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#### Abstract

For a simple graph $G$, the Seidel energy, denoted by $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))$, is defined as the sum of absolute values of all eigenvalues of the Seidel matrix of $G$. Two graphs are called $S C$-equivalent if one of them is obtained from the other or its complement by a Seidel switching. In [3] Haemers conjectured that if $G$ is a graph of order $n$, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G)) \geq 2 n-2$. Recently, in [S. Akbari, M. Einollahzadeh, M.M. Karkhaneei, M. A. Nematollahi, Proof of a conjecture on the Seidel energy of graphs, European J. Combin. 86 (2020): 103078] the authors proved this conjecture and showed that if $G$ is a graph of order $n$, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G)) \geq 2 n-2$ and the inequality is strict provided that $G$ is not SC-equivalent to $K_{n}$. In this paper, we improve this lower bound and show that if $G$ is a graph of order $n \geq 7$ which is not SC-equivalent to $K_{n}$, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))>2 n-1$.


## 1 Introduction and terminology

Throughout this paper all graphs we consider are simple and finite. For a graph $G$, we denote the set of vertices and edges of $G$ by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$,

[^0]respectively. The order of a graph is its number of vertices and the size of a graph is its number of edges. The complement of $G$ is denoted by $\bar{G}$ and the complete graph and path graph of order $n$ are denoted by $K_{n}$ and $P_{n}$, respectively. In this paper, for $v \in V(G), N_{G}(v)$ denotes the open neighborhood of $v$ in $G$. Moreover, $\delta(G)$ represents the minimum degree of $G$.

For every Hermitian matrix $A$ the energy of $A, \mathcal{E}(A)$, is defined to be sum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of $A$. The well-known concept of energy of a graph $G$, denoted by $\mathcal{E}(G)$, is the energy of its adjacency matrix. Let $G$ be a graph and $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. The Seidel matrix of $G$, denoted by $\mathcal{S}(G)$, is an $n \times n$ matrix whose diagonal entries are zero, $i j$ th entry is -1 if $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are adjacent and otherwise is 1 (It is noteworthy that at first, van Lint and Seidel introduced the concept of Seidel matrix for the study of equiangular lines in [8]). The Seidel energy of $G$ is defined to be $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))$ (see for example [4,5,7] about some results on the Seidel energy of graphs). Moreover, the Seidel switching of $G$ is defined as follows: Partition $V(G)$ into two subsets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$, delete the edges between $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ and join all vertices $v_{1} \in V_{1}$ and $v_{2} \in V_{2}$ which are not adjacent. Therefore, if we call the new graph by $G^{\prime}$, then we have $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=D \mathcal{S}(G) D$, where $D$ is a diagonal matrix with entries 1 (resp. -1) corresponding to the vertices of $V_{1}$ (resp. $V_{2}$ ) ([3]). Hence, $\mathcal{S}(G)$ and $\mathcal{S}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are similar and they have the same Seidel energy. Note that if one of the $V_{1}$ or $V_{2}$ is empty, then $G$ remains unchanged and also, for every $v \in V(G)$, using a Seidel switching on $G$, one can convert $v$ to an isolated vertex. Two graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are called $S C$-equivalent if $G_{2}$ is obtained from $G_{1}$ or $\overline{G_{1}}$ by a Seidel switching and is denoted by $G_{1} \cong G_{2}$. Note that in either cases, $\mathcal{S}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is similar to $\mathcal{S}\left(G_{1}\right)$ or $-\mathcal{S}\left(G_{1}\right)$, hence $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(G_{1}\right)\right)=\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)$. If $X$ and $Y$ are two disjoint subsets of $V(G)$, the set of edges of $G$ with one endpoint in $X$ and another in $Y$ is denoted by $E(X, Y)$. An ordered pair $(X, Y)$ of disjoint subsets of $V(G)$ with $|X|=|Y|=2$, is called an odd pair if $|E(X, Y)|$ is an odd number (which is either 1 or 3 ). One can easily see that applying a Seidel switching on an arbitrary graph $G$ does not change its odd pair(s). We denote the number of odd pairs in $G$ by $s(G)$. Also, if $H$ is a graph of order $r$ and $n>r$ be a positive integer, by $H^{\uparrow n}$, we mean
the graph $H \cup(n-r) K_{1}$. One can easily check the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s\left(K_{n}\right) & =s\left(\overline{K_{n}}\right)=0 \\
s\left(K_{2}^{\uparrow n}\right) & =2(n-2)(n-3)
\end{aligned}
$$

