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#### Abstract

The first and the second Zagreb eccentricity index of a graph $G$ are defined as $E_{1}(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} \varepsilon_{G}(v)^{2}$ and $E_{2}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \varepsilon_{G}(u) \varepsilon_{G}(v)$, respectively, where $\varepsilon_{G}(v)$ is the eccentricity of a vertex $v$. In this paper the invariants $E_{1}, E_{2}$, and the Wiener index are compared on graphs with diameter 2 , on trees, on a newly introduced class of universally diametrical graphs, and on Cartesian product graphs. In particular, if the diameter of a tree $T$ is not too big, then $W(T) \geq E_{2}(T)$ holds, and if the diameter of $T$ is large, then $W(T)<E_{1}(T)$ holds.


## 1 Introduction

Graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected, and simple. If $G=(V(G), E(G))$ is a graph, we will use $n(G)=|V(G)|$ for its order and $m(G)=|E(G)|$ for its size. The

[^0]degree $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$ of $v \in V(G)$ is the number of vertices in $G$ adjacent to $v$. The complement of $G$ is denoted with $\bar{G}$. The eccentricity $\varepsilon_{G}(v)$ (or $\varepsilon(v)$ for short) of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is the maximum distance from $v$ to the vertices of $G$, that is, $\varepsilon_{G}(v)=\max _{u \in V(G)} d_{G}(v, u)$. The eccentric set of $v$ is $\operatorname{Ecc}_{G}(v)=\left\{u: d_{G}(v, u)=\varepsilon_{G}(v)\right\}$, cf. [34], and the total eccentricity of $G$ is $\varepsilon(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} \varepsilon_{G}(v)$ (see more related results in [14]). The diameter and the radius of $G$ are $\operatorname{diam}(G)=\max _{v \in V(G)} \varepsilon_{G}(v)$ and $\operatorname{rad}(G)=\min _{v \in V(G)} \varepsilon_{G}(v)$, respectively. A graph $G$ is $k$-self-centered graph if $\operatorname{diam}(G)=\operatorname{rad}(G)=k$.

A graphical invariant is a function from the set of graphs to the reals which is invariant under graph automorphisms. In chemical graph theory, graphical invariants are most often referred to as topological indices. Among the oldest topological indices are the wellknown Zagreb indices first introduced in [11], where Gutman and Trinajstić examined the dependence of total $\pi$-electron energy on molecular structure. The work was further elaborated in [12]. The first Zagreb index $M_{1}(G)$ and the second Zagreb index $M_{2}(G)$ of a (molecular) graph are defined as

$$
M_{1}(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{2}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u) \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)
$$

These two classical topological indices reflect the extent of branching of the molecular carbon-atom skeleton [26]. See $[3,17,21,32,38,39]$ for various recent results on Zagreb indices. In analogy with the first and the second Zagreb index, Vukičević and Graovac [27] introduced the first and the second Zagreb eccentricity index as

$$
E_{1}(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} \varepsilon_{G}(v)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad E_{2}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \varepsilon_{G}(u) \varepsilon_{G}(v) .
$$

For properties of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ see [5,8,24,28]. The important current role of the eccentricity and its related concepts in mathematical chemistry can be nicely seen from a series of five related papers published in the monograph [10] dedicated to novel molecular structure descriptors. Out of these papers we emphasize the long paper [22] dedicated to the role of eccentricity based descriptors for QSAR/QSPR.

The oldest topological index in chemical graph theory, however, is the Wiener index [30] (with the multiplicative version of it, see [15]). It is still of very high current interest, cf. $[1,6,18-20,29,40]$ and is defined on a connected graph $G$ as $W(G)=$ $\sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)} d_{G}(u, v)$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the transmission $\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(v)$ of $v$ is the sum of the
distances from $v$ to other vertices in $G$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(G)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{Tr}_{G}(v) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, some results were proved on the comparison between the Wiener index and the total eccentricity of graphs [4], while in [36] the so-called Wiener complexity was compared with the eccentric complexity. In this paper we continue the research in this direction by comparing the Wiener index, the first Zagreb eccentricity index, and the second Zagreb eccentricity index. In the next section we focus on graphs with diameter 2 and prove that in the majority of cases either $E_{1}(G)<E_{2}(G)$ or $E_{1}(G)>E_{2}(G)$ holds for such graphs $G$, and classify when one of the two options occurs. In Section 3 we consider trees, while in Section 4 we introduce and study universally diametrical graphs. We conclude with two results on Cartesian product graphs.

## 2 Graphs with diameter 2

$K_{n}$ is the unique graph of order $n$ and diameter 1. Clearly, $E_{2}\left(K_{n}\right)=W\left(K_{n}\right)=\binom{n}{2}>$ $n=E_{1}\left(K_{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 3$. Hereafter we thus consider the graphs with diameter at least 2, in this section those with diameter 2 . If $n \geq 3$, then denote by $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{2}$ the set of graphs of order $n$ with diameter 2 . We first compare $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$.

Proposition 2.1. If $G$ is a self-centered, not-complete graph, then $E_{2}(G) \geq E_{1}(G)$ with equality holding if and only if $G$ is a cycle.

Proof. Set $m=m(G)=m$ and $n=n(G)$. Clearly, $\delta(G) \geq 2$ because a pendant vertex has different eccentricity than its support vertex. Hence

$$
2 m=\sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v) \geq 2 n,
$$

that is, $m \geq n$. If $m=n$, then $G \cong C_{n}$ in which case $E_{2}(G)=n\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor^{2}=E_{1}(G)$. Otherwise, $m>n$ and hence $E_{2}(G)=m \cdot \varepsilon(G)^{2}>n \cdot \varepsilon(G)^{2}=E_{1}(G)$.

