

Upper Bounds on Distance Energy

Kinkar Chandra Das^{1,*}, Ivan Gutman²,¹*Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University,
Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea
kinkardas2003@gmail.com*²*Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac,
P.O.Box 60, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia
gutman@kg.ac.rs*

(Received October 5, 2020)

Abstract

New upper bounds on the distance energy of a graph are presented, in terms of several graph invariants used as topological indices in chemical graph theory.

1 Introduction

Since 2008, when the concept of distance energy \mathcal{DE} was introduced [16], numerous lower and upper bounds on \mathcal{DE} were obtained and communicated in quite a few publications [4, 5, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 20–22, 25]. In this paper we report a few more such bounds.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E = E(G)$, and let $|V(G)| = n$ and $|E(G)| = m$. If the vertices v_i and v_j are adjacent, we write $v_i v_j \in E(G)$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let $d_G(v_i)$ be the degree (= number of first neighbors) of the vertex v_i . The distance between vertices v_i and v_j , denoted by $d_G(v_i, v_j)$, is the length of a shortest path between v_i and v_j . The diameter of the graph G , is $d = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_G(v_i, v_j)$.

As usual, by K_n and P_n , we denote the complete graph and the path on n vertices.

A clique of the graph G is a subset of its vertex set in which all vertices are mutually adjacent. The clique number $\omega(G)$ is the size of the largest clique of G .

*Corresponding author

By $\mathcal{W}_{n,k}$ we denote the set of connected n -vertex graphs with clique number k . A kite graph $Ki_{n,\omega}$ is a graph obtained from a clique K_ω and a path $P_{n-\omega}$ by adding an edge between a vertex from the clique and an endpoint from the path.

For other undefined notations and terminology from graph theory, the readers are referred to [3].

The distance matrix of a connected graph G , denoted by $\mathbf{DI}(G)$, is the real symmetric matrix of order n whose (i, j) -entry is $d_G(v_i, v_j)$. Its eigenvalues are $\rho_1 \geq \rho_2 \geq \dots \geq \rho_n$, forming the distance spectrum of G . The *distance energy* of G is defined as [16]

$$\mathcal{DE} = \mathcal{DE}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\rho_i|.$$

For the basic spectral properties of the distance matrix see the survey [2]. For details of the theory of distance energy see [16, 17, 19].

In the next few lines we repeat the definitions of certain degree- and distance-based topological indices, which later will be related with the distance energy. For details on this matter and additional references see [23, 24].

The *zeroth-order general Randić index* is

$${}^0R_\alpha(G) = \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} d_G(v_i)^\alpha$$

where α is a real number. The same quantity is sometimes referred to as the “*general first Zagreb index*”. Recall that this index found many useful applications in information theory and network reliability, and received considerable attentions also in “pure” graph theory (see [6–8, 12]). In what follows, we shall need the special case of this index for $\alpha = -2$, that is,

$${}^0R_{-2}(G) = \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d_G(v_i)^2}.$$

For $\alpha = -1$, we have

$$ID(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_G(v_i)}$$

which is called the *inverse degree* of the graph G .

The oldest and most popular topological index, the Wiener index, is defined as

$$W(G) = \sum_{\{v_i, v_j\} \subseteq V(G)} d_G(v_i, v_j).$$

The *degree distance* of G is

$$DD(G) = \sum_{\{v_i, v_j\} \subseteq V(G)} [d_G(v_i) + d_G(v_j)] d_G(v_i, v_j).$$

In this paper we present upper bounds on the distance energy of graph G in terms of the above defined graph invariants. In order to achieve this goal, we first need some preparations.

2 Auxiliary results

We first state here two previously known results that are needed to prove our main results.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{p \times q}(C)$ be the set of all $p \times q$ dimensional matrices with complex elements. For $p \leq q$, the singular values of a matrix $B \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times q}(C)$, denoted as $s_1(B) \geq s_2(B) \geq \dots \geq s_p(B) \geq 0$, are the square roots of the eigenvalues of BB^\dagger .

Lemma 1. [1, 18] *Let $B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(C)$, and let $\mathcal{E}(B)$ be the sum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of B . Then,*

$$\mathcal{E}(B) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(B).$$

The equality holds if and only if B is a normal matrix.