A subset $\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)$ is called an odd set if $\{u, v\}$ is the first component of an odd pair of $G$.

From a graph $G$, we construct a graph denoted by $\Lambda(G)$, as follows: $V(\Lambda(G))=V(G)$ and $E(\Lambda(G))$ consists of all the edges $e=u v$ such that $\{u, v\}$ is an odd set of $G$. By $\lambda(v)$ we denote the degree of vertex $v \in V(G)$ in the graph $\Lambda(G)$.

In 2012 Haemers conjectured that if $G$ is a graph of order $n$, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G)) \geq 2 n-2[3]$. Recently, by means of the concept of odd pairs Akbari et al. in [1] proved this conjecture and showed that if $G$ is a graph of order $n$, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G)) \geq 2 n-2$ and the inequality is strict provided that $G$ is not SC-equivalent to $K_{n}$. More precisely, they show that for every graph $G$ of order $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G)) \geq \mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(K_{n}\right)\right)=2 n-2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we improve the lower bound (1) by proving that if $G$ is a graph of order $n \geq 7$ which is not SC-equivalent to $K_{n}$, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))>2 n-1$. Our result implies that there is no graph of order $n \geq 7$, say $G$, such that

$$
2 n-2<\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G)) \leq 2 n-1
$$

It is worthy to say that in [1], one of the main steps in the proof of inequality (1) is the fact that if $G$ is a graph of order $n$ which is not SCequivalent to $K_{n}$, then $s(G) \geq 2(n-3)^{2}$. In this study, by proving several lemmas, we show that for every graph $G$ of order $n(n \geq 4)$, which is not SC-equivalent to $K_{n}$ and $K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(G) \geq 4(n-3)^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we show that $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}\right)\right)=n-2+\sqrt{n^{2}+4 n-12}$ which implies

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(K_{2}^{\uparrow n}\right)\right)>2 n-1
$$

provided that $n \geq 7$. Finally, with the aid of Inequality (2), we prove that if $G$ is a graph of order $n \geq 6$ which is not SC-equivalent to $K_{n}$ and $K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}$, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))>2 n-1$. According to the two latter facts, we conclude that if $G$ is a graph of order $n \geq 7$ which is not SC-equivalent to $K_{n}$, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))>2 n-1$.

## 2 Improving the lower bound of Seidel energy

In this section, we begin with proving several lemmas to obtain the preliminaries for the proof of Theorem 1 , which is a crucial step in the procedure of concluding our main result. Following this, Theorem 2 is devoted to calculate the Seidel energy of graph $K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}$. At the end, by use of the obtained theorems and computer search, we express the principal result in Theorem 4.

Lemma 1. [2, Lemma 5] Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and $e=u v$ be an edge in $E(\Lambda(G))$. Then, there exist at least $n-3$ odd pairs in $G$ such that their first component is $X=\{u, v\}$.

Corollary. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ such that $\delta(\Lambda(G)) \geq 8$. Then $s(G) \geq 4 n(n-3)$.

Proof. In this case, the size of $\Lambda(G)$ is at least $4 n$ and by Lemma 1 the proof is complete.

