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#### Abstract

For a simple graph $G$, we use $d(u, v)$ to denote the distance between two vertices $u, v$ in $G$. The Wiener index is defined as the sum of distances between all unordered pairs of vertices in a graph. In other word, given a connected graph $G$, the Wiener index $W(G)$ of $G$ is $W(G)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq G} d(u, v)$. Another index of graphs closely related to Wiener index is the Harary index, defined as $H(G)=$ $\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq G, u \neq v} 1 / d(u, v)$. Recently, Gutman posed a the following conjecture: For a positive integer $n \geq 5$, let $T_{n}$ be any $n$-vertex tree different from the star $S_{n}$ and the path $P_{n}$. Then $W\left(S_{n}\right) \cdot H\left(S_{n}\right)<$ $W\left(T_{n}\right) \cdot H\left(T_{n}\right)<W\left(P_{n}\right) \cdot H\left(P_{n}\right)$. In this paper, we confirm the lower bound of the conjecture and disproof the upper bound of it.


## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider only finite, undirected and simple graphs. Let $G$ be a graph. We use $n$ and $m$ to denote the number of vertices and the number of edges of $G$, respectively. For terminology and notation not defined here, we refer the reader to [1]. In 1947, Harold Wiener introduced

[^0]the Wiener index of a (molecular) graph for the purpose of determining the approximation formula of the boiling point of paraffin [9]. For a connected graph $G$, the Wiener index $W(G)$ of $G$ is defined by
$$
W(G)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq G} d(u, v)
$$
where $d(u, v)$ denotes the distance of $u$ and $v$ in $G$.
Another index of a graph related to the Wiener index is the Harary index, which was introduced by Plavšić et al. [8] and Ivanciuc et al. [5]. For a connected graph $G$, the Harary index $H(G)$ of $G$ is defined by
$$
H(G)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq G, u \neq v} 1 / d(u, v)
$$

The fundamental properties of the Wiener index and Harary index in the case of extrema have been proved in many articles. For example, let $n \geq 5$ and $T_{n}$ be a $n$-vertex tree, different from the star $S_{n}$ and the path $P_{n}$. Entringer [2] and Gutman [3, 4] proved

$$
W\left(S_{n}\right)<W\left(T_{n}\right)<W\left(P_{n}\right)
$$

independently. In fact, Gutman in [3] also proved

$$
H\left(P_{n}\right)<H\left(T_{n}\right)<H\left(S_{n}\right)
$$

For more results about the Wiener index and Harary index, please refer to $[6]$ and $[7,10]$.

Recently, by computer search, Gutman discovered a remarkable regularity and posed the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. Let $n \geq 5$ and $T_{n}$ be any $n$-vertex tree, different from the star $S_{n}$ and the path $P_{n}$. Then

$$
W\left(S_{n}\right) \cdot H\left(S_{n}\right)<W\left(T_{n}\right) \cdot H\left(T_{n}\right)<W\left(P_{n}\right) \cdot H\left(P_{n}\right)
$$

In this paper, we will confirm the lower bound of Conjecture 1 and
disproof the upper bound of it. The following results are obtained.
Theorem 1. Let $n \geq 5$ and $T_{n}$ be an n-vertex tree different from the star $S_{n}$. Then $W\left(S_{n}\right) H\left(S_{n}\right)<W\left(T_{n}\right) H\left(T_{n}\right)$.

Theorem 2. For sufficiently large integer $n$, there is an n-vertex tree $T_{n}$ different from the path $P_{n}$ such that $W\left(T_{n}\right) H\left(T_{n}\right)>W\left(P_{n}\right) H\left(P_{n}\right)$. Therefore, the path $P_{n}$ cannot be the (unique) n-vertex tree that achieves the maximal value of the product of the two indices.

We feel that the upper bound of the product is achieved by a class of trees, not by a single tree.

## 2 Proofs of our results

Before we start our proofs, we introduce the following results, which are easily seen, or can be found in some published papers, see $[6,10]$.

Lemma 1. Let $S_{n}$ be a star with $n$ vertices and $P_{n}$ be a path with $n$ vertices. Then $W\left(S_{n}\right)=(n-1)^{2}$ and $W\left(P_{n}\right)=\binom{n+1}{3}$

Lemma 2. Let $S_{n}$ be a star with $n$ vertices and $P_{n}$ be a path with $n$ vertices. Then $H\left(S_{n}\right)=\frac{n^{2}+n-2}{4}$ and $H\left(P_{n}\right)=1+n \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i}$

Lemma 3. When $x_{1}>x_{2}>1$, the following inequality holds:

$$
x_{1}+\frac{1}{x_{1}}>x_{2}+\frac{1}{x_{2}}>2
$$

Now we give the proofs of our results.

Proof of Theorem 1: Choose an $n$-vertex tree $T_{n}$ different from the star $S_{n}$, we will prove $W\left(S_{n}\right) H\left(S_{n}\right)<W\left(T_{n}\right) H\left(T_{n}\right)$. For the star $S_{n}$, note that $W\left(S_{n}\right) H\left(S_{n}\right)$ equals to the sum of all entries in the following Table 1. Let $\ell=\binom{n}{2}$ and $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{\ell}\right)$ be the sequence of distances such that $d_{1} \leq$ $d_{2} \leq \cdots \leq d_{\ell}$ in $T_{n}$. Then $W\left(T_{n}\right) H\left(T_{n}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} d_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{d_{i}}\right)$. Since $T_{n}$ contains exactly $n-1$ edges, which implies $d_{1}=d_{2}=\cdots=d_{n-1}=1$ and $d_{i} \geq 2$ for each $i \in\{n, n+1, \ldots, \ell\}$. In Tables 1 and 2 , we use $a_{i, j}$ and $b_{i, j}$ to denote the entry lying in row $i$ and column $j$, respectively.

