

On the Energy of a Graph and its Edge–Deleted Subgraphs

Luzhen Ye

School of Science, Jimei University, Xiamen 361021, China

lzye555@sina.com

(Received February 21, 2024)

Abstract

Gutman defined the energy $\mathcal{E}(G)$ of a simple graph G with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ as the sum of the absolute values of eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of G , which has been studied extensively in mathematical chemistry. In this note, we consider the relation between the energies of G and the edge-deleted subgraphs of G and prove that for any positive integer $k \leq m - 1$,

$$\binom{m-1}{k} \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sum_{M \in \Phi_k(G)} \mathcal{E}(G - M),$$

where $\Phi_k(G) = \{M \subset E(G) \mid |M| = k\}$. As corollary, we show that if $m \geq 2$, then

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt{2}m + \frac{4 - 2\sqrt{2}}{m - 1} p(G; 2) = 2m - \frac{4 - 2\sqrt{2}}{m - 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{2},$$

where $p(G; 2)$ is the number of 2-matchings of G and d_i is the degree of v_i in G .

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ and let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of G .

Gutman [6] defined the energy of G , denoted by $\mathcal{E}(G)$, as the sum of the absolute values of eigenvalues of $A(G)$, which has been studied extensively in mathematical chemistry (see for example the book [8] and survey [7]).

Let P_s, K_s and $K_{1,s-1}$ denote the path, complete graph and star with s vertices, respectively. Denote by $p(G; i)$ the number of i -matchings of G . we use $G \cup H$ to denote the vertex disjoint union of two graphs G and H .

By using the singular-value inequality found by Fan [5], Day and So [3] proved that for any two Hermitian matrices A and B of order n , then

$$\mathcal{E}(A + B) \leq \mathcal{E}(A) + \mathcal{E}(B), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{E}(A)$ is the sum of the absolute values of eigenvalues of A . By (1), they proved that for any edge e of G , then

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2 + \mathcal{E}(G - e) \quad (2)$$

with equality if and only if e is one of components of G , which results in the following upper bound of $\mathcal{E}(G)$ [10]:

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2m \quad (3)$$

with equality if and only if $G = mK_2 \cup (n - 2m)K_1$, which is a previously known upper bound of $\mathcal{E}(G)$ in [2]. By using repeatedly (2) to all edges of G , except to those edges which are incident with a vertex of the maximum-degree, then the following result can be obtained [10].

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2m - 2\Delta + 2\sqrt{\Delta}$$

with equality if and only if $G = K_{1,\Delta} \cup (m - \Delta)K_2 \cup (n - 2m + \Delta - 1)K_1$, where Δ is the maximum degree of G .

If E_1 is a cut set of of G , Day and So [4] proved that

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \mathcal{E}(G - E_1),$$

where $G - E_1$ is the graph obtained from G by deleting all edges in E_1 .

On the other hand, Akbari, Ghorbani and Oboudi [1] proved that for

any complete multipartite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} , then

$$\mathcal{E}(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} - e) > \mathcal{E}(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k})$$

for every edge $e \in E(E(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}))$. They also considered the change of graph energy after adding some edges and proved that for any graph G with m edges and every $t = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, there exist at least $\left\lceil \binom{m-1}{t-1} \frac{\mathcal{E}(G)}{2^t} \right\rceil$ t -subsets S of $E(G)$ such that $\mathcal{E}(G + S) > \mathcal{E}(G)$. Particularly, for any graph G , there exist at least $\lceil \mathcal{E}(G)/2 \rceil$ edges e such that $\mathcal{E}(G + e) > \mathcal{E}(G)$.

Further results on the energy of edge-deleted subgraphs of a graph see for example [1, 9, 11, 12].

In this short note, we consider the relation between the energies of G and all k -edge-deleted subgraphs of G , and some new upper bounds of $\mathcal{E}(G)$ are obtained.