Lemma 2. [11] *Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(C)$, such that $X + Y = Z$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n s_i(Z) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(X) + \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(Y).$$

Equality holds if and only if there exists an orthogonal matrix P , such that PX and PY are both positive semi-definite.

Let $Q(G) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q(Ki_{n,k}) &= \frac{1}{2} k(k-1) + (k-1) [4 + 9 + 16 + \dots + (n-k+1)^2] \\ &+ [1 + 4 + 9 + \dots + (n-k)^2] + Q(P_{n-k}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(k-1)(k-2)] + \frac{1}{6} [k(n-k)(n-k+1)(2n-2k+1)] \\ &+ (k-1)(n-k+1)^2 + \frac{1}{12} [(n-k)^2(n-k-1)(n-k+1)] \\ &= \frac{1}{12} [n^4 - n^2(6k^2 - 18k + 13) + 4n(2k^3 - 9k^2 + 13k - 6) \\ &- 3k(k^3 - 6k^2 + 11k - 6)]. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Theorem 1. *Let $G \in \mathcal{W}_{n,k}$. Then*

$$Q(G) \leq Q(Ki_{n,k}) \tag{2}$$

with equality holding if and only if $G \cong Ki_{n,k}$.

Proof. Since k is the clique number in G , we have $n \geq k$. For $n = k$, we have $G \cong K_n$ and hence the equality holds in (2). For $n = k + 1$, G is isomorphic to a graph, K_{n-1} with one vertex adjacent to some vertices in $V(K_{n-1})$, but not all. Suppose $v_r \in V(G) \setminus V(K_{n-1})$ and the vertex v_r is adjacent to $d_G(v_r)$ vertices in $V(K_{n-1})$, such that $1 \leq d_G(v_r) < n - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q(G) &= \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2) + d_G(v_r) + 4(n-1-d_G(v_r)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n+6) - 3d_G(v_r) \leq \frac{1}{2}(n^2 + 5n - 12) = Q(Ki_{n,n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

by (1). Hence the inequality holds in (2). Moreover, the above equality holds if and only if $d_G(v_r) = 1$, that is, $G \cong Ki_{n,n-1}$. Otherwise, $n \geq k + 2$. We have to prove that the inequality holds in (2). We prove this by mathematical induction on n .

Assume that the inequality in (2) holds for n and prove it for $n + 1$. For this we consider a graph H of order $n + 1$ such that $G \cong H \setminus \{v_{n+1}\}$ (that is, the graph G is obtained from H by deleting the vertex v_{n+1}). Then $k \leq \omega(H) \leq k + 1$. Let d be the diameter of H . Then $d \leq n - k + 2$ as H is of order $n + 1$.

Let $q = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_H(v_{n+1}, v_i)$. Then $q \leq d \leq n - k + 2$. Let a_i ($1 \leq i \leq q$) be the number of vertices at distance i from vertex v_{n+1} of H . Then $\sum_{i=1}^q a_i = n$, where $a_i \geq 1$. Combining this with $q \leq n - k + 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n d_H(v_i, v_{n+1})^2 &= a_1 + 4a_2 + 9a_3 + \cdots + (q-1)^2 a_{q-1} + q^2 a_q \\ &\leq 1 + 4 + 9 + \cdots + (q-1)^2 + (n-q+1)q^2 \\ &\leq 1 + 4 + 9 + \cdots + (n-k+1)^2 + (k-1)(n-k+2)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{6}(n-k+2)(n-k+3)(2n-2k+5) + (k-2)(n-k+2)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