Lemma 2. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and $v \in V(G)$ be an arbitrary vertex. Also, assume that $X$ is the set of all vertices of $G$ which are adjacent to $v$ in $\Lambda(G)$. Then, $G$ is $S C$-equivalent to a graph $H$ such that the isolated vertices of $H$ are exactly $V(G) \backslash X$.

Proof. Using a Seidel switching one can assume that $v$ is an isolated vertex of $G$. Define $Y=V(G) \backslash(X \cup\{v\})$. Therefore, for every $w \in Y,\{v, w\}$ isn't
an odd set in $G$. Hence, for every vertices $x, y \in V(G) \backslash\{v, w\}$, the parity of $|E(v,\{x, y\})|$ and $|E(w,\{x, y\})|$ are the same. But $E(v,\{x, y\})=\emptyset$, so $E(w,\{x, y\})$ is of even order. Therefore, $w$ is either connected to all vertices of $V(G) \backslash\{v, w\}$ or is not connected to any vertices in $V(G) \backslash\{v, w\}$. This is equivalent to say that if $\widehat{G}$ be the induced subgraph $G[V(G) \backslash\{v\}]$, then every vertex of $Y$ is either isolated in $\widehat{G}$ or is connected to all other vertices of $\widehat{G}$. Note that the above two cases cannot occur simultaneously.

In the case where all vertices of $Y$ are isolated in $\widehat{G}$, then all vertices of $Y \cup\{v\}$ are isolated in $G$. Now, define $H$ to be the induced subgraph $G[X]$ which implies that $G \cong H^{\uparrow n}$.

On the other hand, in the second case, by considering the graph $\bar{G}$, one can assume that every vertex of $Y$ is isolated in $\widehat{G}$. Now, using a Seidel switching with respect to $(v, V(G) \backslash v)$, we obtain a graph in which every vertex of $Y \cup\{v\}$ is isolated. Therefore, we have

$$
G \cong G[X]^{\uparrow n},
$$

as desired.
Corollary. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ which is not $S C$-equivalent to $K_{n}$. Then, $\delta(\Lambda(G)) \geq 2$.

Proof. Assume that $v \in V(G)$ be an arbitrary vertex of $G$. By Lemma 2, there exists a graph $H$ of order $|\lambda(v)|$ such that $G \cong H^{\uparrow n}$. On the contrary, if $\lambda(v)=0$ or 1 , then $H^{\uparrow n}$ is $\overline{K_{n}}$ which implies that $G \cong K_{n}$, a contradiction.

Lemma 3. Let $H$ be a graph of order at most $n-2$ with no isolated vertex. Suppose that $X$ is the set of all vertices of $H$ in $H^{\uparrow n}$ and $Y$ is $V\left(H^{\uparrow n}\right) \backslash X$. Then, every vertex of $X$ is adjacent to every vertex of $Y$ in the graph $\Lambda\left(H^{\uparrow n}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $y^{\prime} \in Y \backslash\{y\}$ and $x^{\prime} \in X$ is adjacent to $x$ (Note that since $|H| \leq n-2$, such a vertex $y^{\prime}$ exists). Now, the only edge of $H^{\uparrow n}$ with one endpoint in $\{x, y\}$ and the other in $\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}$ is $e=x x^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\left(\{x, y\},\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ is an odd pair in $H^{\uparrow n}$ and hence, $\{x, y\}$ is an edge of $\Lambda\left(H^{\uparrow n}\right)$.

Lemma 4. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 4$ which is not SC-equivalent to $K_{n}$. Then, the size of $\Lambda(G)$ is at least $2(n-2)$ which implies that $s(G) \geq 2(n-2)(n-3)$.

