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#### Abstract

Let $G=(U, V)$ be a connected bipartite graph and let $C(G)$ be the algebraic structure count of $G$. Gutman's formulas in [12] states that for any edge $a b$ of $G$, then there exists an $\varepsilon \in\{1,-1\}$ such that $$
C(G)=|c(G-a b)+\varepsilon C(G-a-b)|
$$

The current author extended the above result and obtained some variants of Gutman's formulas in $[21,22]$ as follows. 1. For any $a, c \in U, b, d \in V$, then there exists an $\varepsilon_{1} \in\{1,-1\}$ such that $$
\begin{gathered} C(G) C(G-a-b-c-d)= \\ \left|C(G-a-b) C(G-c-d)+\varepsilon_{1} C(G-a-d) C(G-b-c)\right| . \end{gathered}
$$


2. For any 2 -matching $\left\{u_{1} v_{1}, u_{2} v_{2}\right\}$ of $G$, then there exists an $\varepsilon_{2} \in\{1,-1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
C(G) C\left(G-u_{1} v_{1}-u_{2} v_{2}\right)= \\
\left|C\left(G-u_{1} v_{1}\right) C\left(G-u_{2} v_{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{2} C\left(G-u_{1}-v_{2}\right) C\left(G-u_{2}-v_{1}\right)\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

where $u_{1}, u_{2} \in U, v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$.
3. For any edge $y z$ and two vertices $r$ and $s$ of $G$ satisfying $y, r \in U$ and $z, s \in V$ and $\{y, z\} \cap\{r, s\}=\emptyset$, then there exists an $\varepsilon_{3} \in\{1,-1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
C(G) C(G-y z-r-s)= \\
\left|C(G-y z) C(G-r-s)+\varepsilon_{3} C(G-y-s) C(G-r-z)\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

In this note, we prove that, if $|U|=|V|=n$, then there exists a $\beta=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{m}\right)$ satisfying $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{m} \in\{1,-1\}$ such that

$$
(m-n) C(G)=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu_{i} C\left(G-e_{i}\right)\right|
$$

where the sum ranges over all edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}$ of $G$.

## 1 Introduction

Assume that $G$ is a connected bipartite graph and $(U, V)$ is its bipartition. Let $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n_{1}}\right\}, V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n_{2}}\right\}$. The bipartite adjacency matrix of $G$ is an $n_{1} \times n_{2}$ matrix $B(G)=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ satisfying

$$
b_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } u_{i} v_{j} \text { is an edge of } G \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Hence the adjacency matrix of $G$ can be expressed by

$$
A(G)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & B(G) \\
B(G)^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Obviously, if $n_{1} \neq n_{2}$, then $\operatorname{det}(A(G))=0$, and if $n_{1}=n_{2}=n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(A(G))=(-1)^{n} \operatorname{det}(B(G))^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $n_{1}=n_{2}=n$, i.e., $|U|=|V|=n$, then each nonzero term $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) b_{1 \alpha(1)} b_{2 \alpha(2)} \ldots b_{n \alpha(n)}$ in the expansion of the determinant $\operatorname{det}(B(G))$ of $B(G)$ equals 1 or -1 , where $\alpha(1) \alpha(2) \ldots \alpha(n)$ is a permutation of $1,2, \ldots, n$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) b_{1 \alpha(1)} b_{2 \alpha(2)} \ldots b_{n \alpha(n)}=1$ or -1 if and only if $\left\{u_{i} v_{\alpha(i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is a perfect matching of $G$. If $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) b_{1 \alpha(1)} b_{2 \alpha(2)} \ldots b_{n \alpha(n)}=1$, then we call $M_{\alpha}=\left\{u_{i} v_{\alpha(i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ to be an "even" perfect matching of $G$, and if $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) b_{1 \alpha(1)} b_{2 \alpha(2)} \ldots b_{n \alpha(n)}=$ -1 , we call $M_{\alpha}=\left\{u_{i} v_{\alpha(i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ to be an "odd" perfect matching of $G$. Let $\mathcal{E}(G)$ and $\mathcal{O}(G)$ be the set of "even" and "odd" perfect matchings of $G$, respectively. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(B(G))=|\mathcal{E}(G)|-|\mathcal{O}(G)| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if we use $M(G)$ to denote the number of perfect matchings of $G$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(G)=|\mathcal{E}(G)|+|\mathcal{O}(G)| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wilcox, a theoretical organic chemist, defined the algebraic structure count of a bipartite graph $G=(U, V)$ in $[18,19]$, denoted by $C(G)$, as the
difference between the number of "even" and "odd" perfect matchings of $G$ if $|U|=|V|$, and $C(G)=0$ if $|U| \neq V$. Hence, if $|U|=|V|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(G)=||\mathcal{E}(G)|-|\mathcal{O}(G)||=|\operatorname{det}(B(G))| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Eq. (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(A(G))=(-1)^{n} C(G)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A cycle $C_{k}$ of $G$ with $k$ vertices is a nice cycle if $G-C_{k}$ has perfect matchings. It is well known $[8,9]$ that if each nice cycle $C_{k}$ in $G$ satisfies $k=2(\bmod 4)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(G)=M(G) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the algebraic structure count of $G$ equals the number of perfect matchings of $G$, which implies that all perfect matchings of $G$ are "ever" (or "odd").