One can easily see that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} d_H(v_i, v_j)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n d_H(v_i, v_{n+1})^2 \leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2,$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(H) - Q(G) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n d_H(v_i, v_{n+1})^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{6}(n - k + 2)(n - k + 3)(2n - 2k + 5) + (k - 2)(n - k + 2)^2
\end{aligned}$$

by (3). Therefore, by the induction hypothesis with the above result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(H) &\leq Q(G) + \frac{1}{6}(n - k + 2)(n - k + 3)(2n - 2k + 5) + (k - 2)(n - k + 2)^2 \\
&\leq Q(Ki_{n,k}) + \frac{1}{6}(n - k + 2)(n - k + 3)(2n - 2k + 5) + (k - 2)(n - k + 2)^2 \\
&= Q(Ki_{n+1,k})
\end{aligned}$$

by (1). The inequality in (2) holds by induction. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $G \cong Ki_{n,k}$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_H(v_i, v_{n+1})^2 = \frac{1}{6}(n - k + 2)(n - k + 3)(2n - 2k + 5) + (k - 2)(n - k + 2)^2,$$

that is, if and only if $H \cong Ki_{n+1,k}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Corollary 3. [14] *Let G be a connected graph of order n . Then*

$$Q(G) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2 \leq \frac{n^2(n^2 - 1)}{12}$$

with equality holding if and only if $G \cong P_n$.

Proof. Bearing in mind Eq. (1), one can easily check that

$$Q(Ki_{n,k}) \leq \frac{n^2(n^2 - 1)}{12} = Q(P_n)$$

with equality holding if and only if $Ki_{n,k} \cong P_n$. By Theorem 1, we get the required result. ■

Corollary 4. *Let G be a connected graph of order n . Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^2(G) \leq \frac{n^2(n^2 - 1)}{6} \tag{4}$$

with equality holding if and only if $G \cong P_n$.

Proof. The left-hand side of inequality (4) is equal to the trace of $\mathbf{DI}(G)^2$. Bearing this in mind, one can easily verify that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^2(G) = 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2.$$

Corollary 4 follows by combining the above result with Corollary 3. ■

3 Main results

Theorem 2. *Let G be a connected graph of order n with diameter d . Then*

$$\mathcal{DE}(G) \leq \sqrt{2n} \left[(2 - \sqrt{2})d + (\sqrt{2} - 1)W(G) \right], \quad (5)$$

where $W(G)$ is a Wiener index. Equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_2$.

Proof. For $n = 2$, we have $G \cong K_2$. It is easy to check that both sides of (5) are equal to 2. Assume therefore that $n > 2$.

Let $\Omega = \text{diag}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ be the diagonal matrix of order n , in which w_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, are real numbers. The (i, j) -th entry of $\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{DI}(G)\Omega$ is

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ \frac{w_j}{w_i} d_G(v_i, v_j) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can write

$$\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{DI}(G)\Omega = \mathbf{B}_1(G) + \mathbf{B}_2(G) + \dots + \mathbf{B}_n(G),$$

where $\mathbf{B}_i(G)$ is the $n \times n$ matrix whose i -th row is same as the i -th row of $\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{DI}(G)\Omega$ whereas the other rows are zero. Since for any matrix \mathbf{M} , the non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^T$ are same, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(\mathbf{B}_i(G)) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{\mu_k(\mathbf{B}_i(G)^T\mathbf{B}_i(G))} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{\mu_k(\mathbf{B}_i(G)\mathbf{B}_i(G)^T)} \\ &= \sqrt{\mu_1(\mathbf{B}_i(G)\mathbf{B}_i(G)^T)} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{w_j^2}{w_i^2} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_k(\mathbf{B}_i(G)\mathbf{B}_i(G)^T)$ is the k -th largest eigenvalue of $\mathbf{B}_i(G)\mathbf{B}_i(G)^T$. Recalling that the spectra of $\mathbf{DI}(G)$ and $\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{DI}(G)\Omega$ coincide, combining the above results with Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{DE}(G) &= \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{DI}(G)) = \mathcal{E}(\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{DI}(G)\Omega) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{DI}(G)\Omega) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(\mathbf{B}_1(G)) + \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(\mathbf{B}_2(G)) + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(\mathbf{B}_n(G)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{w_j^2}{w_i^2} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, from the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{DE}(G) &\leq \sqrt{n \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{w_j^2}{w_i^2} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{n \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\frac{w_j^2}{w_i^2} + \frac{w_i^2}{w_j^2} \right) d_G(v_i, v_j)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Since d is the diameter of G , without loss of generality, we can assume that $d = d_G(v_1, v_{d+1})$, where $P_{d+1} : v_1 v_2 \dots v_d v_{d+1}$ is a diametral path of G . Then for $n \geq 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(d + (\sqrt{2} - 1) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (i,j) \neq (1,d+1)}} d_G(v_i, v_j) \right)^2 = d^2 + (3 - 2\sqrt{2}) \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (i,j) \neq (1,d+1)}} d_G(v_i, v_j) \right)^2 \\ &+ 2(\sqrt{2} - 1)d \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (i,j) \neq (1,d+1)}} d_G(v_i, v_j) > d^2 + (3 - 2\sqrt{2}) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (i,j) \neq (1,d+1)}} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2 \\ &+ (2\sqrt{2} - 2) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (i,j) \neq (1,d+1)}} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2 = d^2 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (i,j) \neq (1,d+1)}} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2 \end{aligned}$$

