

On Sombor Index of Graphs

Batmend Horoldagva^{a,*}, Chunlei Xu^{a,b}

^a*Department of Mathematics, Mongolian National University of Education,
Baga toiruu-14, Ulaanbaatar 48, Mongolia
horoldagva@msue.edu.mn*

^b*School of Mathematics and Physics, Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities,
Tongliao, People's Republic of China
xuchunlei1981@sina.cn*

(Received January 8, 2021)

Abstract

Recently, Gutman defined a new vertex-degree-based graph invariant, named the Sombor index SO of a graph G , and is defined by

$$SO(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(v)^2},$$

where $d_G(v)$ is the degree of the vertex v of G . In this paper, we obtain the sharp lower and upper bounds on $SO(G)$ of a connected graph, and characterize graphs for which these bounds are attained.

1 Introduction

Let G be a connected graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The order of G is denoted by n . The degree of the vertex v is denoted by $d_G(v)$. For $v \in V(G)$, $N_G(v)$ denotes the set of all neighbors of v . An edge uv of a graph G is called a cut edge if the graph $G - uv$ is disconnected. For $uv \in E(G)$, denote by $G - uv$ the subgraph of G obtained from G by deleting the edge uv . For two nonadjacent vertices u and v of G , denote by $G + uv$ the graph obtained from G by adding the edge uv . The girth of a graph G is the length of the shortest cycle which is contained in G . The maximum degree of G

*Corresponding author

is denoted by Δ . The complete graph and the cycle of order n are denoted by K_n and C_n , respectively. The clique number of a graph G is the maximal order of a complete subgraph of G .

Gutman [2] defined a new vertex-degree-based graph invariant, named "Sombor index" of a graph G , denoted by $SO(G)$ and is defined by

$$SO(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(v)^2}.$$

Mathematical properties and applications of SO index were established in [2].

In this paper, we obtain the sharp lower bounds on $SO(G)$ of a graph of order n with the maximum degree Δ and of a graph of order n with girth g . Also, we give the sharp upper bound on $SO(G)$ of a unicyclic graph of order n with girth g . Very recently, for the graphs of order n with k pendent vertices, the graphs were characterized that have the extremal classical Zagreb indices [1], multiplicative sum Zagreb index [3], and reduced second Zagreb index [4]. Hence, furthermore, we obtain the sharp upper bound on $SO(G)$ of a graph of order n with k pendent vertices (r cut edges). Moreover, the corresponding extremal graphs are characterized for which all the above bounds are attained.

2 Graphs with minimum Sombor index

In this section, we study the graphs with minimum Sombor index. Let $P = uu_1u_2 \cdots u_k$ be a path of length k in G such that $d_G(u) \geq 3$, $d_G(u_k) = 1$ and $d_G(u_i) = 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. Then it is called a pendent path in G , u and k are called the origin and the length of P . Let us consider a function $\theta(t) = \sqrt{t^2 + 4} - \sqrt{t^2 + 1}$ and one can easily see that $\theta(t)$ is decreasing on $[0, +\infty)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let P and Q be two pendent paths with origins u and v in graph G , respectively. Let x be a neighbor vertex of u who lies on P and y be the pendent vertex on Q . Denote $G' = G - ux + xy$. Then $SO(G) > SO(G')$.*

Proof. Let z be the neighbor vertex of y in G . Suppose first that $u \neq v$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & SO(G) - SO(G') \\
 &= \sum_{w \in N_G(u) \setminus x} \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(w)^2} + \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(x)^2} + \sqrt{1 + d_G(z)^2} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{w \in N_{G'}(u) \setminus x} \sqrt{(d_G(u) - 1)^2 + d_G(w)^2} - \sqrt{2^2 + d_G(x)^2} - \sqrt{2^2 + d_G(z)^2} \\
 &> \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(x)^2} + \sqrt{1 + d_G(z)^2} - \sqrt{2^2 + d_G(x)^2} - \sqrt{2^2 + d_G(z)^2}. \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $u = v$. If the length of Q is equal to one, then $u = z$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & SO(G) - SO(G') \\
 &= \sum_{w \in N_G(u) \setminus \{x, y\}} \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(w)^2} + \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + 1} + \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(x)^2} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{w \in N_{G'}(u) \setminus \{x, y\}} \sqrt{(d_G(u) - 1)^2 + d_G(w)^2} - \sqrt{(d_G(u) - 1)^2 + 2^2} - \sqrt{2^2 + d_G(x)^2} \\
 &> \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(x)^2} - \sqrt{2^2 + d_G(x)^2} \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

