$ is an even number, and the equality holds if and only if each component of $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1}$ or $P_{3}$.
ix) [42] $\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt{\frac{M_{1}}{n}}+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-\frac{M_{1}}{n}\right)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $\frac{n}{2} K_{2}$ or $K_{n}$ or a non-complete connected strongly regular graph with two non-trivial eigenvalues both with absolute value $\sqrt{\left(2 m-\left(\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2}\right) /(n-1)}$.
x) [40] $\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt{\Delta}+\sqrt{(n-1)(2 m-\Delta)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2} K_{2}$.
xi) [40] $\mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-\left(2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right)^{2}\right)}$, where $G$ is a connected graph, and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong P_{2}$.
xii) $[40] \mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2 \beta \sqrt{\Delta}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong \beta K_{1, \Delta} \cup$ $(n-\beta) K_{1}$.
xiii) $\quad[6] \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt{2 m(n-\delta)+4 \sqrt{m^{3}\left(1-\frac{1}{\omega}\right)}}$.

Corollary 3. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) $A S(G) \geq \frac{2 \sqrt{2 m n}}{n}$ and the equality holds if $G \cong \frac{n}{2} K_{2}$.
ii) $A S(G) \geq 4 m\left(2 \frac{m}{n}+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-4 \frac{m^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)}\right)^{-1}$ for $2 m \geq n$, and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $\frac{n}{2} K_{2}$ or $K_{n}$ or a non-complete connected strongly regular graph with two non-trivial eigenvalues both with absolute value $\sqrt{\left(2 m-\left(\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2}\right) /(n-1)}$.
iii) $A S(G) \geq 4 m\left(\frac{m}{R}+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-\left(\frac{m}{R}\right)^{2}\right)}\right)^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
iv) $A S(G) \geq 4 m\left((\chi-1)+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-(\chi-1)^{2}\right)}\right)^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
v) $A S(G) \geq 4 m\left(\sqrt[D]{n-1}+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-(\sqrt[D]{n-1})^{2}\right)}\right)^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
vi) $A S(G) \geq 4 m\left(2 m-\frac{2 m}{n}\left(\frac{2 m}{n}-1\right)-\ln \left(\frac{n|\operatorname{det} A|}{2 m}\right)\right)^{-1}$, where $A$ is a nonsingular matrix, and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
vii) $A S(G) \geq 4 m\left(2 \alpha \sqrt{2 \Delta_{e}+1}\right)^{-1}$, where $\Delta_{e}$ is an even number, and the equality holds if and only if each component of $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1}$ or $K_{2}$.
viii) $A S(G) \geq 4 m(\alpha(\sqrt{2 \nu+2 \sqrt{2 \nu}}+\sqrt{2 \nu-2 \sqrt{2 \nu}}))^{-1}$, where $\nu=\Delta_{e}$ +1 is an even number, and the equality holds if and only if each component of $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1}$ or $P_{3}$.
ix) $A S(G) \geq 4 m\left(\sqrt{\frac{M_{1}}{n}}+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-\frac{M_{1}}{n}\right)}\right)^{-1}$, and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $\frac{n}{2} K_{2}$ or $K_{n}$ or a non-complete connected strongly regular graph with two non-trivial eigenvalues both with absolute value $\sqrt{\left(2 m-\left(\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2}\right) /(n-1)}$.
x) $A S(G) \geq 4 m(\sqrt{\Delta}+\sqrt{(n-1)(2 m-\Delta)})^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2} K_{2}$.
xi) $A S(G) \geq 4 m\left(2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)+\sqrt{(n-1)\left(2 m-\left(2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right)^{2}\right)}\right)^{-1}$, where $G$ is a connected graph, and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong P_{2}$.
xii) $A S(G) \geq 4 m(2 \beta \sqrt{\Delta})^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong$ $\beta K_{1, \Delta} \cup(n-\beta) K_{1}$.
xiii) $A S(G) \geq 4 m\left(\sqrt{2 m(n-\delta)+4 \sqrt{m^{3}\left(1-\frac{1}{\omega}\right)}}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 3(i) and 6.
Theorem 7. If $G$ is a graph with $m \geq 1$, then
i) [15] $A S(G) \leq 2 \sqrt{m}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is the union of a complete bipartite subgraph and some trivial subgraphs.
ii) [15] For $m>\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor, A S(G) \leq \frac{2 m}{n-1}+\sqrt{2 m\left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{2 m}{n(n-1)}\right)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
iii) [15] For $m>\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor, A S(G) \leq \frac{2 m}{n}+\sqrt{2 m-\left(\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2}-\omega+2}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
iv) [29] $A S(G) \leq 2 \sqrt[4]{M_{1}-m+4 f}$, where $f$ is the number of all 4-cycles in $G$, and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is the union of a complete bipartite subgraph and some trivial subgraphs.
v) [27] $A S(G) \leq n$, where $G$ is a regular graph, and the equality holds if $G \cong K_{n}$ or $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
vi) $[28] A S(G) \leq \sqrt{2 k n}$, where $G$ is a connected $k$-regular graph, and the equality holds if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
vii) $[28] A S(G) \leq 2 \sqrt{2(n-2)}$, where $n \geq 3$ and $\chi=2$, and the equality holds if $G \cong K_{2, n-2}$.