as $d \geq d_G(v_i, v_j)$ for any v_i, v_j , and $d = d_G(v_1, v_{d+1})$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2} &< d + (\sqrt{2} - 1) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ (i,j) \neq (1,d+1)}} d_G(v_i, v_j) \\ &= (2 - \sqrt{2})d + (\sqrt{2} - 1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_G(v_i, v_j) \\ &= (2 - \sqrt{2})d + (\sqrt{2} - 1)W(G). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

From now on we set $w_i = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then from (7) and (8) we obtain

$$\mathcal{DE}(G) \leq \sqrt{2n \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2} < \sqrt{2n} \left[(2 - \sqrt{2})d + (\sqrt{2} - 1)W(G) \right]. \tag{9}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Corollary 5. *Let G be a graph of order n with clique number k . Then*

$$\mathcal{DE}(G) \leq \sqrt{2n Q(K_{i_n, k})},$$

where $Q(K_{i_n, k})$ is given by Eq. (1).

Proof. By Theorem 1, from (9), we obtain

$$\mathcal{DE}(G) \leq \sqrt{2n \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} d_G(v_i, v_j)^2} \leq \sqrt{2n Q(Ki_{n,k})}.$$

■

Using Corollary 3, from (9), we have

Corollary 6. [21] *Let G be a connected graph of order $n > 2$. Then*

$$\mathcal{DE}(G) < n^2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} \left(n - \frac{1}{n} \right)}.$$

Corollary 7. *Let G be a graph of diameter d . Then*

$$\mathcal{DE}(G) \leq \sqrt{d ID(G) DD(G)},$$

where $ID(G)$ and $DD(G)$ are the inverse degree and the degree distance of G . Moreover, equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_2$.

Proof. If $d = 1$, then $G \cong K_n$ and thus

$$\mathcal{DE}(G) = 2(n - 1) \leq n\sqrt{n - 1} = \sqrt{d ID(G) DD(G)}$$

with equality holding if and only if $G \cong K_2$. Therefore, in what follows we assume that $d \geq 2$.

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, from (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{DE}(G) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{w_i} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n w_j^2 d_G(v_i, v_j)^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{w_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n w_j^2 d_G(v_i, v_j)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Setting $w_i = \sqrt{d_G(v_i)}$ in (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{DE}(G) &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_G(v_i)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n d_G(v_j) d_G(v_i, v_j)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{ID(G) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [d_G(v_i) + d_G(v_j)] d_G(v_i, v_j)^2} < \sqrt{d ID(G) DD(G)} \end{aligned}$$

as $d_G(v_i, v_j) \leq d$ and $d_G(v_i, v_j) < d$ for at least one vertex pair v_i, v_j .

■

Corollary 8. *Let G be a graph of maximum degree Δ with diameter d . Then*

$$\mathcal{DE}(G) \leq \sqrt{d \Delta {}^0R_{-2}(G) DD(G)},$$

where ${}^0R_{-2}(G)$ and $DD(G)$ are the zeroth-order general Randić index and the degree distance. Moreover, equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_2$.

Proof. If $d = 1$, then $G \cong K_n$ and thus

$$\mathcal{DE}(G) = 2(n-1) \leq n\sqrt{n-1} = \sqrt{d \Delta {}^0R_{-2}(G) DD(G)}$$

with equality holding if and only if $G \cong K_2$. Therefore, in what follows we assume that $d \geq 2$.