Proof. Let $m$ be the size of $\Lambda(G)$. By Lemma $1, s(G) \geq m(n-3)$. If $\Lambda(G)$ is the complete graph $K_{n}$, then

$$
m=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}>2(n-2) \Rightarrow s(G)>2(n-2)(n-3)
$$

as desired.
Now, assume that $\Lambda(G) \neq K_{n}$. Therefore, there exists $v \in V(G)$ such that $\lambda(v)<n-1$. On the other hand, by Corollary $2, \lambda(v) \geq 2$. Hence, by Lemma 2, $G$ is SC-equivalent to $H^{\uparrow n}$ where $|H|<n-1$. One can assume that $H$ has minimum order with this property. Since $G$ is not SC-equivalent to $K_{n}$, then $|H| \geq 2$. Note that $H$ has no isolated vertex (otherwise by deleting the isolated vertices of $H$ the graph $\widehat{H}$ is obtained where $|V(\widehat{H})|<|V(H)|$ and $G=\widehat{H}^{\uparrow n}$, a contradiction). So, by Lemma 3, we have

$$
m \geq|H|(n-|H|) \geq 2(n-2)
$$

Therefore,

$$
s(G) \geq m(n-3) \geq 2(n-2)(n-3)
$$

which completes the proof.
Lemma 5. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 4$ which is not SC-equivalent to $K_{n}$ or $K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}$ and, $G \cong H^{\uparrow n}$, where $H$ is either $P_{3}$ or $K_{3}$. Then $s(G) \geq$ $4(n-3)^{2}$.

Proof. One can assume that $H$ has no isolated vertex; otherwise by deleting the isolated vertices of $H$, a graph of less order is obtained.

First assume that $H=P_{3}$. In this case, one can easily check that we have

$$
s\left(H^{\uparrow n}\right)=4(n-3)+4(n-3)(n-4)=4(n-3)^{2}
$$

Hence, suppose that $H=K_{3}$. It is easy to see that we have

$$
s\left(H^{\uparrow n}\right)=6(n-3)(n-4)
$$

If $n \geq 6$, then $6(n-3)(n-4) \geq 4(n-3)^{2}$. Also, if $n=5$, then as sketched in Figure 1, we have $G=H^{\uparrow 5} \cong K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow 5}$, a contradiction. Finally if $n=4$, then using a Seidel switching with respect to the isolated vertex and the other three vertices of $G$, we obtain $G \cong K_{4}$, a contradiction. Now, the proof is complete.

$H^{\uparrow 5}=$


Seidel switching with respect to

$$
\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{5}\right\} \text { and }\left\{u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}
$$

$$
=K_{2}^{\uparrow 5}
$$

$u_{3} \bullet \longrightarrow u_{4}$

Figure 1. A visual proof that shows $K_{3}{ }^{\uparrow 5}=H^{\uparrow 5} \cong K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow 5}$.

Lemma 6. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and $u \in V(G)$ be an arbitrary vertex. Also, define $X=V(G) \backslash N_{\Lambda(G)}(u)$. Then, for every $v \in N_{\Lambda(G)}(u)$ and $w \in X$ we have $e=v w \in E(\Lambda(G))$.

Proof. If $\lambda(u)=n-1$, then $X=\{u\}$ and obviously the lemma holds. Therefore, assume that $\left|N_{\Lambda(G)}(u)\right| \leq n-2$. Now, by Lemma $2, G$ is SC-equivalent to a graph $H$ such that the non-isolated vertices of $H$ are exactly $N_{\Lambda(G)}(u)$. Finally, by Lemma 3, the proof is complete.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 4$ which is not $S C$-equivalent to $K_{n}$ or $K_{2}^{\uparrow n}$. Then,

$$
s(G) \geq 4(n-3)^{2}
$$

Proof. Let $d=\delta(\Lambda(G))=\operatorname{deg}_{\Lambda(G)}(v)$. By Lemma 2, we can assume that the non-isolated vertices of $G$ are exactly $N_{\Lambda(G)}(v)$. Therefore, if we define $H$ to be the induced subgraph of $G$ over $V\left(N_{\Lambda(G)}(v)\right)$, then $G \cong H^{\uparrow n}$ and $|V(H)|=d$.