For graphs with diameter 2, Proposition 2.1 immediately implies:
Corollary 2.2. If $G$ is a self-centered graph with $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2$, then $E_{2}(G) \geq E_{1}(G)$. Moreover, equality holds if and only if $G \in\left\{C_{4}, C_{5}\right\}$.

To formulate the next result, we need some preparation. A vertex $v \in V(G)$ is a universal vertex if $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)=n(G)-1$. We will denote with $n^{\prime}(G)$ the number of universal vertices of $G$ and with $G^{\prime}$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by the non-universal vertices. In other words, $G^{\prime}$ is obtained from $G$ by removing all of its universal vertices. Finally, denote by $\operatorname{avd}(G)$ the average degree of graph $G$, that is, $\operatorname{avd}(G)=\frac{2 m(G)}{n(G)}$. Then we have:

Theorem 2.3. Let $G$ be a non-self-centered graph with $n(G) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2$. If (i) $n^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$, or (ii) $n^{\prime}(G)=2$ and $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>0$, or (iii) $n^{\prime}(G)=1$ and $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>1+\frac{1}{2(n-1)}$, then $E_{1}(G)<E_{2}(G)$. Otherwise, $E_{1}(G)>E_{2}(G)$.

Proof. Set $n=n(G), m=m(G)$, and $n^{\prime}=n^{\prime}(G)$. Then $m=\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}+n^{\prime}\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)+x$, where $x=m\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Consequently, $E_{1}(G)=4\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)+n^{\prime}=4 n-3 n^{\prime}$ and $E_{2}(G)=$ $\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}+2 n^{\prime}\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)+4 x$. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}(G)-E_{1}(G)=2\left(n^{\prime}-2\right)\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)+\frac{n^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}-3\right)}{2}+4 x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G$ is a non-self-centered graph with $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2$, we have $n^{\prime} \geq 1$. We distinguish the following three cases on the value of $n^{\prime}$.

Suppose first that $n^{\prime} \geq 3$. Then by (2) we get that $E_{2}(G)-E_{1}(G) \geq 2\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)>0$, where the last inequality holds because $G$ is not complete and thus $n>n^{\prime}$.

Suppose next that $n^{\prime}=2$. Using (2) we obtain that $E_{2}(G)-E_{1}(G)=4 x-1$ and therefore $E_{2}(G)>E_{1}(G)$ provided that $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2 x}{n-2}>0$. Otherwise we have $E_{2}(G)<E_{1}(G)$.

Assume next that $n^{\prime}=1$. Applying (2) again, we get $E_{2}(G)-E_{1}(G)=4 x-2 n+1$ and (since $n^{\prime}=1$ ) also $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2 x}{n-1}$. Therefore $E_{2}(G)-E_{1}(G)>0$ if $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>1+\frac{1}{2(n-1)}$. Otherwise, we have $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq 1+\frac{1}{2(n-1)}$. We claim that $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \neq 1+\frac{1}{2(n-1)}$. Indeed, if this would be the case, then we would derive the equality $4 m\left(G^{\prime}\right)=2 n-1$, which is not possible. Clearly, we have $E_{1}(G)<E_{2}(G)$ if $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right)<1+\frac{1}{2(n-1)}$.

If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{2}$, then $d_{G}(u, v)=2$ holds for each non-adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$, hence the following result holds immediately.

Proposition 2.4. If $n \geq 3$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{2}$ has $m$ edges, then $W(G)=n(n-1)-m$.
Next we compare $W$ with $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ for the graphs from $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{2}$.
Theorem 2.5. If $n \geq 9$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{2}$, then $W(G)>E_{1}(G)$.

Proof. Set $n^{\prime}=n^{\prime}(G)$ and $m=m(G)$. Then $E_{1}(G)=4 n-3 n^{\prime}$ and $m<\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ since $G \nexists K_{n}$. So, by Proposition 2.4, we have

$$
W(G)-E_{1}(G)=n(n-5)-m+3 n^{\prime}>\frac{n(n-9)}{2}+3 n^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

the last inequality holding by the assumption $n \geq 9$.
Since $E_{1}(G)=4 n(G)$ and $E_{2}(G)=4 m(G)$ hold for a self-centered graph $G$ with diameter 2, Proposition 2.4 yields:

Proposition 2.6. If $G$ is a self-centered graph of order $n$, size $m$, and diameter 2 , then the following statements hold.
(i) $W(G)>E_{1}(G)$ if and only if $m<n(n-5)$.
(ii) $W(G)>E_{2}(G)$ if and only if $m<\frac{n(n-1)}{5}$.