2 Main results

In this section, we prove mainly the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a simple graph with edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$, and let $\Phi_k(G)$ be the set of all subsets M of $E(G)$ satisfying $|M| = k$ for some positive integer $k \leq m-1$. Then*

$$\binom{m-1}{k} \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sum_{M \in \Phi_k(G)} \mathcal{E}(G - M), \quad (4)$$

with equality if each component of G is K_2 or K_1 .

Proof. Obviously, if each component of G is K_2 or K_1 , then the equality holds.

Note that $A(G)$ denotes the adjacency matrix of G . We first prove that $A(G)$ and $\{A(G - M) | M \in \Phi_k(G)\}$ satisfy the following equality:

$$\binom{m-1}{k} A(G) = \sum_{M \in \Phi_k(G)} A(G - M). \quad (5)$$

Set

$$B = \binom{m-1}{k} A(G) = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}, C = \sum_{M \in \Phi_k(G)} A(G - M) = (c_{ij})_{n \times n}.$$

It is obvious that if $v_i v_j \notin E(G)$, then $b_{ij} = c_{ij} = 0$. If $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, then $b_{ij} = \binom{m-1}{k}$. On the other hand, there exist $\binom{m-1}{k-1}$ subsets M in $\Phi_k(G)$ each of which contains edge $v_i v_j$ and hence there exist $\binom{m}{k} - \binom{m-1}{k-1} = \binom{m-1}{k}$ subgraphs $G - M$ in G each of which contains edge $v_i v_j$. So $c_{ij} = \binom{m-1}{k}$, and (5) holds.

The theorem is immediate from (5) and (1). ■

The following corollary is immediate from Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a simple graph with m edges. Then for any positive integer $k \leq m - 1$, then there exists an $M^* \in \Phi_k(G)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{m}{m-k} \mathcal{E}(G - M^*).$$

Particularly, there exists an edge $e^ \in E(G)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{m}{m-1} \mathcal{E}(G - e^*).$$

Proof. If we set $\mathcal{E}(G - M^*) = \max\{\mathcal{E}(G - M) | M \in \Phi_k(G)\}$, then the corollary is immediate. ■

Remark. Set $k = m - 1$ in Theorem 1. Then we can obtain (3).

Corollary 1. *Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sqrt{2}m + \frac{4 - 2\sqrt{2}}{m-1} p(G; 2), \quad (6)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2m - \frac{4 - 2\sqrt{2}}{m - 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{2}, \quad (7)$$

where d_i is the degree of vertex v_i in G .

Proof. We set $k = m - 2$ in Theorem 1. Then

$$\binom{m-1}{m-2} \mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sum_{M \in \Phi_{m-2}(G)} \mathcal{E}(G - M),$$

that is,

$$(m-1)\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sum_{M \in \Phi_{m-2}(G)} \mathcal{E}(G - M). \quad (8)$$

For any $M \in \Phi_{m-2}(G)$, since $|M| = m - 2$, $G - M$ has two edges. So $G - M$ is the vertex-disjoint union of a P_3 and $n - 3$ isolated vertices $(n - 3)K_1$, i.e., $G - M = P_3 \cup (n - 3)K_1$, or the vertex-disjoint union $2P_2$ of two 2-matchings of G and $n - 4$ isolated vertices $(n - 4)K_1$, i.e., $G - M = 2P_2 \cup (n - 4)K_1$. It is obvious that