By Lemma 5, if $d \leq 3$, the theorem holds. On the other hand, if $d=n-1$, then $\Lambda(G)$ is complete graph and hence, $|E(\Lambda(G))|=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. So, $s(G) \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(n-3)>4(n-3)^{2}$. Moreover, if $d \geq 8$, then $|E(A(G))| \geq$ $\frac{8 n}{2}>4(n-3)$; therefore $s(G)>4(n-3)^{2}$. Hence, in the rest of the proof, we turn into the cases where $4 \leq d \leq 7$ and $d \leq n-2$. We consider two cases:

Case 1. $s(H)>0$. Then $H$ is not SC-equivalent to $K_{d}$ and by Lemma 3, the size of $\Lambda(H)$ is at least $2(d-2)$ and obviously, $E(\Lambda(H)) \subseteq E(\Lambda(G))$. Moreover, by Lemma 6, there exist at least $d(n-d)$ edges in $E(\Lambda(G))$ different from those in $E(\Lambda(H))$. Hence,

$$
|E(\Lambda(G))| \geq d(n-d)+2(d-2)
$$

Note that $d(n-d)+2(d-2)=d(n-d+2)-4$ and since $d, n-d+2 \geq 4$, we have

$$
d(n-d+2) \geq 4(n-2) \Rightarrow E(\Lambda(G)) \geq 4(n-2)-4=4(n-3)
$$

which Lemma 1 implies that $s(G) \geq 4(n-3)^{2}$.
Case 2. $s(H)=0$. Then, by Lemma $4, H \cong K_{d}$. Hence, there exist non-negative integers $a$ and $b$ such that $a+b=d$ and $H$ is either $K_{a, b}$ or $K_{a} \sqcup K_{b}$. Also, since $H$ has no isolated vertex, the cases $K_{0, d}=\overline{K_{d}}$ and $K_{1} \sqcup K_{d-1}$ cannot occur.

Since $s(H)=0$, all odd pairs of $G$ appear as one of the followings:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\{x, u\},\{y, v\}), \text { where } x y \in E(H) \text { and } v, w \notin V(H) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(\{x, u\},\{y, v\}) \text { or }(\{y, v\},\{x, u\}), \text { where } u \notin V(H), x y \in E(H) \\
\text { and } v \in V(H) \text { and } x v \notin E(H) . \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

First we calculate the odd pairs of $H=K_{a, b}$. Here, $G$ has $2 a b(n-d)(n-$ $d-1)$ odd pairs of the form $(3)$ and $2(n-d)(a(a-1) b+b(b-1) a)$ odd pairs of the form (4). Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(G) & \geq 2(n-d)(a b(n-d-1)+a b(a-1+b-1)) \\
& =2(n-d)(a b(n-d-1)+a b(d-2)) \\
& =2 a b(n-d)(n-3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $a b \geq d-1 \geq 3$ and $n-d \geq 2$. So

$$
a b(n-d) \geq(d-1)(n-d) \geq 2(n-3)
$$

which implies that $s(G) \geq 4(n-3)^{2}$, as desired.
Now we turn to the case $H=K_{a} \sqcup K_{b}$, where $0 \leq a \leq b \leq d$ and $a+b=d$. As we mentioned before, the case $a=1$ don't occur.

Here $G$ has $(n-d)(n-d-1)(a(a-1)+b(b-1))$ odd pairs of the form (3) and
$2(n-d)(a(a-1) b+b(b-1) a)$ odd pairs of the form (4). Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(G)=(n-d)\left(\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-d\right)(n-d-1)+2 a b(d-2)\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