since $d_G(u) \geq 2$. If the length of Q is greater than one then let x' be the neighbor of u on path Q . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & SO(G) - SO(G') \\
 &= \sum_{w \in N_G(u) \setminus \{x, x'\}} \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(w)^2} + \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(x')^2} \\
 &\quad + \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(x)^2} + \sqrt{1 + d_G(z)^2} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{w \in N_{G'}(u) \setminus \{x, x'\}} \sqrt{(d_G(u) - 1)^2 + d_G(w)^2} - \sqrt{(d_G(u) - 1)^2 + d_G(x')^2} \\
 &\quad - \sqrt{2^2 + d_G(x)^2} - \sqrt{2^2 + d_G(z)^2} \\
 &> \sqrt{d_G(u)^2 + d_G(x)^2} + \sqrt{1 + d_G(z)^2} - \sqrt{2^2 + d_G(x)^2} - \sqrt{2^2 + d_G(z)^2}. \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from the inequalities (1) or (2), it follows that

$$SO(G) - SO(G') > \sqrt{9 + d_G(x)^2} - \sqrt{4 + d_G(x)^2} - \theta(2) \quad (3)$$

since $d_G(u) \geq 3$, $d_G(z) \geq 2$ and $\theta(t)$ is decreasing. Clearly $d_G(x) \leq 2$. If $d_G(x) = 1$ then we have $SO(G) > SO(G')$ from (3). If $d_G(x) = 2$ then we also get $SO(G) - SO(G') > \sqrt{13} - \sqrt{8} - \theta(2) > 0$ from (3). ■

A tree is said to be star-like if it has exactly one vertex of degree greater than two. Connected graphs of order n with the maximum degree at most two are only P_n and C_n .

In [2], it has been proved that $SO(G) > SO(P_n)$ for any connected graph G of order n . Therefore we consider a graph G which is different from P_n and C_n .

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a connected graph of order n with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 3$.*

(i) If $2\Delta \leq n - 1$ then

$$SO(G) \geq \Delta(\sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4} + \sqrt{5}) + 2(n - 2\Delta - 1)\sqrt{2} \quad (4)$$

with equality if and only if G is isomorphic to a star-like tree of order n with maximum degree Δ in which all neighbors of the maximum degree vertex have degree two.

(ii) If $2\Delta > n - 1$ then

$$SO(G) \geq (n - 1 - \Delta)(\sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4} + \sqrt{5}) + (2\Delta - n + 1)\sqrt{\Delta^2 + 1} \quad (5)$$

with equality if and only if G is isomorphic to a star-like tree of order n with maximum degree Δ in which the maximum degree vertex has exactly $2\Delta - n + 1$ pendent neighbors.

Proof. Let $SO(G)$ be minimum in the class of graphs of order n with maximum degree Δ and w be the maximum degree vertex of G . If there is a non-cut edge xy in G such that $x \neq w$ and $y \neq w$, then $SO(G) > SO(G - xy)$ and it follows that G is a tree. Now, we prove that G is isomorphic to a star-like tree of order n with maximum degree Δ . If not there is a pendent path $uu_1 \cdots u_k$ such that $u \neq w$. Clearly there is a pendent vertex $z (\neq u_k)$ in G . Then $SO(G) > SO(G - uu_1 + u_1z)$ by Lemma 2.1 and it contradicts the fact that $SO(G)$ is minimum.