$$\mathcal{E}(P_3 \cup (n - 3)K_1) = \mathcal{E}(P_3) = 2\sqrt{2},$$

$$\mathcal{E}(2P_2 \cup (n - 2)K_1) = \mathcal{E}(2P_2) = 4.$$

Note that $\Phi_{m-2}(G)$ has $p(G; 2)$ subgraphs each of which is isomorphic to $2P_2 \cup (n - 4)K_1$ and $\binom{m}{m-2} - p(G; 2)$ subgraphs each of which is isomorphic to $P_3 \cup (n - 3)K_1$. Similarly, $\Phi_{m-2}(G)$ has $\sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{2}$ subgraphs each of which is isomorphic to $P_3 \cup (n - 3)K_1$ and $\binom{m}{m-2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{2}$ subgraphs each of which is isomorphic to $2P_2 \cup (n - 4)K_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{M \in \Phi_{m-2}(G)} \mathcal{E}(G - M) &= p(G; 2)\mathcal{E}(2P_2) + \left[\binom{m}{m-2} - p(G; 2) \right] \mathcal{E}(P_3) \\ &= 4p(G; 2) + [m(m-1) - 2p(G; 2)]\sqrt{2} \\ &= (4 - 2\sqrt{2})p(G; 2) + m(m-1)\sqrt{2}. \end{aligned} \quad ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{M \in \Phi_{m-2}(G)} \mathcal{E}(G - M) &= \mathcal{E}(P_3) \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{2} + \left[\binom{m}{m-2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{2} \right] \mathcal{E}(2P_2) \\
&= 2\sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{2} + 4 \left[\frac{1}{2}m(m-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{2} \right] \\
&= 2m(m-1) - (4 - 2\sqrt{2}) \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (6) and (7) are immediate from (8). The corollary has been proved. ■

By Corollary 1, the following result follows.

Corollary 2. *Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$. If the minimum degree δ of G satisfies $\delta \geq 2$, then*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2m - \frac{4 - 2\sqrt{2}}{m-1}n. \quad (9)$$

Remark. In the theorem above, if $k = 1$, then

$$(m-1)\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mathcal{E}(G - e). \quad (10)$$

Set $k = m - 3$ in Theorem 1. Then we can obtain the following result.

Corollary 3. *Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{4[2a + 3p(G; 3) + \sqrt{5}b + \sqrt{3} \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{3}] + (1 + \sqrt{2})c}{(m-1)(m-2)}, \quad (11)$$

where $a = \Gamma_G(K_3)$, $b = \Gamma_G(P_4)$ and $c = \Gamma_G(P_3 \cup P_2)$, and $\Gamma_G(H)$ is the number of subgraphs of G each of which is isomorphic to H . Particularly, if G is a bipartite 3-regular graph, then

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{4[3p(G; 3) + \sqrt{5}b + \sqrt{3}n + (1 + \sqrt{2})c]}{(m-1)(m-2)}. \quad (12)$$

References

- [1] S. Akbari, E. Ghorbani, M. Oboudi, Edge addition, singular values, and energy of graphs and matrices, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **430** (2009) 2192–2199.
- [2] G. Caporossi, D. Cvetković, I. Gutman, P. Hansen, Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs: 2. Finding graphs with extremal energy, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **39** (1999) 984–996.
- [3] J. Day, W. So, Singular value inequality and graph energy change, *El. J. Lin. Algebra* **16** (2007) 291–299.
- [4] J. Day, W. So, Graph energy change due to edge deletion, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **428** (2008) 2070–2078.
- [5] K. Fan, Maximum properties and inequalities for the eigenvalues of completely continuous operators, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **37** (1951) 760–766.
- [6] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph, *Ber. Math. Statist. Sect. Forschungsz. Graz.* **103** (1978) 1–22.
- [7] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, The total π -electron energy saga, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **90** (2017) 359–368.
- [8] X. Li, Y. Shi, I. Gutman, *Graph Energy*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [9] H. Y. Shan, C. X. He, Z. S. Yu, The energy change of the complete multipartite graph, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **36** (2020) 309–317.
- [10] W. So, M. Robbiano, N. M. M. Abreu, I. Gutman, Applications of a theorem by Ky Fan in the theory of graph energy, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **432** (2010) 2163–2169.
- [11] L. W. Tang, M. G. Lin, Q. P. Li, Graph energy change on edge deletion, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **90** (2023) 709–716.
- [12] W. H. Wang, W. So, Graph energy change due to any single edge deletion, *El. J. Lin. Algebra* **29** (2015) 59–73.