All possible cases for $(a, b)$ are as follows:
I: $a=0$. By (5), we have $s(G)=(n-d)(d-1) d(n-d-1)$. Note that if $n-d \geq 3$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =d+(n-d) \geq 7 \\
n-d \geq 3, \quad d-1 \geq 3 & \Rightarrow(d-1)(n-d) \geq 3(n-4)>2(n-3) \quad(n>6) \\
d \geq 4, \quad n-d-1 \geq 2 & \Rightarrow d(n-d-1) \geq 2(n-3),
\end{aligned}
$$

which together yield $s(G)>4(n-3)^{2}$. Also, if $n-d=2$, then $G$ is

SC-equivalent to $K_{d}{ }^{\uparrow n}$ which can be easily seen that is SC-equivalent to $K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}$ (by complementing and a Seidel switching), a contradiction.
II: $(a, b)=(2,2)$. So, $d=4$ and hence $n \geq 6$. By (5), we have

$$
s(G)=(n-4)(16+4(n-5))=4(n-4)(n-1)
$$

Now, $n \geq 6$ implies that

$$
s(G)=4(n-4)(n-1)>4(n-3)^{2}
$$

III: $(a, b)=(2,3)$. So, $d=5$ and hence $n \geq 7$. By (5), we have

$$
s(G)=(n-5)(36+8(n-6))=4(n-5)(2 n-3)
$$

Now, $n \geq 7$ implies that

$$
s(G)=4(n-5)(2 n-3)>4(n-3)^{2}
$$

IV: $(a, b)=(2,4)$. So, $d=6$ and hence $n \geq 8$. By (5), we have $s(G)=(n-6)(64+14(n-7))=2(n-6)(7 n-17)>2(n-6) \cdot 7(n-3)$.

Now, $n \geq 8$ implies that

$$
s(G)>14(n-6)(n-3)>4(n-3)^{2}
$$

$\mathbf{V}:(a, b)=(2,5)$. So, $d=7$ and hence $n \geq 9$. By (5), we have

$$
s(G)=(n-7)(100+22(n-8))=2(n-7)(11 n-38)>22(n-7)(n-4)
$$

Now, $n \geq 9$ implies that

$$
s(G)>22(n-7)(n-4)>4(n-3)^{2} .
$$

VI: $(a, b)=(3,3)$. So, $d=6$ and hence $n \geq 8$. By (5), we have

$$
s(G)=(n-6)(72+12(n-7))=12(n-6)(n-1)>12(n-6)(n-3) .
$$

Now, $n \geq 8$ implies that

$$
s(G)>12(n-6)(n-3)>4(n-3)^{2} .
$$

VII: $(a, b)=(3,4)$. So, $d=7$ and hence $n \geq 9$. By (5), we have

$$
s(G)=(n-7)(120+18(n-8))=6(n-7)(3 n-4)>18(n-7)(n-2) .
$$

Now, $n \geq 9$ implies that

$$
s(G)>18(n-7)(n-2)>4(n-3)^{2},
$$

which completes the proof.
The next theorem deals with the Seidel energy of $K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}$ :
Theorem 2. For every integer $n \geq 7$, we have $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(K_{2}^{\uparrow n}\right)\right)>2 n-1$.
Proof. First, we calculate $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(K_{2}^{\uparrow n}\right)\right)$, where $n \geq 4$ is an integer. Note that $S\left(K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}\right)$ has the following form:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc|ccccc}
0 & -1 & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & & 0 & & 1 & \\
\vdots & \vdots & & & \ddots & & \\
1 & 1 & & 1 & & 0 & \\
\hline
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence, $S\left(K_{2}^{\uparrow n}\right)+I$ has $n-2$ equal rows which implies that $S\left(K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}\right)$ has eigenvalue -1 of multiplicity $n-3$. Similarly, $S\left(K_{2}^{\uparrow n}\right)-I$ has two equal rows implying that 1 is an eigenvalue of $S\left(K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}\right)$ with multiplicity 1. Denote the other two eigenvalues of $S\left(K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}\right)$ by $\lambda$ and $\mu$. Therefore:

$$
\lambda+\mu-(n-3)+1=0 .
$$

$$
\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}+\underbrace{(-1)^{2}+\cdots+(-1)^{2}}_{n-3}+1^{2}=n(n-1)
$$