In the following we consider non-self-centered graphs $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{2}$.
Theorem 2.7. If $n \geq 3$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{2}$ with $n^{\prime}(G)>\frac{n-1}{2}$, then $E_{2}(G)>W(G)$.
Proof. Set $n=n(G), m=m(G)$, and $n^{\prime}=n^{\prime}(G)$. Since $n^{\prime}>\frac{n-1}{2}, G$ is non-selfcentered. As already observed in the proof of Theorem 2.3, $m=n^{\prime}\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)+x+\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}$, where $x=m\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Then $E_{2}(G)=\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}+2 n^{\prime}\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)+4 x$. Moreover, $W(G)=n(n-1)-$ $n^{\prime}\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)-x-\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}$ by Proposition 2.4. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2}(G)-W(G) & =5 x+2\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}+3 n^{\prime}\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)-n(n-1) \\
& =5 x-\left[2 n^{\prime 2}-(3 n-1) n^{\prime}+n(n-1)\right] \\
& =5 x-2\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)\left(n^{\prime}-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n^{\prime}>\frac{n-1}{2}$, completing the argument.
Corollary 2.8. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{2}$ with $0<n^{\prime}(G) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$.
(i) If $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>\frac{2}{5}\left(n-1-2 n^{\prime}(G)\right)$, then $E_{2}(G)>W(G)$.
(ii) If $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\frac{2}{5}\left(n-1-2 n^{\prime}(G)\right)$, then $E_{2}(G)<W(G)$.

Proof. Set again $n^{\prime}=n^{\prime}(G)$ and $x=m\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Using the argument from the proof of Theorem 2.7 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2}(G)-W(G) & =5 x+2\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}+3 n^{\prime}\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)-n(n-1) \\
& =5 x-2\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-n^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{5}{2}\left(n-n^{\prime}\right)\left[\frac{2 x}{n-n^{\prime}}-\frac{2}{5}\left(n-1-2 n^{\prime}\right)\right]>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows by the assumption $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2 x}{n-n^{\prime}}>\frac{2}{5}\left(n-1-2 n^{\prime}\right)$.
The above argument works also if $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\frac{2}{5}\left(n-1-2 n^{\prime}(G)\right)$, the difference being only in the last estimate which becomes less than 0 .

We conclude the section with the following construction.
Theorem 2.9. For each integer $n^{\prime} \in(0, n-2]$, there exists a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{2}$ with $n^{\prime}(G)=n^{\prime}$ such that $E_{2}(G)>W(G)$.

Proof. If $n^{\prime}>\frac{n-1}{2}$, the result holds by Theorem 2.7, hence it remains to consider the cases $n^{\prime} \in\left(0, \frac{n-1}{2}\right]$. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{2}$ and let $V^{\prime}$ be the set of non-universal vertices in $G$, so that $G^{\prime}$ is the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V^{\prime}$. If $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>\frac{2}{5}\left(n-1-2 n^{\prime}\right)$, then $E_{2}(G)>W(G)$ from Corollary 2.8. Otherwise, $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{2}{5}\left(n-1-2 n^{\prime}\right)$. Let $V_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{v: v \in V_{0}, \operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}}(v)<\right.$ $\left.n-n^{\prime}-2\right\}$. Note that $\frac{2}{5}\left(n-1-2 n^{\prime}\right)<n-n^{\prime}-2$ for $n>5$. Then $\emptyset \subset V_{0}^{\prime} \subseteq V^{\prime}$. Now we construct a graph $G^{*}$ obtained by inserting some edges among the vertices in $V_{0}^{\prime}$ such that $G^{*}\left[V_{0}\right]$ is a graph obtained by removing $i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-n^{\prime}}{2}\right\rfloor$ independent edges from $K_{n-n^{\prime}}$. Then $G^{*} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{2}$ with $\operatorname{avd}\left(G^{*}\left[V_{0}\right]\right) \geq n-n^{\prime}-2>\frac{2}{5}\left(n-1-2 n^{\prime}\right)$. The result then follows from Corollary 2.8.

## 3 Trees

In this section we compare $W$ with $E_{1}$ and with $E_{2}$ on the class of trees. The main results assert that if the diameter of a tree is not too big, then $W \geq E_{2}$ and if the diameter of a tree is large, then $W<E_{1}$.

Theorem 3.1. If $T$ is a tree with $n(T) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{diam}(T) \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{4 n-3}}{2}$, then $E_{2}(T) \leq W(T)$ with equality holding if and only if $T \cong P_{3}$.

Proof. Set $n=n(T)$ and $d=\operatorname{diam}(T)$. Clearly, $\varepsilon_{T}(v) \varepsilon_{T}(u) \leq d(d-1)$ holds for an edge $u v \in E(T)$ with equality holding if and only if one of the vertices $u$ and $v$ is diametrical. Since $d \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{4 n-3}}{2}$, we have $d(d-1) \leq n-1$. For an edge $u v \in E(T)$ let $n_{u}$ and $n_{v}$ be the number of vertices closer to $u$ than to $v$, and closer to $v$ than to $u$, respectively. Clearly, $n_{u}+n_{v}=n$. Recall further the well-known fact going back to Wiener [30] that $W(T)=\sum_{u v \in E(T)} n_{u} n_{v}$. Hence for any edge $u v \in E(T)$ we have

$$
\varepsilon_{T}(v) \varepsilon_{T}(u) \leq d(d-1) \leq n-1 \leq n_{u} n_{v},
$$

which after summing over all the edges of $T$ yields $E_{2}(T) \leq W(T)$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only all three equalities above hold for each edge $u v \in E(T)$. Equivalently, each edge $u v \in E(T)$ is a pendant edge in $T$, and $n-1=d(d-1)$. Only the path $P_{3}$ of order 3 has these properties.