Hence G is a star-like tree of order n with maximum degree Δ . Let k be the number of pendent neighbors of w . Then

$$SO(G) = k(\theta(2) - \theta(\Delta)) + \Delta(\sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4} + \sqrt{5}) + 2(n - 1 - 2\Delta)\sqrt{2}. \quad (6)$$

Since θ is a decreasing function and $\Delta \geq 3$, we have $\theta(2) > \theta(\Delta)$. Therefore we distinguish the following two cases.

(i) If $2\Delta \leq n - 1$ then there are star-like trees of order n with maximum degree Δ such that $k = 0$. Hence from (6), we obtain the required result.

(ii) If $2\Delta > n - 1$ then $k \geq 2\Delta - n + 1$. Hence from (6), we easily get the inequality (5) and with equality if and only if G is isomorphic to a star-like tree of order n with maximum degree Δ in which the maximum degree vertex has exactly $2\Delta - n + 1$ pendent neighbors. ■

Denote by $C_{n,1}$ the graph obtained by attaching one pendent edge to a vertex of C_{n-1} .

Theorem 2.3. *Let $SO(G)$ be minimum in the class of graphs of order n with girth g . If G is different from C_n , then G is isomorphic to the unicyclic graph with girth g that has exactly one pendent path.*

Proof. Let C be a cycle of length g and $xy \notin C$ be a non-cut edge of G . Then $SO(G) > SO(G - xy)$ and it follows that G is a unicyclic graph. Therefore, if $g = n - 1$ then G is isomorphic to $C_{n,1}$ and hence the theorem in this case. Let now $g \leq n - 2$ and G is not isomorphic to the unicyclic graph that has exactly one pendent path of length at least two. Then repeatedly using the transformation in Lemma 2.1, we get the required result. ■

The following result easily follows from Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a unicyclic graph order n which is different from C_n . Then $SO(C_n) < SO(G)$.*

Proof. Let g be the girth of G . Suppose that $SO(G)$ is minimum in the class of graphs of order n with girth g . Since G is different from C_n , G is isomorphic to the unicyclic graph with girth g that has exactly one pendent path by Theorem 2.3. If $g = n - 1$ then G is isomorphic to $C_{n,1}$ and it follows that $SO(C_{n,1}) = 2\sqrt{2}(n - 3) + 2\sqrt{13} + \sqrt{10} > 2n\sqrt{2} = SO(C_n)$. If $g \leq n - 2$ then G is isomorphic to the unicyclic graph that has exactly one pendent path of length at least two and it follows that $SO(G) = \sqrt{5} + 3\sqrt{13} + 2\sqrt{2}(n - 4) > 2n\sqrt{2} = SO(C_n)$. ■

3 Graphs with maximum Sombor index

In this section, we study the graphs with maximum Sombor index. Namely, we obtain the sharp upper bounds on SO index of a unicyclic graph of order n with girth g and of a graph of order n with k pendent vertices (r cut edges).

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a connected graph and uv be a non-pendent cut edge in G . Denote by G' the graph obtained by the contraction of uv onto the vertex u and adding a pendent vertex v to u . Then $SO(G) < SO(G')$.*

Proof. Let $N_G(u) \setminus \{v\} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s\}$ and $N_G(v) \setminus \{u\} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t\}$, then $d_G(u) = s + 1$ and $d_G(v) = t + 1$. Since uv is a non-pendent cut edge of G , we have $st > 0$. Hence, by the definition of SO , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} SO(G') - SO(G) &= \sum_{i=1}^s \sqrt{(s+t+1)^2 + d_G(u_i)^2} - \sum_{i=1}^s \sqrt{(s+1)^2 + d_G(u_i)^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^t \sqrt{(s+t+1)^2 + d_G(v_j)^2} - \sum_{j=1}^t \sqrt{(t+1)^2 + d_G(v_j)^2} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{(s+t+1)^2 + 1} - \sqrt{(s+1)^2 + (t+1)^2} \\ &> \sqrt{(s+t+1)^2 + 1} - \sqrt{(s+1)^2 + (t+1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $SO(G) < SO(G')$ since $[(s+t+1)^2 + 1] - [(s+1)^2 + (t+1)^2] = 2st > 0$. ■