Solving the above two equations imply that

$$
\lambda=\frac{n-4}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{n^{2}}{4}+n-3} \quad, \quad \mu=\frac{n-4}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{n^{2}}{4}+n-3}
$$

Putting all the above together and the assumption that $n \geq 4$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(K_{2}^{\uparrow n}\right)\right)=n-2+\sqrt{n^{2}+4 n-12} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $n=4,5,6$ in (6), we obtain that

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(K_{2}^{\uparrow 4}\right)\right) \approx 6.47213595<7, \quad \mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(K_{2}^{\uparrow 5}\right)\right) \approx 8.74456265<9
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow 6}\right)\right) \approx 10.9282032<11
$$

in addition, one can easily check that for $n \geq 7$

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{S}\left(K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}\right)\right)=n-2+\sqrt{n^{2}+4 n-12}>2 n-1
$$

which completes the proof.
Note that in the procedure of the proof of Theorem 2 of [1], for a graph $G$ of order $n \geq 4$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G)) \geq n-4+\sqrt{n^{2}-2 n+4+4 \sqrt{\frac{3}{4} n^{2}+s(G)}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

was obtained. With this inequality in hand and Theorem 1, we are ready to express the following theorem:

Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 6$ which is not $S C$-equivalent to $K_{n}$ or $K_{2}^{\uparrow n}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))>2 n-1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem $1, s(G) \geq 4(n-3)^{2}$. Plugging this into the Inequality (7), we show that for $n \geq 38$, the resulting value of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))$ is greater
than $2 n-1$. Hence, it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n-4+\sqrt{n^{2}-2 n+4+4 \sqrt{\frac{3}{4} n^{2}+4(n-3)^{2}}}>2 n-1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $n \geq 38$. Squaring twice the latter expressions and simplifying both sides, one can easily obtain that the inequality (9) is equivalent to

$$
12 n^{2}-464 n+551>0
$$

Solving the above inequality implies that $n<\frac{116-\sqrt{11803}}{6}$ or $n>$ $\frac{116+\sqrt{11803}}{6}$ which yields the validity of theorem for $n \geq 38$.

Now, by computer searches, using the software SageMath [6] and Mathematica [9], we obtain Table 1 which clearly shows that for the graphs of order $n$, where $6 \leq n \leq 37$, we have

$$
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))>2 n-1,
$$

which completes the proof.

| n | Minimum of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))$ | n | Minimum of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 11.21110255 | 22 | 44.98248915 |
| 7 | 13.54400375 | 23 | 47.00933586 |
| 8 | 15.79795897 | 24 | 49.03410215 |
| 9 | 18.0 | 25 | 51.0570234 |
| 10 | 20.16552506 | 26 | 53.07830041 |
| 11 | 22.3041347 | 27 | 55.09810561 |
| 12 | 24.4222051 | 28 | 57.11658792 |
| 13 | 26.5241747 | 29 | 59.13387668 |
| 14 | 28.61324773 | 30 | 61.15008476 |
| 15 | 30.69180601 | 31 | 63.16531114 |
| 16 | 32.75760169 | 32 | 65.17964300 |
| 17 | 34.80517472 | 33 | 67.19315742 |
| 18 | 36.84786941 | 34 | 69.20592281 |
| 19 | 38.88641242 | 35 | 71.21800012 |
| 20 | 40.92139078 | 36 | 73.22944384 |
| 21 | 42.95328426 | 37 | 75.24030280 |

Table 1. The graphs $G$ are among those that are not SC-equivalent to $K_{n}$ and $K_{2}{ }^{\uparrow n}$.

We close the paper by combining Theorem 2 and 3 which yields our main result:

Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 7$ which is not $S C$-equivalent to $K_{n}$. Then,

$$
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}(G))>2 n-1 .
$$
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