Corollary 4. If $G$ is a graph with $m \geq 1$, then
i) $\mathcal{E}(G) \geq 2 \sqrt{m}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is the union of $a$ complete bipartite subgraph and some trivial subgraphs.
ii) For $m>\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor, \mathcal{E}(G) \geq 4 m\left(\frac{2 m}{n-1}+\sqrt{2 m\left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{2 m}{n(n-1)}\right)}\right)^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
iii) For $m>\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor, \mathcal{E}(G) \geq 4 m\left(\frac{2 m}{n}+\sqrt{2 m-\left(\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2}-\omega+2}\right)^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$.
iv) $\mathcal{E}(G) \geq 2 m\left(\sqrt[4]{M_{1}-m+4 f}\right)^{-1}$, where $f$ is the number of all 4-cycles in $G$, and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is the union of a complete bipartite subgraph and some trivial subgraphs.
v) $\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{n}$, where $G$ is a regular graph, and the equality holds if $G \cong$ $K_{n}$ or $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
vi) $\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{\sqrt{2 k n}}$, where $G$ is a connected $k$-regular graph, and the equality holds if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
vii) $\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{2 m}{\sqrt{2(n-2)}}$, where $n \geq 3$ and $\chi=2$, and the equality holds if $G \cong K_{2, n-2}$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 3(i) and 7.
Theorem 8. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) [17] $L \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt{n M_{1}+2 m(n-2 m)}$ and the equality holds if and only if there exist $\alpha \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$ such that $G \cong \alpha K_{k} \cup(k-2) \alpha K_{1}$.
ii) [17] $L \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{2 m}{n} \rho+\sqrt{(n-\rho)\left(\frac{n M_{1}+2 m(n-2 m)}{n}-\rho\left(\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2}\right)}$, and for $\rho=1$ the equality holds if and only if $G$ is a complete graph or a non-complete connected strongly regular graph with two non-trivial eigenvalues both with absolute value $\sqrt{\left(2 m-\left(\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2}\right) /(n-1)}$; Otherwise, the equality holds if there exist $\alpha \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$ such that $G \cong \alpha K_{k} \cup(k-2) \alpha K_{1}$ and $(k-1) \alpha=\rho$.
iii) [17] $G$ has no isolated vertex. Then $L \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{n M_{1}+2 m(n-2 m)}{n}$ the equality holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2} K_{2}$.
iv) [43] $L \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt{\frac{n M_{1}+2 m(n-2 m)}{n}(n-1)+n d^{\frac{2}{n}}}$, where $d=\mid \operatorname{det}(L(G)$ $\left.-\frac{2 m}{n} I\right) \mid$.