We have thus seen that if the diameter of a tree is relatively small, then $W \geq E_{2}$. On the other hand, if the diameter of a tree is large, then $W<E_{1}$ :

Theorem 3.2. If $T$ is a tree with $n(T)>3$ and $\operatorname{diam}(T) \geq \frac{2 n}{3}$, then $W(T)<E_{1}(T)$.
Proof. Set $n=n(T), d=\operatorname{diam}(T)$ and $r=\operatorname{rad}(T)$. Assume that $d$ is even. (The proof for the case when $d$ is odd is analogous and hence omitted.) Then $T$ has radius $r=\frac{d}{2}$ and $d>2$ holds because $d \geq \frac{2 n}{3}$ and $n>3$. From definitions, it suffices to prove that $\frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{T}(v)}{2} \leq \varepsilon_{T}(v)^{2}$ holds for each vertex $v$ of $T$, and that for at least one vertex strict inequality holds. Let $P$ be a diametrical path in $T$ with $y, z$ as two diametrical vertices. Then $\varepsilon_{T}(v)=\max \left\{d_{T}(v, y), d_{T}(v, z)\right\}$ for any vertex $v \in V(T)$. Next we bound the value of $\frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{T}(v)}{2}$ for vertices $v$ of $T$ and distinguish three cases.

Suppose first that $v$ is a diametrical vertex in $T$. Then

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{T}(v)}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}[1+2+\cdots+d+(n-d-1) d]=\frac{1}{2}\left(n-\frac{d+1}{2}\right) d<d^{2}=\varepsilon_{T}(v)^{2}
$$

where the strict inequality holds because $d \geq \frac{2 n}{3}$.
Suppose next that $v$ is a central vertex in $T$. (Since $d$ is even, such a vertex is actually unique.) Then

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{T}(v)}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}[2(1+2+\cdots+r)+(n-2 r-1) r]=\frac{(n-r) r}{2} \leq r^{2}
$$

where the last inequality holds since $d \geq \frac{2 n}{3}$ and $d=2 r$.

In the last case assume that $v$ is neither a diametrical nor the central vertex of $T$. Then $\varepsilon_{T}(v)=k$, where $\frac{d+2}{2} \leq k \leq d-1$. In the first subcase assume that $v$ lies on $P$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{T}(v)}{2} & \leq \frac{1}{2}[1+2+\cdots+k+1+2+\cdots+d-k+(n-d-1) k] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(n-d+\frac{k-1}{2}\right) k+\frac{(d-k+1)(d-k)}{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[(n-2 d+k-1) k+\frac{d^{2}+d}{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{T}(v)^{2}-\frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{T}(v)}{2} & \geq k^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left[(n-2 d+k-1) k+\frac{d^{2}+d}{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[k^{2}+(1+2 d-n) k-\frac{d^{2}+d}{2}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{d+2}{2}\left(\frac{d+2}{2}+1+2 d-n\right)-\frac{d^{2}+d}{2}\right] \geq \frac{3 d+4}{4}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k \geq \frac{d+2}{2}$ with $d \geq \frac{2 n}{3}$, that is, $n \leq \frac{3 d}{2}$.
In the second subcase assume that $v$ is not a vertex of $P$. Let $u$ be the vertex of $P$ closest to $v$. Clearly, $u \neq y, z$. Let $d_{T}(y, v)=k$. Then we get $d_{T}(u, y)=k-x$ and $d_{T}(u, z)=d-k+x \leq k-x$ which implies that $1 \leq x \leq k-\frac{d}{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}_{T}(v) & \leq 1+2+\cdots+k+x+1+\cdots+x+d-k+x+(n-d-1-x) k \\
& =\frac{k(k+1)}{2}+\frac{(d-k+2 x)(d-k+2 x+1)}{2}-\frac{x(x+1)}{2}+(n-d-1-x) k \\
& =k^{2}+\frac{d^{2}+d}{2}+2 x(d-k)+\frac{3 x^{2}+x}{2}+(n-2 d-1-x) k,
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \varepsilon_{T}(v)^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}_{T}(v) \geq k^{2}+(2 d+1-n) k-\frac{d^{2}+d}{2}-x(2 d-3 k)-\frac{3 x^{2}+x}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the function

$$
h(x)=x(2 d-3 k)+\frac{3 x^{2}+x}{2}
$$

defined for $x \in\left[1, k-\frac{d}{2}\right]$. Then we have $h^{\prime}(x)=2 d-3 k+\frac{6 x+1}{2}$ which implies that $h(x)$ is an increasing function on $x \geq k-\frac{2 d}{3}-\frac{1}{6}$ and a decreasing function on $x \leq k-\frac{2 d}{3}-\frac{1}{6}$.
Now we determine the maximum value of $h(x)$.
Case 1: $k \geq \frac{2 d}{3}+\frac{1}{6}$. In this case

$$
h(x) \leq \max \left\{h(1), h\left(k-\frac{d}{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

One can easily see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { easily see that } \\
& h(1)=2 d-3 k+2 \leq\left(k-\frac{d}{2}\right)\left(2 d-3 k+\frac{3\left(k-\frac{d}{2}\right)+1}{2}\right)=h\left(k-\frac{d}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $k \geq \frac{d}{2}+1$. Thus we have

$$
h(x) \leq\left(k-\frac{d}{2}\right)\left(2 d-3 k+\frac{3\left(k-\frac{d}{2}\right)+1}{2}\right)=\left(k-\frac{d}{2}\right)\left(\frac{5 d}{4}-\frac{3 k}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

Case 2: $\frac{d}{2}+1 \leq k<\frac{2 d}{3}+\frac{1}{6}$. In this case we have

$$
h(x) \leq h\left(k-\frac{d}{2}\right)=\left(k-\frac{d}{2}\right)\left(\frac{5 d}{4}-\frac{3 k}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) .
$$