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a connected graph of order n with k cut edges. If $SO(G)$ is maximum in the class of graphs of order n with k cut edges, then all k cut edges of G are pendent.*

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that G contains a non-pendent cut edge uv . Let G' be the graph obtained by the contraction of uv onto the vertex u and adding a pendent vertex v to u . Then $SO(G) < SO(G')$ by Lemma 3.1. Therefore, we have a contradiction to the assumption that $SO(G)$ is maximum in the class of graphs of order n with k cut edges. ■

Let $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ be non-increasing two sequences on an interval I of real numbers such that $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n$. If

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i \geq b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_i \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

then we say that A majorizes B .

Now we introduce Karamata's inequality, named after Jovan Karamata [5], also known as the majorization inequality.

Lemma 3.3. [5] *Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly convex function. Let $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ be non-increasing sequences on I . If A majorizes B then*

$$f(a_1) + f(a_2) + \dots + f(a_n) \geq f(b_1) + f(b_2) + \dots + f(b_n)$$

with equality if and only if $a_i = b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a unicyclic graph of order n with girth g . Then*

$$SO(G) \leq 2\sqrt{(n-g+2)^2+4} + (n-g)\sqrt{(n-g+2)^2+1} + 2\sqrt{2}(g-2) \quad (7)$$

with equality if and only if G is isomorphic to the graph obtained by attaching $n-g$ pendent edges to a vertex of C_g .

Proof. Denote by $U_{n,g}$ the graph obtained by attaching $n-g$ pendent edges to a vertex of C_g . If G is isomorphic to $U_{n,g}$ then the equality holds in (7). Suppose that G is not isomorphic to this graph and $SO(G)$ is maximum among all unicyclic graphs of order n with girth g . Then by Proposition 3.2, G is isomorphic to a graph such that each pendent edge is attached to the unique cycle.

Denote (in clockwise order) by u_1, u_2, \dots, u_g the vertices on the cycle. Let k be the number of pendent edges in G . For simplicity's sake we denote $d_G(u_i) = d_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, g$. Then, we have

$$2 \leq d_i \leq k+2 \quad \text{and} \quad d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_g = k+2g = n+g. \quad (8)$$

Consider a non-increasing sequence $A = \{a_i\}$ with length $n+g$ as follows:

$$\underbrace{\frac{k+2}{2}, \frac{k+2}{2}}_2, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{2g-4}, \underbrace{\frac{2}{k+2}, \frac{2}{k+2}, \dots, \frac{2}{k+2}}_{k+2}.$$

Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_g be a permutation of the sequence d_1, d_2, \dots, d_g . Then, we consider a non-increasing sequence $B = \{b_i\}$ with length $n+g$ as follows:

$$\underbrace{\frac{c_2}{c_1}, \dots, \frac{c_2}{c_1}}_{c_1}, \underbrace{\frac{c_3}{c_2}, \dots, \frac{c_3}{c_2}}_{c_2}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{c_g}{c_{g-1}}, \dots, \frac{c_g}{c_{g-1}}}_{c_{g-1}}, \underbrace{\frac{c_1}{c_g}, \dots, \frac{c_1}{c_g}}_{c_g},$$

where for all $1 \leq i \leq g$ there exists j such that $c_i/c_{i-1} = d_j/d_{j-1}$ with $c_0 = c_g$ and $d_0 = d_g$.

Now we prove that A majorizes B . Denote $A_i = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i$ and $B_i = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+g$. Then, one can easily see that $A_{n+g} = B_{n+g} = n+g$, $A_1 \geq B_1$ and $A_2 \geq B_2$ from (8) because c_1, c_2, \dots, c_g is a permutation of d_1, d_2, \dots, d_g .