The relation between $C(G)$ and $M(G)$ has been studied extensively [7, 11, 14]. For example, if the number $k$ of edges in each interior face in a plane bipartite graph $G$ satisfies $k=2(\bmod 4)$, then Eq. (6) holds [6]. In particular, all hexagonal systems $G$, the molecular graphs of benzenoid hydrocarbons, satisfy Eq. (6).

On the other hand, $C(G)$ has a closed relation with the thermodynamic stability of the corresponding molecular graphs and has important applications in theoretical organic chemistry $[10,13,14,17,20]$. On the further research on $C(G)$, see references $[1,2,4,5,12,15,21]$.

For any edge $e=x y$ and any perfect matching $M$ of $G$, either $e \in M$ or $e \notin M$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(G)=M(G-x-y)+M(G-e) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G-x-y$ (or $G-e$ ) is the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting vertices $x$ and $y$ (or $e$ ).

Gutman [12] obtained a similar result to Eq. (7) on the algebraic structure count of a bipartite graph $G$, and proved that for any any edge
$e=x y$ of $G$, one of the following relations holds.

$$
\begin{align*}
& C(G)=C(G-e)+C(G-x-y)  \tag{8}\\
& C(G)=C(G-e)-C(G-x-y)  \tag{9}\\
& C(G)=C(G-x-y)-C(G-e) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Gutman's formulas above show that there exists an $\varepsilon \in\{1,-1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(G)=|C(G-e)+\varepsilon C(G-x-y)| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated by Eqs. (8)-(10), the current author obtained some variants of Gutman's formulas above in $[21,22]$ as follows.

Let $G=(U, V)$ be a bipartite graph. Then

1. For any $a, c \in U, b, d \in V$, then there exists an $\varepsilon_{1} \in\{1,-1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(G) C(G-a-b-c-d)=\left|C(G-a-b) C(G-c-d)+\varepsilon_{1} C(G-a-d) C(G-b-c)\right| . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. For any 2-matching $\left\{f=u_{1} v_{1}, g=u_{2} v_{2}\right\}$ of $G$, then there exists an $\varepsilon_{2} \in\{1,-1\}$ such that
$C(G) C(G-f-g)=\left|C(G-f) C(G-g)+\varepsilon_{2} C\left(G-u_{1}-v_{2}\right) C\left(G-u_{2}-v_{1}\right)\right|$,
where $u_{1}, u_{2} \in U, v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$.
3. For any edge $h=y z$ and two vertices $r$ and $s$ of $G$ satisfying $y, r \in U$ and $z, s \in V$ and $\{y, z\} \cap\{r, s\}=\emptyset$, then there exists an $\varepsilon_{3} \in\{1,-1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(G) C(G-h-r-s)=\left|C(G-h) C(G-r-s)+\varepsilon_{3} C(G-y-s) C(G-r-z)\right| \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further to the above results, i.e., Eqs.(11)-(14), in this note, we continue to find the new variants of Gutman's formulas. We obtain a formula on $C(G)$ and all $C\left(G-e_{1}\right), C\left(G-e_{2}\right), \ldots, C\left(G-e_{m}\right)$ for any bipartite graph $G$ with edge set $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$.