From (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \varepsilon_{T}(v)^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}_{T}(v) & \geq k^{2}+(2 d+1-n) k-\frac{d^{2}+d}{2}-\left(k-\frac{d}{2}\right)\left(\frac{5 d}{4}-\frac{3 k}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{5 k^{2}}{2}-n k+\frac{k}{2}+\frac{d^{2}}{8}-\frac{d}{4} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $k \geq \frac{d}{2}+1 \geq \frac{n}{3}+1$ as $d \geq \frac{2 n}{3}$. Since $n \leq \frac{3 d}{2}$, we have that $g(x)=\frac{5 x^{2}}{2}-n x+\frac{x}{2}$ is a strictly increasing function on $x \geq \frac{d}{2}$. From (3), we have

$$
2 \varepsilon_{T}(v)^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}_{T}(v)>g\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)+\frac{d^{2}}{8}-\frac{d}{4}=\frac{5 d^{2}}{8}-\frac{n d}{2}+\frac{d^{2}}{8}=\frac{d(3 d-2 n)}{4} \geq 0
$$

which implies $\varepsilon_{T}(v)^{2}>\frac{\operatorname{Tr}_{T}(v)}{2}$.
We conclude the section with the following result.
Theorem 3.3. If $T$ is a tree with $n(T)>8$, then either $W(T)>E_{1}(T)$ or $W(\bar{T})>E_{1}(\bar{T})$.
Proof. Set $n=n(T)$ and $d=\operatorname{diam}(T)$. If $d=2$, then the assertion follows from Theorem 2.5. If $d=3$, then $T$ is a double star, where the two non-leaves of $T$ are adjacent to $n^{\prime}$ and $n-2-n^{\prime}$ leaves, respectively, where $1 \leq n^{\prime} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(T) & =n-1+2\left[n^{\prime}+n-2-n^{\prime}+\binom{n^{\prime}}{2}+\binom{n-n^{\prime}-2}{2}\right]+3 n^{\prime}\left(n-2-n^{\prime}\right) \\
& =3 n-5+n^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}-1\right)+\left(n-2-n^{\prime}\right)\left(n-3-n^{\prime}\right)+3 n^{\prime}\left(n-2-n^{\prime}\right) \\
& =(n-1)^{2}+(n-2) n^{\prime}-n^{\prime 2} \geq(n-1)^{2}+(n-2)-1=n^{2}-n+2 \\
& >9 n-10=E_{1}(T),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $W(T)>E_{1}(T)$ for $n>8$.
The last case to consider is when $d \geq 4$. From a well known fact that $\operatorname{diam}(\bar{G})=2$ if $\operatorname{diam}(G) \geq 3$ (see [2, Exercise 1.6.12]), we have $\operatorname{diam}(\bar{T})=2$. Then $\bar{T}$ is a self-centered graph of order $n>8$ with $m(\bar{T})=\binom{n}{2}-(n-1)=\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ and the assertion follows by Corollary 2.2.

## 4 Universally diametrical graphs

We say that a graph $G$ is universally diametrical (UD for short) if there exist diametrical vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$, such that $\operatorname{Ecc}_{G}(w) \cap\{u, v\} \neq \emptyset$ for any vertex $w \in V(G) \backslash\{u, v\}$, that is, at least one of $u$ and $v$ is eccentric to $w$. We further say that the vertices $u$ and $v$ form a universally diametrical pair in $G$. A universally diametrical graph $G$ is called a $k-(u, v)$ universally diametrical (or $k$ - $(u, v)$-UD for simplicity) graph if $d_{G}(u, v)=\operatorname{diam}(G)=k$.

Obviously, any tree is a UD graph. A sporadic example of a UD graph is shown in Figure 1. Let further $A_{k}, k \geq 1$, be the graph obtained by attaching $k$ pendant vertices to each of two diametrical vertices of $C_{4}$. Then $A_{k}$ is a 4-UD graph for each $k \geq 1$. Note also that the $d$-dimensional hypercube $Q_{d}$ is a $d$-UD graph in which each pair of diametrical vertices form a universally diametrical pair.


Figure 1. 11-( $u, v)$-UD graph

To prove the next first main result of this section, the following lemma will be useful.
Lemma 4.1. Let $G$ be a connected graph with $v \in V(G)$. Then $\varepsilon(G)-\varepsilon_{G}(v) \geq \operatorname{Tr}_{G}(v)$ with equality holding if and only if $\varepsilon_{G}(u)=d_{G}(v, u)$ for any vertex $u \in V(G) \backslash\{v\}$.

Proof. From definitions, we have

$$
\varepsilon(G)-\varepsilon_{G}(v)=\sum_{u \in V(G) \backslash\{v\}} \varepsilon_{G}(u) \geq \sum_{u \in V(G) \backslash\{v\}} d_{G}(v, u)=\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(v)
$$

with equality holding if and only if $\varepsilon_{G}(u)=d_{G}(v, u)$ for any $u \in V(G) \backslash\{v\}$.
In the following, let $f(x)=2 x^{2}+9 x+6$ with $x>0$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $G$ be a $d-(u, v)$-UD graph of order $n$, where $f(d) \geq n$. Let $G^{*}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by attaching a pendant vertex $u^{\prime}$ to $u$ and a pendant vertex $v^{\prime}$ to v. If $E_{1}(G)>W(G)$, then $E_{1}\left(G^{*}\right)>W\left(G^{*}\right)$.