Suppose first that $3 \leq i \leq 2g-2$. Then, we have $A_i = k+2+i-2 = k+i$ and

$$B_i = c_2 + c_3 + \dots + c_{s-1} + \frac{pc_s}{c_{s-1}} \quad \text{for some positive integers } s \text{ and } p,$$

such that $c_1 + \cdots + c_{s-2} + p = i$ and $p \leq c_{s-1}$. Therefore, we get

$$A_i - B_i = k + c_1 + p - c_{s-1} - \frac{pc_s}{c_{s-1}}. \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, for $1 \leq i < j \leq g$ we have $d_i + d_j \leq k + 4$ and it follows that $c_i + c_j \leq k + 4$. If $p \geq 2$, then $c_{s-1} + pc_s/c_{s-1} \leq c_{s-1} + c_s \leq k + 4 \leq k + c_1 + p$ since $p \leq c_{s-1}$ and $c_1 \geq 2$. Therefore, we have $A_i \geq B_i$ from (9). If $p = 1$, then from (9), we get

$$A_i - B_i \geq k + 3 - c_{s-1} - \frac{c_s}{2} = k + 3 + \frac{c_s}{2} - (c_{s-1} + c_s) \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

since $c_1, c_{s-1}, c_s \geq 2$ and $c_{s-1} + c_s \leq k + 4$.

Suppose now that $2g - 2 < i \leq n + g$. Then since $A_{n+g} = n + g$,

$$A_i = n + g - (n + g - i) \cdot \frac{2}{k + 2}. \quad (11)$$

Moreover, since $B_{n+g} = n + g$ and the sequence B is non-increasing, we get

$$B_i \leq n + g - (n + g - i) \frac{c_1}{c_g}. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, from (11) and (12) we get $A_i \geq B_i$ using $2 \leq c_1, c_g \leq k + 2$. Hence we conclude that A majorizes B .

Now, we prove that $SO(G) < SO(U_{n,g})$ by using Karamata's inequality. For this purpose, let us consider a function $f(x) = \sqrt{1 + x^2}$ and it is easy to see that this function is strictly convex for $x \in [0, +\infty)$. By the definition of $SO(G)$ and G is not isomorphic to $U_{n,g}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} SO(G) &= \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_2^2} + \cdots + \sqrt{d_{g-1}^2 + d_g^2} + \sqrt{d_g^2 + d_1^2} \\ &\quad + (d_1 - 2)\sqrt{d_1^2 + 1} + \cdots + (d_g - 2)\sqrt{d_g^2 + 1} \\ &< d_1\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{d_2}{d_1}\right)^2} + \cdots + d_{g-1}\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{d_g}{d_{g-1}}\right)^2} + d_g\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{d_1}{d_g}\right)^2} \\ &\quad + k\sqrt{(k+2)^2 + 1} \\ &= d_1f\left(\frac{d_2}{d_1}\right) + \cdots + d_{g-1}f\left(\frac{d_g}{d_{g-1}}\right) + d_gf\left(\frac{d_1}{d_g}\right) \\ &\quad + k\sqrt{(k+2)^2 + 1} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

by (8). Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\frac{d_2}{d_1} \geq \frac{d_3}{d_2} \geq \cdots \geq \frac{d_g}{d_{g-1}} \geq \frac{d_1}{d_g}.$$

Then we have proved that A majorizes the sequence

$$\underbrace{\frac{d_2}{d_1}, \dots, \frac{d_2}{d_1}}_{d_1}, \underbrace{\frac{d_3}{d_2}, \dots, \frac{d_3}{d_2}}_{d_2}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{d_g}{d_{g-1}}, \dots, \frac{d_g}{d_{g-1}}}_{d_{g-1}}, \underbrace{\frac{d_1}{d_g}, \dots, \frac{d_1}{d_g}}_{d_g}.$$

Therefore from (13), we get the required strict inequality in (7) by using Karamata's inequality. ■

Lemma 3.5. *If $x \geq y \geq 0$ and $a \geq 1$ then*

$$(x+1)\sqrt{(x+a)^2+1} + y\sqrt{(y+a-1)^2+1} \geq x\sqrt{(x+a-1)^2+1} + (y+1)\sqrt{(y+a)^2+1}.$$