## 2 The main result

In this section, we prove mainly the following result.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition $(U, V)$. If $|U|=|V|=n$, then there exists a $\beta=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{m}\right)$ satisfying $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{m} \in\{1,-1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n) C(G)=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu_{i} C\left(G-e_{i}\right)\right| \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum ranges over all edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}$ of $G$. Particularly, if $C(G)=M(G)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n) C(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} C\left(G-e_{i}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $B(G), B\left(G-e_{1}\right), B\left(G-e_{2}\right), \ldots, B\left(G-e_{m}\right)$ be the bipartite adjacency matrices of $G, G-e_{1}, G-e_{2}, \ldots, G-e_{m}$, respectively. For convenience, for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, set

$$
B=B(G)=\left(b_{s t}\right)_{n \times n}, B_{i}=B\left(G-e_{i}\right)=\left(b_{s t}^{(i)}\right),
$$

and let $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ be the symmetric group of oder $n$.
If $G$ has no perfect matching, then $G-e_{i}$ has no perfect matching for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Hence $C(G)=C\left(G-e_{1}\right)=C\left(G_{2}\right)=\ldots=C\left(G-e_{m}\right)=0$. Obviously, the theorem holds. Hence we may assume that $G$ (resp. $G-e_{i}$ ) has $p+q$ (resp. $p_{i}+q_{i}$ ) perfect matchings, where $p$ (resp. $p_{i}$ ) perfect matchings are "even", and $q$ (resp. $q_{i}$ ) perfect matchings are "odd". Hence, by Eqs. (2) and (4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(B)=p-q, \operatorname{det}\left(B_{i}\right)=p_{i}-q_{i}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(G)=|p-q|, C\left(G-e_{i}\right)=\left|p_{i}-q_{i}\right|, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$.

Denote the set of perfect matchings of $G$ by $\left\{M_{k} \mid 1 \leq i \leq p+q\right\}$, where $M_{k}=\left\{u_{i} v_{\alpha_{k}(i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $\alpha_{k} \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that the set of "even" perfect matchings of $G$ is $\left\{M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{p}\right\}$ and the set of "odd" perfect matchings of $G$ is $\left\{M_{p+1}, M_{p+2}, \ldots, M_{p+q}\right\}$. Hence in the expansion of the determinant of $B$, all non-zero terms satisfy:

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) b_{1 \alpha_{k}(1)} b_{2 \alpha_{k}(2)} \ldots b_{n \alpha_{k}(n)}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
1, & \text { if } 1 \leq k \leq p \\
-1, & \text { if } p+1 \leq k \leq p+q
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Let $\mathcal{L}=\left(l_{s t}\right)$ be a $(p+q) \times m$ matrix defined as

$$
l_{s t}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
1, & \text { if } 1 \leq s \leq p \text { and } e_{t} \notin M_{s} \\
-1, & \text { if } p+1 \leq s \leq p+q \text { and } e_{t} \notin M_{s} \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Since each perfect matching $M_{s}$ of $G$ contains exactly $n$ edges, there $m-n$ edges in $G$ each of which is not in $M_{s}$. Hence

$$
r_{s}=\sum_{t=1}^{m} l_{s t}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
m-n, & \text { if } 1 \leq s \leq p \\
-(m-n), & \text { if } p+1 \leq s \leq p+q
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=1}^{p+q} \sum_{t=1}^{m} l_{s t}=r_{1}+r_{2}+\ldots+r_{p+q}=(m-n)(p-q) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, note that, for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, each non-zero term in the expansion of $\operatorname{det}\left(B_{i}\right)$ must be some non-zero term in the expansion of $\operatorname{det}(B)$, which is equal to 1 or -1 . Particularly, they have the same sign. It is not difficult to see that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t}=\sum_{s=1}^{p+q} l_{s t}=p_{t}-q_{t}, \text { for } 1 \leq t \leq m \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=1}^{p+q} \sum_{t=1}^{m} l_{s t}=\sum_{t=1}^{m} \sum_{s=1}^{p+q} l_{s t}=\sum_{t=1}^{m} c_{t}=\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left(p_{t}-q_{t}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Eqs. (19) and (21),