Corollary 5. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) $\alpha_{1} \geq\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)\left(n \sqrt{n M_{1}+2 m(n-2 m)}\right)^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if there exist $\alpha \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$ such that $G \cong \alpha K_{k} \cup(k-2) \alpha K_{1}$.
ii) $\alpha_{1} \geq\left(2 m \rho+n \sqrt{(n-\rho)\left(\frac{n M_{1}+2 m(n-2 m)}{n}-\rho\left(\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2}\right)}\right)^{-1}\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n\right.$ $-2 m)$ ), and for $\rho=1$ the equality holds if and only if $G$ is a complete graph or a non-complete connected strongly regular graph with two non-trivial eigenvalues both with absolute value $\sqrt{\frac{2 m-\left(\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}$; Otherwise, the equality holds if there exist $\alpha \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$ such that $G \cong \alpha K_{k} \cup(k-2) \alpha K_{1}$ and $(k-1) \alpha=\rho$.
iii) For every graph $G$ with no isolated vertex, $\alpha_{1} \geq 2$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2} K_{2}$.
iv) $\alpha_{1} \geq\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)\left(n \sqrt{\frac{n M_{1}+2 m(n-2 m)}{n}(n-1)+n d^{\frac{2}{n}}}\right)^{-1}$, where $d=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(L(G)-\frac{2 m}{n} I\right)\right|$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 3(ii) and 8.
Corollary 6. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then $\alpha_{1} \geq \frac{2 \sqrt{m n}}{n}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2} K_{2}$.

Proof. One can observe that the function $f(x)=\frac{(2 n x+4 m(n-2 m))}{(n \sqrt{n x+2 m(n-2 m)})}$ is increasing on $(-\infty,+\infty)$. Thus, the proof follows from Corollary 5(i) and Theorem 5.

Theorem 9. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) $[2] \alpha_{1} \leq \Delta_{e}+2$ and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is a bipartite regular or a bipartite semi-regular, where $G$ is a connected graph.
ii) $[20] \alpha_{1} \leq n$ with equality holds if and only if the complement $G^{c}$ of $G$ is disconnected.
iii) $[39] \alpha_{1} \leq \sqrt{2 \Delta^{2}+4 m-2 \delta(n-1)+2 \Delta(\delta-1)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is a bipartite regular, where $G$ is a connected graph.
iv) [39] For every nonregular connected graph $G, \alpha_{1}<2 \Delta-\frac{2}{2 n^{2}-n}$ and $\alpha_{1}<2 \Delta-\frac{2}{(2 D+1) n}$.
v) $[23] \alpha_{1} \leq \frac{2 m+\sqrt{m(n-2)(n(n-1)-2 m)}}{n-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$ or $K_{1, n-1}$, where $G$ is a connected graph.
vi) $[41] \alpha_{1} \leq \Delta+\sqrt{2 m+\Delta(\delta-1)-\delta(n-1)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G$ is a bipartite regular, where $G$ is a connected graph.
vii) $[31] \alpha_{1} \leq \Delta+\sqrt{2 m\left(1-\frac{1}{\omega}\right)}$.
viii) [25] For every triangle-free graph, $\alpha_{1} \leq \Delta+\sqrt{m}$ and $\alpha_{1} \leq \Delta+\frac{n}{2}$ with either equality if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.

Corollary 7. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)\left(n\left(\Delta_{e}+2\right)\right)^{-1}$ with equality if $G \cong$ $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
ii) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)}{n^{2}}$ with equality if $G \cong K_{n}$.
iii) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq\left(n \sqrt{2 \Delta^{2}+4 m-2 \delta(n-1)+2 \Delta(\delta-1)}\right)^{-1}\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n\right.$ $-2 m)$ ) with equality if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
iv) For every nonregular connected graph $G$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \mathcal{E}(G)>\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)\left(n\left(2 \Delta-\frac{2}{2 n^{2}-n}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& L \mathcal{E}(G)>\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)\left(n\left(2 \Delta-\frac{2}{(2 D+1) n}\right)\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

v) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)\left(n \frac{2 m+\sqrt{m(n-2)(n(n-1)-2 m)}}{n-1}\right)^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$, where $G$ is a connected graph.
vi) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq(n(\Delta+\sqrt{2 m+\Delta(\delta-1)-\delta(n-1)}))^{-1}\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-\right.$ $2 m)$ ) with equality if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
vii) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq\left(n\left(\Delta+\sqrt{2 m\left(1-\frac{1}{\omega}\right)}\right)\right)^{-1}\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right.$.
viii) For every triangle-free graph,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)(n(\Delta+\sqrt{m}))^{-1} \\
& L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)\left(n\left(\Delta+\frac{n}{2}\right)\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with either equality if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 3(ii) and 9.
Corollary 8. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{\Delta_{e}+2}$ with equality if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
ii) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{n}$ with equality if $G \cong K_{n}$.
iii) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{\sqrt{2 \Delta^{2}+4 m-2 \delta(n-1)+2 \Delta(\delta-1)}}$ with equality if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
iv) For every nonregular connected graph $G$, $L \mathcal{E}(G)>4 m\left(2 \Delta-\frac{2}{2 n^{2}-n}\right)^{-1}$ and $L \mathcal{E}(G)>4 m\left(2 \Delta-\frac{2}{(2 D+1) n}\right)^{-1}$.
v) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq 4 m\left(\frac{2 m+\sqrt{m(n-2)(n(n-1)-2 m)}}{n-1}\right)^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n}$, where $G$ is a connected graph.
vi) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq 4 m(\Delta+\sqrt{2 m+\Delta(\delta-1)-\delta(n-1)})^{-1}$ with equality if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
vii) $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq 4 m\left(\Delta+\sqrt{2 m\left(1-\frac{1}{\omega}\right)}\right)^{-1}$.
viii) For every triangle-free graph, $L \mathcal{E}(G) \geq 4 m(\Delta+\sqrt{m})^{-1}$ and $L \mathcal{E}(G)$ $\geq 4 m\left(\Delta+\frac{n}{2}\right)^{-1}$ with either equality if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
Proof. The proof follows from Corollary 7 and Theorem 5.
Theorem 10. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) [1] $Q \mathcal{E}(G) \leq 4 m\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong$ $K_{2} \cup(n-2) K_{1}$.
ii) [14] $Q \mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2\left(2 m+1-\Delta-\frac{2 m}{n}\right)$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{1, n-1}$.
iii) $\quad[22] ~ Q \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{2 m}{n-1}+n-2+\sqrt{(n-2)\left(\frac{2 m^{2}}{n-1}+\frac{8 m \Delta-4 m^{2}}{n}+m n-4\right)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{2}$.

Corollary 9. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) $S Q(G) \geq\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)\left(4 n m\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{2} \cup(n-2) K_{1}$.
ii) Every connected graph $G$ satisfies

$$
S Q(G)>\left(n M_{1}+2 m(n-2 m)\right)\left(n\left(2 m+1-\Delta-\frac{2 m}{n}\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

iii) $S Q(G) \geq \frac{2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)}{n\left(\frac{2 m}{n-1}+n-2+\sqrt{(n-2)\left(\frac{2 m^{2}}{n-1}+\frac{8 m \Delta-4 m^{2}}{n}+m n-4\right)}\right)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{2}$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 3(iii) and 10.
Corollary 10. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) $S Q(G) \geq \frac{n}{n-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{2}$.
ii) Every connected graph $G$ satisfies $S Q(G)>4 m\left(2 m+1-\Delta-\frac{2 m}{n}\right)^{-1}$.
iii) $S Q(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{\frac{2 m}{n-1}+n-2+\sqrt{(n-2)\left(\frac{2 m^{2}}{n-1}+\frac{8 m \Delta-4 m^{2}}{n}+m n-4\right)}}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{2}$.