| $\times$ | $d_{1}$ | $d_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $d_{n-1}$ | $d_{n}$ | $d_{n+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $d_{\ell}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{1}{d_{1}}$ | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 2 |
| $\frac{1}{d_{2}}$ | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 2 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\frac{1}{d_{n-1}}$ | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 2 |
| $\frac{I}{d_{n}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| $\frac{1}{d_{n+1}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\frac{1}{d_{\ell}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 |

Table 1. $W\left(S_{n}\right) \times H\left(S_{n}\right)$

| $\times$ | $d_{1}$ | $d_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $d_{n-1}$ | $d_{n}$ | $d_{n+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $d_{\ell}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{1}{d_{1}}$ | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | $d_{n}$ | $d_{n+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $d_{\ell}$ |
| $\frac{1}{d_{2}}$ | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | $d_{n}$ | $d_{n+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $d_{\ell}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\frac{1}{d_{n-1}}$ | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 | $d_{n}$ | $d_{n+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $d_{\ell}$ |
| $\frac{1}{d_{n}}$ | $\frac{1}{d_{n}}$ | $\frac{1}{d_{n}}$ | $\cdots$ | $\frac{1}{d_{n}}$ | 1 | $\frac{d_{n+1}}{d_{n}}$ | $\cdots$ | $\frac{d_{\ell}}{d_{n}}$ |
| $\frac{1}{d_{n+1}}$ | $\frac{1}{d_{n+1}}$ | $\frac{1}{d_{n+1}}$ | $\cdots$ | $\frac{1}{d_{n+1}}$ | $\frac{d_{n}}{d_{n+1}}$ | 1 | $\cdots$ | $\frac{d_{\ell}}{d_{n+1}}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\frac{1}{d_{\ell}}$ | $\frac{1}{d_{\ell}}$ | $\frac{1}{d_{\ell}}$ | $\cdots$ | $\frac{1}{d_{\ell}}$ | $\frac{d_{n}}{d_{\ell}}$ | $\frac{d_{n+1}}{d_{\ell}}$ | $\cdots$ | 1 |

Table 2. $W\left(T_{n}\right) \times H\left(T_{n}\right)$

For each integer $i \in\{n, n+1, \ldots, \ell\}$, note that $d_{i} \geq 2$ in Table 2.
For any two integers $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$, we have $b_{i j}=1=a_{i j}$;

For any two integers $i \in\{n, n+1, \ldots, \ell\}$ and $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$, we have $b_{j i}+b_{i j}=d_{i}+\frac{1}{d_{i}} \geq 2+\frac{1}{2}=a_{j i}+a_{i j}$ from Lemma 3. Note that the equality holds if and only if $b_{j i}=d_{i}=2$;

For any two integers $i \in\{n, n+1, \ldots, \ell\}$ and $j \in\{n, n+1, \ldots, \ell\}$, we have $b_{j i}+b_{i j}=\frac{d_{i}}{d_{j}}+\frac{d_{j}}{d_{i}} \geq 2=a_{j i}+a_{i j}$. Note that the equality holds if and only if $d_{i}=d_{j}$.

Since $T_{n}$ is not a star, it follows that there are two integers $i \in\{n, n+$ $1, \ldots, \ell\}$ and $j \in\{n, n+1, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that $d_{i} \neq d_{j}$. Then $W\left(T_{n}\right) H\left(T_{n}\right)>$ $W\left(S_{n}\right) H\left(S_{n}\right)$. The proof is now complete.

Before starting the proof of Theorem 2, we introduce the graph in Figure 1, which we call the meteor graph $M_{n}$ :


Figure 1. Meteor graph

The meteor graph can be obtained from $P_{\frac{n}{2}}$ and $S_{\frac{n}{2}}$ by joining one end vertex of $P_{\frac{n}{2}}$ and the center vertex of $S_{\frac{n}{2}}$. Now, we can calculate the Wiener index and Harary index of the meteor graph.

$$
\begin{aligned}
W\left(M_{n}\right) & =\binom{\frac{n}{2}+1}{3}+\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)^{2}+1+\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) 2+2+\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) 3+\cdots \\
+ & \frac{n}{2}+\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)=\frac{5}{48} n^{3}+\frac{5}{8} n^{2}-\frac{5}{3} n+1 \\
H\left(M_{n}\right) & =1+\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \frac{1}{i}+\frac{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{n}{2}-2}{4}+1+\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\frac{n}{2}}+\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{\frac{n}{2}+1} \\
& =1+\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{i}-\frac{n}{2}-1+\frac{n^{2}+2 n-8}{16}+\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{i} \\
& +\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{i}-1+\frac{1}{\frac{n}{2}+1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{16} n^{2}+\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{i}-\frac{7}{8} n+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{4}{n+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2: Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i}=\ln n+C$. Now we estimate the values of the products of the Wiener index and Harary index for the
path and meteor, respectively. That is,

$$
W\left(P_{n}\right) H\left(P_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{6} n^{4} \ln n+O\left(n^{4}\right)
$$

and

$$
W\left(M_{n}\right) H\left(M_{n}\right)=\frac{5}{768} n^{5}+O\left(n^{4} \ln n\right)
$$

It is clear that $W\left(M_{n}\right) H\left(M_{n}\right)>W\left(P_{n}\right) H\left(P_{n}\right)$ when $n$ is sufficiently large.
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