Setting $w_i = d_G(v_i)$ in (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{DE}(G) &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_G(v_i)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n d_G(v_j)^2 d_G(v_i, v_j)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{{}^0R_{-2}(G) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [d_G(v_i)^2 + d_G(v_j)^2] d_G(v_i, v_j)^2} < \sqrt{d \Delta {}^0R_{-2}(G) DD(G)} \end{aligned}$$

as $d_G(v_i, v_j) \leq d$ and $d_G(v_i, v_j) < d$ for at least one vertex pair v_i, v_j , as well as $d_G(v_i) \leq \Delta$. ■

References

- [1] S. Akbari, E. Ghorbani, M. R. Oboudi, Edge addition, singular values and energy of graphs and matrices, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **430** (2009) 2192–2199.
- [2] M. Aouchiche, P. Hansen, Distance spectra of graphs: A survey, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **458** (2014) 301–386.
- [3] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, MacMillan, New York, 1976.
- [4] Ş. B. Bozkurt, C. Adiga, D. Bozkurt, Bounds on the distance energy and the distance Estrada index of strongly quotient graphs, *J. Appl. Math.* (2013) #681019.
- [5] Ş. B. Bozkurt, A. D. Güngör, B. Zhou, Note on the distance energy of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **64** (2010) 129–134.
- [6] K. C. Das, Maximizing the sum of the squares of the degrees of a graph, *Discr. Math.* **285** (2004) 57–66.
- [7] K. C. Das, K. Xu, J. Nam, Zagreb indices of graphs, *Front. Math. China* **10** (2015) 567–582.

- [8] D. de Caen, An upper bound on the sum of squares of degrees in a graph, *Discr. Math.* **185** (1998) 245–248.
- [9] R. C. Díaz, O. Rojo, Sharp upper bounds on the distance energies of a graph, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **545** (2018) 55–75.
- [10] S. Drury, H. Lin, On graphs with near minimal distance energy, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **477** (2015) 76–101.
- [11] K. Fan, Maximum properties and inequalities for the eigenvalues of completely continuous operators, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **37** (1951) 760–766.
- [12] Z. Füredi, A. Kündgen, Moments of graphs in monotone families, *J. Graph Theory* **51** (2006) 37–48.
- [13] A. D. Güngör, Ş. B. Bozkurt, On the distance spectral radius and distance energy of graphs, *Lin. Multilin. Algebra* **59** (2011) 365–370.
- [14] I. Gutman, W. Linert, I. Lukovits, A. A. Dobrynin, Trees with extremal hyper-Wiener index: Mathematical basis and chemical applications, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **37** (1997) 349–354.
- [15] G. Indulal, Sharp bounds on the distance spectral radius and the distance energy of graphs, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **430** (2009) 106–113.
- [16] G. Indulal, I. Gutman, A. Vijayakumar, On distance energy of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **60** (2008) 461–472.
- [17] X. Li, Y. Shi, I. Gutman, *Graph Energy*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [18] V. Nikiforov, The energy of graphs and matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **326** (2007) 1472–1475.
- [19] H. S. Ramane, Distance energy of graphs, in: I. Gutman, X. Li (Eds.), *Graph Energies – Theory and Applications*, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2016, pp. 123–144.
- [20] H. S. Ramane, D. S. Revankar, I. Gutman, S. B. Rao, B. D. Acharya, H. B. Walikar, Bounds for the distance energy of a graph, *Kragujevac J. Math.* **31** (2008) 59–68.
- [21] H. S. Ramane, D. S. Revankar, I. Gutman, S. B. Rao, B. D. Acharya, H. B. Walikar, Estimating the distance energy of graphs, *Graph Theory Notes N. Y.* **55** (2008) 27–32.
- [22] G. Sridhara, M. R. Rajesh Kanna, R. P. Kumar, N. D. Soner, Improved McClelland and Koolen–Moulton bounds for distance energy of a graph, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2018) #334.
- [23] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, *Handbook of Molecular Descriptors*, Wiley–VCH, Weinheim, 2000.
- [24] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, *Molecular Descriptors for Chemoinformatics*, Wiley–VCH, Weinheim, 2009, Vols. 1 & 2.
- [25] B. Zhou, A. Ilić, On distance spectral radius and distance energy of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **64** (2010) 261–280.