Proof. Since $G$ is a UD graph, $G^{*}$ is also a UD graph in which $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ form a universally diametrical pair. Therefore we have $\varepsilon_{G^{*}}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon_{G^{*}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=d+2$ and $\varepsilon_{G^{*}}(w)=\varepsilon_{G}(w)+1$
for any vertex $w \in V(G)$. Then

$$
E_{1}\left(G^{*}\right)=2(d+2)^{2}+\sum_{w \in V(G)}\left(\varepsilon_{G}(w)+1\right)^{2}=E_{1}(G)+2 \varepsilon(G)+n+2(d+2)^{2} .
$$

Moreover, from the structure of $G^{*}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{G^{*}}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=d+2+\sum_{w \in V(G)}\left(d_{G}(u, w)+1\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(u)+n+d+2 .
$$

Similarly, we have $\operatorname{Tr}_{G^{*}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(v)+n+d+2$. Note that $\operatorname{Tr}_{G^{*}}(w)=\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(w)+d_{G}(u, w)+$ $d_{G}(v, w)+2$ for any vertex $w \in V(G)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 W\left(G^{*}\right) & =\operatorname{Tr}_{G^{*}}\left(u^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}_{G^{*}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{w \in V(G)} \operatorname{Tr}_{G^{*}}(w)=\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(u)+\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(v)+2 n+2(d+2) \\
& +\sum_{w \in V(G)}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(w)+d_{G}(u, w)+d_{G}(v, w)+2\right) \\
& =2\left[\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(u)+\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(v)\right]+4 n+2(d+2)+2 W(G),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $W\left(G^{*}\right)=W(G)+\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(u)+\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(v)+2 n+d+2$. Note that $\varepsilon_{G}(u)=\varepsilon_{G}(v)=d$ for the universally diametrical pair $\{u, v\}$ in $G$. Combining Lemma 4.1 with the assumption that $E_{1}(G)>W(G)$ and $2 d^{2}+9 d+6 \geq n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}\left(G^{*}\right)-W\left(G^{*}\right) & >2 \varepsilon(G)-\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(u)-\operatorname{Tr}_{G}(v)+2(d+2)^{2}-(d+2)-n \\
& \geq 2 d^{2}+9 d+6-n \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

finishing the proof of the theorem.
In the following we will make use of the eccentric connectivity index [25] of a graph $G$ defined as $\xi^{c}(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v) \varepsilon_{G}(v)$, see also $[16,31,35]$. The next result is parallel to Theorem 4.2, but now we compare $E_{2}$ with $E_{1}$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $G$ be a d-(u,v)-UD graph of order $n$, size $m \geq n+2 d+4$, and $\delta(G) \geq 2$. If $G^{*}$ is defined just as in Theorem 4.2 and $E_{2}(G)>E_{1}(G)$, then $E_{2}\left(G^{*}\right)>E_{1}\left(G^{*}\right)$.

Proof. By a similar reasoning as that in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) & =2(d+2)(d+1)+\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left(\varepsilon_{G}(u)+1\right)\left(\varepsilon_{G}(v)+1\right) \\
& =2(d+2)(d+1)+\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \varepsilon_{G}(u) \varepsilon_{G}(v)+\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left[\varepsilon_{G}(u)+\varepsilon_{G}(v)\right]+m \\
& =2(d+2)(d+1)+E_{2}(G)+m+\xi^{c}(G) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $E_{1}\left(G^{*}\right)=E_{1}(G)+2 \varepsilon(G)+n+2(d+2)^{2}$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.2) and $\xi^{c}(G) \geq 2 \varepsilon(G)$ since $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Then the assumptions $E_{2}(G)>E_{1}(G)$ and $m \geq n+2 d+4$ give $E_{2}\left(G^{*}\right)-E_{1}\left(G^{*}\right)>m-n-2(d+2)+\xi^{c}(G)-2 \varepsilon(G) \geq 0$.

Theorem 4.2 can be extended as follows.
Corollary 4.4. Let $G$ be a $d-(u, v)-U D$ graph of order $n$ with $f(d+2 \ell-2) \geq n+2 \ell-2$. Let $G^{\ell *}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by attaching a pendant path of length $\ell \geq 1$ to each of $u$ and $v$. If $E_{1}(G)>W(G)$, then $E_{1}\left(G^{\ell *}\right)>W\left(G^{\ell *}\right)$.

Proof. Since $G^{1 *} \cong G^{*}$, the result for $\ell=1$ follows from Theorem 4.2. Clearly, $G^{k *}$ is a universally diametrical graph for $k \in[\ell]$. Since $G^{\ell *}$ can be obtained by attaching a pendant vertex to each vertex of universally pair, respectively, in $G^{(\ell-1) *}$ which is order $n+2 \ell-2$ and has diameter $d+2 \ell-2$, our result holds by repeatedly applying Theorem 4.2.

## 5 Cartesian product graphs

In this final section we prove that if graphs have the property $W \geq E_{1}$, then the same property holds for the Cartesian product of these graph. Recall that the Cartesian product $G \square H$ of graphs $G$ and $H$ is the graph with $V(G \square H)=V(G) \times V(H)$ and $(g, h)$ is adjacent to $\left(g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right)$ if either $g g^{\prime} \in E(G)$ and $h=h^{\prime}$, or $g=g^{\prime}$ and $h h^{\prime} \in E(H)$. Since $\varepsilon_{G \square H}(g, h)=\varepsilon_{G}(g)+\varepsilon_{H}(h)$ (cf. [13]), the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 5.1. If $G$ and $H$ are connected graphs, then

$$
E_{1}(G \square H)=n(H) E_{1}(G)+n(G) E_{1}(H)+2 \varepsilon(G) \varepsilon(H) .
$$

Theorem 5.2. If $G$ and $H$ are connected graphs, $W(G) \geq E_{1}(G), W(H) \geq E_{1}(H)$, and $\max \{n(G), n(H)\}>2$, then $W(G \square H)>E_{1}(G \square H)$.