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n)(p-q)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following formula is immediate from Eq. (18).

$$
\begin{equation*}
|m-n| C(G)=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(p_{i}-q_{i}\right)\right| . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $G$ is a connected bipartite graph with $2 n$ vertices. So $m>$ $n(m \geq 2 n-1)$. Then there exists a $\beta=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{m}\right)$ satisfying $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{m} \in\{1,-1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n) C(G)=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu_{i}\right| p_{i}-q_{i}| |=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu_{i} C\left(G-e_{i}\right)\right| . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have proved that Eq. (15) holds.
Particularly, if $M(G)=C(G)$, then $p=0$ or $q=0$, which results in $p_{i}=0$ or $q_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then Eq. (16) follows from Eq. (22).

The theorem has been proved.
From the proof of the theorem above, the following results are immediate.

Corollary. Let $G$ be a connected bipartite graph with $2 n$ vertices and $m$ edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n) C(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} C\left(G-e_{i}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph with $2 n$ vertices and $m$ edges $e_{1}, e_{2}$, $\ldots, e_{m}$. If each nice cycle $C_{k}$ in $G$ satisfies $k=2(\bmod 4)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n) C(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} C\left(G-e_{i}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

A direct corollary of the theorem above is the following, which was ever
found by Farrell and Wahid [3] and Wahid [16].
Corollary. Let $G$ be a connected bipartite graph with $2 n$ vertices and $m$ edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}$. Then the number of perfect matchings of $G$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n) M(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} M\left(G-e_{i}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 1, if we set $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}=\left(l_{s t}^{\prime}\right)$ be a $(p+q) \times m$ matrix defined as

$$
l_{s t}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } e_{t} \notin M_{s}, 1 \leq s \leq p+q \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-n)(p+q)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(p_{i}+q_{i}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that Eq. (26) holds.


Figure 1. (a). A bipartite graph $G_{1}$. (b). A bipartite graph $G_{2}$.

## 3 Discussion

In this note, we use a combinatorial technique to obtain a formula on the algebraic structure counts $C(G), C\left(G-e_{1}\right), C\left(G-e_{2}\right), \ldots, C\left(G-e_{m}\right)$ for any bipartite graph $G$ with $2 n$ vertices and edge set $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$. That is, Eq. (15). But it is difficult to determine $\beta=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{m}\right)$ in Eq. (15). It is also possible to exist a few $\beta$ 's satisfying this formula. For the bipartite
graph $G_{1}$ illustrated in Figure 1(a), $C\left(G_{1}\right)=1, C\left(G_{1}-e_{1}\right)=C\left(G_{1}-e_{2}\right)=$ $C\left(G_{1}-e_{3}\right)=C\left(G_{1}-e_{4}\right)=0, C\left(G_{1}-e_{5}\right)=2, C\left(G_{1}-e_{6}\right)=C\left(G_{1}-e_{7}\right)=$ 1. Hence for $\beta=(1,1,1,1,1,1,1),(-1,-1,-1,-1,1,1,1), \ldots$, Eq.( 15) holds. For the bipartite graph $G_{2}$ illustrated in Figure 1(b), $C\left(G_{2}\right)=$ $1, C\left(G_{2}-e_{1}\right)=C\left(G_{2}-e_{5}\right)=C\left(G_{2}-e_{8}\right)=1, C\left(G_{2}-e_{7}\right)=C\left(G_{2}-e_{9}\right)=$ $2, C\left(G_{2}-e_{2}\right)=C\left(G_{2}-e_{3}\right)=C\left(G_{2}-e_{4}\right)=C\left(G_{2}-e_{6}\right)=0$. Hence for $\beta=(-1,1,1,1,-1,1,1,-1,1),(1,1,1,1,-1,1,-1,1,1), \ldots$, Eq.( 15) holds.
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