Proof. The proof follows from Corollary 9 and Theorem 5.
Theorem 11. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) $[30] S Q(G) \leq \max \left\{d_{G}(v)+m(v): v \in V(G)\right\}$ where

$$
m(v)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \frac{d_{G}(u)}{d_{G}(v)}
$$

The equality holds if and only if $G$ is a bipartite regular or a bipartite semi-regular.
ii) [36] For every connected graph $G$ with $\Delta \leq n-2, S Q(G) \leq 2 n-4$ with equality if and only if $G \cong C_{4}$.
iii) [36] For $n \geq 5, S Q(G) \leq 2 n-4$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{n-1} \cup K_{1}$.
iv) $[3] S Q(G) \leq \sqrt{2 M_{1}+4 m-\frac{8 m^{2}}{n}}$ and $S Q(G) \leq\left(2 m\left(\frac{2 m}{n-1}+\frac{n-2}{n-1} \Delta+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.(\Delta-\delta)\left(1-\frac{\Delta}{n-1}\right)\right)+4 m-\frac{8 m^{2}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with either equality if and only if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
v) [3] For every $k$-regular graph $G, S Q(G) \leq \sqrt{2 n k}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
vi) [34] For every regular graph $G, S Q(G) \leq n$ with equality holds if and only if the complement $G^{c}$ of $G$ is disconnected.

Corollary 11. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) For every connected graph $G, Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)(n \max \{$ $\left.\left.d_{G}(v)+m(v): v \in V(G)\right\}\right)^{-1}$, where $m(v)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \frac{d_{G}(u)}{d_{G}(v)}$ with equality if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
ii) For every connected graph $G$ with $\Delta \leq n-2$,

$$
Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq\left(n M_{1}+2 m(n-2 m)\right)(n(n-2))^{-1}
$$

Also the equality holds if and only if $G \cong C_{4}$.
iii) For $n \geq 5, Q \mathcal{E}(G)>\left(n M_{1}+2 m(n-2 m)\right)(n(n-2))^{-1}$.
iv) $Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)\left(n \sqrt{2 M_{1}+4 m-\frac{8 m^{2}}{n}}\right)^{-1}$ and

$$
Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)}{n \sqrt{2 m\left(\frac{2 m}{n-1}+\frac{n-2}{n-1} \Delta+(\Delta-\delta)\left(1-\frac{\Delta}{n-1}\right)\right)+4 m-\frac{8 m^{2}}{n}}}
$$

with either equality if and only if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
v) For every $k$-regular graph $G, Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq\left(2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)\right)(n \sqrt{2 n k})^{-1}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
vi) For every regular graph $G, Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{2 n M_{1}+4 m(n-2 m)}{n^{2}}$ with equality if $G \cong K_{n}$ or $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 3(iii) and 11.
Corollary 12. Let $G$ be a graph with $m \geq 1$. Then
i) For every connected graph $G, Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq 4 m\left(\max \left\{d_{G}(v)+m(v): v \in\right.\right.$ $V(G)\})^{-1}$ where $m(v)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \frac{d_{G}(u)}{d_{G}(v)}$. The equality holds if $G \cong$ $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
ii) For every connected graph $G$ with $\Delta \leq n-2, Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{2 m}{n-2}$ with equality if and only if $G \cong C_{4}$.
iii) For $n \geq 5, Q \mathcal{E}(G)>\frac{2 m}{n-2}$.
iv) $Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq 2 \sqrt{m}$ and $Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{\sqrt{2 m\left(\frac{2 m}{n-1}+\frac{n-2}{n-1} \Delta+(\Delta-\delta)\left(1-\frac{\Delta}{n-1}\right)\right)+4 m-\frac{8 m^{2}}{n}}}$ with either equality if and only if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
v) For every $k$-regular graph $G, Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \sqrt{2 n k}$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.
vi) For every regular graph $G, Q \mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4 m}{n}$ with equality if $G \cong K_{n}$ or $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$.

Proof. The proof follows from Corollary 11 and Theorem 5.
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