Proof. It is well-known for a long time, see [9, 37], that $W(G \square H)=n(H)^{2} W(G)+$ $n(G)^{2} W(H)$. Then, combining Lemma 5.1 with the fact that $E_{1}(X) \geq \varepsilon(X)$ holds for any connected graph $X$, and setting $Z=W(G \square H)-E_{1}(G \square H)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =n(H)^{2} W(G)+n(G)^{2} W(H)-n(H) E_{1}(G)-n(G) E_{1}(H)-2 \varepsilon(G) \varepsilon(H) \\
& \geq n(H)(n(H)-1) W(G)+n(G)(n(G)-1) W(H)-2 \varepsilon(G) \varepsilon(H) \\
& \geq[n(H)(n(H)-1)-\varepsilon(H)] W(G)+[n(G)(n(G)-1)-\varepsilon(G)] W(H)>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality holds by the assumption $\max \{n(G), n(H)\}>2$.
Similarly as Lemma 5.1, but with a little more effort, the next result can be deduced.
Lemma 5.3. ( [33]) Let $G$ and $H$ be two connected graphs. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2}(G \square H) & =m(H) E_{1}(G)+n(H) E_{2}(G)+m(G) E_{1}(H)+n(G) E_{2}(H) \\
& +\varepsilon(G) \xi^{c}(H)+\varepsilon(H) \xi^{c}(G) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorems 2.3 and 2.5, we know that there exist some graphs $G$ which satisfy $W(G) \geq \max \left\{E_{1}(G), E_{2}(G)\right\}$. Considering the equality (1), we define the average transmission of a connected graph $G$ as $\operatorname{avt}(G)=\frac{2 W(G)}{n(G)}$. Then we have:

Theorem 5.4. Let $G$ and $H$ be connected graphs with diameters $d_{G}$ and $d_{H}$, respectively, and let $W(G) \geq \max \left\{E_{1}(G), E_{2}(G)\right\}$ and $W(H) \geq \max \left\{E_{1}(H), E_{2}(H)\right\}$. If avt $(G)>$ $4 d_{G}^{2} d_{H}$ and $\operatorname{avt}(H)>4 d_{H}^{2} d_{G}$, then $W(G \square H)>E_{2}(G \square H)$.

Proof. As already mentioned in the proof of Theorem 5.2, $W(G \square H)=n(H)^{2} W(G)+$ $n(G)^{2} W(H)$. Since $m(X) \leq\binom{ n(X)}{2}$ holds for any graph $X$, we have $n(X)^{2}-m(X)-$ $n(X) \geq m(X)$ for any graph $X$. Hence, setting $A=W(G \square H)-E_{2}(G \square H)$ and using the assumptions $W(G) \geq \max \left\{E_{1}(G), E_{2}(G)\right\}$ and $W(H) \geq \max \left\{E_{1}(H), E_{2}(H)\right\}$, we can estimate as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & n(H)^{2} W(G)-m(H) E_{1}(G)-n(H) E_{2}(G)+n(G)^{2} W(H) \\
& -m(G) E_{1}(H)-n(G) E_{2}(H)-\varepsilon(G) \xi^{c}(H)-\varepsilon(H) \xi^{c}(G) \\
\geq & {\left[n(H)^{2}-m(H)-n(H)\right] W(G)+\left[n(G)^{2}-m(G)-n(G)\right] W(H) } \\
& -\varepsilon(G) \xi^{c}(H)-\varepsilon(H) \xi^{c}(G) \\
\geq & m(H) W(G)+m(G) W(H)-2 m(H) n(G) d_{G}^{2} d_{H}-2 m(G) n(H) d_{H}^{2} d_{G} \\
= & m(H)\left[W(G)-2 n(G) d_{G}^{2} d_{H}\right]+m(G)\left[W(H)-2 n(H) d_{G} d_{H}^{2}\right]>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the last inequality holds because of the assumptions avt $(G)>4 d_{G}^{2} d_{H}$ and $\operatorname{avt}(H)>4 d_{H}^{2} d_{G}$.

Acknowledgments: K. X. is supported by supported by NNSF of China (grant No. 11671202) and China-Slovene bilateral grant 12-9, K. C. D. is supported by National Research Foundation funded by the Korean government (grant no. 2017R1D1A1B03028642). S.K. is supported by Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding P1-0297, projects J1-9109, J1-1693, N1-0095, and the bilateral grant BI-CN-18-20-008).