Proof. Let us consider a function

$$\phi(x) = (x+1)\sqrt{(x+a)^2+1} - x\sqrt{(x+a-1)^2+1}, \quad x \in [0, +\infty).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(x) &= \sqrt{(x+a)^2+1} + \frac{(x+1)(x+a)}{\sqrt{(x+a)^2+1}} - \sqrt{(x+a-1)^2+1} - \frac{x(x+a-1)}{\sqrt{(x+a-1)^2+1}} \\ &> \frac{(x+1)(x+a)}{\sqrt{(x+a)^2+1}} - \frac{x(x+a-1)}{\sqrt{(x+a-1)^2+1}} \\ &> \frac{a+2x}{\sqrt{(x+a-1)^2+1}} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $\phi(x)$ is an increasing function. Therefore we get the required inequality since $x \geq y$. ■

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a connected graph of order n with k pendent vertices. Then*

$$SO(G) \leq \frac{(n-k-2)(n-k-1)^2}{\sqrt{2}} + k\sqrt{(n-1)^2+1} + (n-k-1)\sqrt{(n-1)^2+(n-k-1)^2}$$

with equality if and only if G is isomorphic to a graph obtained by attaching k pendent edges to a vertex of K_{n-k} .

Proof. If G is isomorphic to a graph obtained by attaching k pendent edges to a vertex of K_{n-k} , then equality holds in the inequality of the statement of the theorem. Suppose that G is not isomorphic to this graph and $SO(G)$ is maximum among all graphs of order n with k pendent vertices. Then by Proposition 3.2, G is isomorphic to a graph such that each pendent edge is attached to the clique with $n-k$ vertices.

Denote by u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-k} the vertices of the clique. Denote $d_G(u_i) = d_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-k$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_{n-k}$. Then, we have

$$d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_{n-k} = k + (n-k)(n-k-1) \quad \text{and} \quad n-k-1 \leq d_i < n-1. \quad (14)$$

Assume that $d_t = \min\{d_i \mid n - k - 1 < d_i < n - 1\}$. Then there is a pendent edge $u_t x$ in G and consider the graph $G' = G - u_t x + u_1 x$. If we set $x = d_1 - n + k + 1$, $a = n - k$ and $y = d_t - n + k$ in the inequality of the statement of Lemma 3.5, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_1 - n + k + 2)\sqrt{(d_1 + 1)^2 + 1} + (d_t - n + k)\sqrt{(d_t - 1)^2 + 1} \\ & \geq (d_1 - n + k + 1)\sqrt{d_1^2 + 1} + (d_t - n + k + 1)\sqrt{d_t^2 + 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} SO(G') - SO(G) &= \sum_{i \neq 1, t} \sqrt{(d_1 + 1)^2 + d_i^2} + \sum_{i \neq 1, t} \sqrt{(d_t - 1)^2 + d_i^2} + \sqrt{(d_1 + 1)^2 + (d_t - 1)^2} \\ &\quad + (d_1 - n + k + 2)\sqrt{(d_1 + 1)^2 + 1} + (d_t - n + k)\sqrt{(d_t - 1)^2 + 1} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i \neq 1, t} \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_i^2} - \sum_{i \neq 1, t} \sqrt{d_t^2 + d_i^2} - \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_t^2} \\ &\quad - (d_1 - n + k + 1)\sqrt{d_1^2 + 1} - (d_t - n + k + 1)\sqrt{d_t^2 + 1} \\ &> \sum_{i \neq 1, t} d_i \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{d_1 + 1}{d_i}\right)^2} + \sum_{i \neq 1, t} d_i \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{d_t - 1}{d_i}\right)^2} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i \neq 1, t} d_i \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{d_1}{d_i}\right)^2} - \sum_{i \neq 1, t} d_i \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{d_t}{d_i}\right)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

by (15) and $\sqrt{(d_1 + 1)^2 + (d_t - 1)^2} \geq \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_t^2}$.