## References

[1] Y. Alizadeh, S. Klavžar, On graphs whose Wiener complexity equals their order and on Wiener index of asymmetric graphs, Appl. Math. Comput. 328 (2018) 113-118.
[2] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan, New York, 1976.
[3] B. Borovićanin, K. C. Das, B. Furtula, I. Gutman, Bounds for Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 78 (2017) 17-100.
[4] H. Darabi, Y. Alizadeh, S. Klavžar, K. C. Das, On the relation between Wiener index and eccentricity of a graph, submitted.
[5] K. C. Das, D. W. Lee, A. Graovac, Some properties of the Zagreb eccentricity indices, Ars Math. Contemp. 6 (2013) 117-125.
[6] A. A. Dobrynin, The Szeged and Wiener indices of line graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 79 (2018) 743-756.
[7] T. Došlić, M. Saheli, Eccentric connectivity index of benzenoid graphs. in: I. Gutman, B. Furtula (Eds.), Novel Molecular Structure Descriptors - Theory and Applications II, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2010, pp. 169-182.
[8] Z. Du, B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, Extremal properties of the Zagreb eccentricity indices, Croat. Chem. Acta 85 (2012) 359-362.
[9] A. Graovac, T. Pisanski, On the Wiener index of a graph, J. Math. Chem. 8 (1991) 53-62.
[10] I. Gutman, B. Furtula (Eds.), Novel Molecular Structure Descriptors - Theory and Applications II, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2010.
[11] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. III. Total $\pi$-electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, Chem. Phys. Lett. 17 (1972) 535-538.
[12] I. Gutman, B. Ruščić, N. Trinajstić, C. F. Wilcox, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. XII. Acyclic polyenes, J. Chem. Phys. 62 (1975) 3399-3405.
[13] R. Hammack, W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, Handbook of Product Graphs, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2011.
[14] A. Ilić, On the extremal properties of the average eccentricity, Comput. Math. Appl. 64 (2012) 2877-2885.
[15] H. Hua, Comparing multiplicative Wiener index with other graph invariants, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 83 (2020) 95-107.
[16] A. Ilić, I. Gutman, Eccentric connectivity index of chemical trees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 65 (2011) 731-744.
[17] F. Javaid, M. K. Jamil, I. Tomescu, Extremal $k$-generalized quasi unicyclic graphs with respect to first and second Zagreb indices, Discr. Appl. Math. 270 (2019) 153158.
[18] M. Knor, R. Škrekovski, A. Tepeh, Mathematical aspects of Wiener index, Ars Math. Contemp. 11 (2016) 327-352.
[19] M. Knor, R. Škrekovski, A. Tepeh, Chemical graphs with the minimum value of Wiener index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 81 (2019) 119-132.
[20] H. Lin, Extremal Wiener index of trees with prescribed path factors, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 83 (2020) 85-94.
[21] S. Ji, S. Wang, On the sharp lower bounds of Zagreb indices of graphs with given number of cut vertices, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 458 (2018) 21-29.
[22] A. K. Madan, H. Dureja, Eccentricity based descriptors for QSAR/QSPR. in: I. Gutman, B. Furtula (Eds.), Novel Molecular Structure Descriptors - Theory and Applications II, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2010, pp. 91-138.
[23] J. Plesník, On the sum of all distances in a graph or digraph, J. Graph Theory 8 (1984) 1-21.
[24] X. Qi, B. Zhou, J. Li, Zagreb eccentricity indices of unicyclic graphs, Discr. Appl. Math. 233 (2017) 166-174.
[25] V. Sharma, R. Goswami, A. K. Madan, Eccentric connectivity index: A novel highly discriminating topological descriptor for structure-property and structure-activity studies, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 37 (1997) 273-282.
[26] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, Handbook of Molecular Descriptors, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000.
[27] D. Vukičević, A. Graovac, Note on the comparison of the first and second normalized Zagreb eccentricity indices, Acta Chim. Slov. 57 (2010) 524-528.
[28] R. Xing, B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, On Zagreb eccentricity indices, Croat. Chem. Acta 84 (2011) 493-497.
[29] S. Wei, W. C. Shiu, Enumeration of Wiener indices in random polygonal chains, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 469 (2019) 537-548.
[30] H. Wiener, Structrual determination of paraffin boiling points, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 69 (1947) 17-20.
[31] K. Xu, Y. Alizadeh, K. C. Das, On two eccentricity-based topological indices of graphs, Discr. Appl. Math. 233 (2017) 240-251.
[32] K. Xu, K. C. Das, S. Balachandran, Maximizing the Zagreb indices of ( $n, m$ )-graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 72 (2014) 641-654.
[33] K. Xu, K. C. Das, X. Gu, Comparison and extremal results on three eccentricitybased invariants of graphs, Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser. 36 (2020) 40-54.
[34] K. Xu, H. Liu, K. C. Das, S. Klavžar, Embeddings into almost self-centered graphs of given radius, J. Comb. Optim. 36 (2018) 1388-1410.
[35] K. Xu, X. Li, Comparison between two eccentricity-based topological indices of graphs, Croat. Chem. Acta 89 (2016), 499-504.
[36] K. Xu, V. Iršič, S. Klavžar, H. Li, Comparing Wiener complexity with eccentric complexity, submitted.
[37] Y. N. Yeh, I. Gutman, On the sum of all distances in composite graphs, Discr. Math. 135 (1994) 359-365.
[38] A. Yurtas, M. Togan, V. Lokesha, I. N. Cangul, I. Gutman, Inverse problem for Zagreb indices, J. Math. Chem. 57 (2019) 609-615.
[39] Y. Zhan, Y. Qiao, J. Cai, Relations between the first Zagreb index and spectral moment of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 81 (2019) 383-392.
[40] J. Zhang, H. Wang, X. D. Zhang, The Wiener index of trees with given degree sequence and segment sequence, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 81 (2019) 105-118.


[^0]:    *Email addresses: kexxu1221@126. com (K. Xu), kinkardas2003@gmail.com (K. C. Das), sandi.klavzar@fmf.uni-lj.si (S. Klavžar), 1213966965@qq.com (H. Li).