Consider non-increasing two sequences $A = \{a_i\}$ and $B = \{b_i\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A : & \underbrace{\frac{d_1 + 1}{d_{n-k}}, \dots, \frac{d_1 + 1}{d_{n-k}}}_{d_{n-k}}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{d_1 + 1}{d_{t+1}}, \dots, \frac{d_1 + 1}{d_{t+1}}}_{d_{t+1}}, \underbrace{\frac{d_1 + 1}{d_{t-1}}, \dots, \frac{d_1 + 1}{d_{t-1}}}_{d_{t-1}}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{d_1 + 1}{d_2}, \dots, \frac{d_1 + 1}{d_2}}_{d_2}, \\ & \underbrace{\frac{d_t - 1}{d_{n-k}}, \dots, \frac{d_t - 1}{d_{n-k}}}_{d_{n-k}}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{d_t - 1}{d_{t+1}}, \dots, \frac{d_t - 1}{d_{t+1}}}_{d_{t+1}}, \underbrace{\frac{d_t - 1}{d_{t-1}}, \dots, \frac{d_t - 1}{d_{t-1}}}_{d_{t-1}}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{d_t - 1}{d_2}, \dots, \frac{d_t - 1}{d_2}}_{d_2}; \\ B : & \underbrace{\frac{d_1}{d_{n-k}}, \dots, \frac{d_1}{d_{n-k}}}_{d_{n-k}}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{d_1}{d_{t+1}}, \dots, \frac{d_1}{d_{t+1}}}_{d_{t+1}}, \underbrace{\frac{d_1}{d_{t-1}}, \dots, \frac{d_1}{d_{t-1}}}_{d_{t-1}}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{d_1}{d_2}, \dots, \frac{d_1}{d_2}}_{d_2}, \\ & \underbrace{\frac{d_t}{d_{n-k}}, \dots, \frac{d_t}{d_{n-k}}}_{d_{n-k}}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{d_t}{d_{t+1}}, \dots, \frac{d_t}{d_{t+1}}}_{d_{t+1}}, \underbrace{\frac{d_t}{d_{t-1}}, \dots, \frac{d_t}{d_{t-1}}}_{d_{t-1}}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{d_t}{d_2}, \dots, \frac{d_t}{d_2}}_{d_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $A_i = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_i$ and $B_i = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2 \sum_{i \neq 1, t} d_i$. From the above, it is easy to see that both the summations of all elements of A and B are equal to $(n - k - 2)(d_1 + d_t)$, and $A_i \geq B_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2 \sum_{i \neq 1, t} d_i$. Hence A majorizes B .

On the other hand, $f(x) = \sqrt{1 + x^2}$ is a strictly convex function on $[0, +\infty)$. Therefore, using Karamata's inequality in (16), we get $SO(G') > SO(G)$ and it contradicts the fact that $SO(G)$ is maximum among all graphs of order n with k pendent vertices. ■

The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.6 yields the following result.

Theorem 3.7. *If $SO(G)$ is maximum in the class of connected graphs of order n with r cut edges, then G is isomorphic to the graph obtained by attaching r pendent edges to a vertex of K_{n-r} .*

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to express our very great appreciation to Prof. Ivan Gutman for introducing this new graph invariant and sending the paper [2]. The second author was supported by Project of Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities Research Funded Project (NMDYB17155).

References

- [1] M. Enteshari, B. Taeri, Extremal Zagreb indices of graphs of order n with p pendent vertices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **86** (2021) 17–28.
- [2] I. Gutman, Geometric approach to degree-based topological indices: Sombor indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **86** (2021) 11–16.
- [3] B. Horoldagva, C. Xu, L. Buyantogtokh, S. Dorjsembe, Extremal graphs with respect to the multiplicative sum Zagreb index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **84** (2020) 773–786.
- [4] B. Horoldagva, T. Selenge, L. Buyantogtokh, S. Dorjsembe, Upper bounds for the reduced second Zagreb index of graphs, *Trans. Comb.*, in press.
- [5] J. Karamata, Sur une inégalité relative aux fonctions convexes, *Publ. Math. Univ. Belgrade* **1** (1932) 145–148.