

Effects on the Energy and Estrada Indices by Adding Edges Among Pendent Vertices

Oscar Rojo

Department of Mathematics, Universidad Católica del Norte,

Antofagasta, Chile

orojo@ucn.cl

(Received January 13, 2015)

Abstract

Let G be a simple undirected connected graph possessing a vertex v to which $s > 1$ pendent vertices are attached. Let H be a graph of order s . Let $G(H)$ be the graph obtained from G by adding the edges of H among the pendent vertices attached to v . Let $M(R)$ be the Laplacian matrix or the signless Laplacian matrix or the adjacency matrix of a graph R . If the all 1- vector of order s is an eigenvector of $M(H)$, it is proved that $M(G(H))$ is orthogonally similar to a 2×2 block diagonal matrix in which one of the blocks is a diagonal matrix. This result is used to study the effects on the Energy and Estrada indices when edges are added on the pendent vertices of a given graph.

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple undirected graph on n vertices. Let $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of order n whose (i, i) -entry is the degree of the i -th vertex of G and let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of G . The matrices $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ and $L^+(G) = D(G) + A(G)$ are the Laplacian and signless Laplacian matrix of G , respectively. The matrices $L(G)$ and $L^+(G)$ are both positive semidefinite and $(0, \mathbf{1}_n)$ is an eigenpair of $L(G)$ where $\mathbf{1}_n$ is the all-1 vector of size n . Fiedler [14] proved that G is a connected graph if and only if the second smallest eigenvalue of $L(G)$ is positive. This eigenvalue is called the algebraic connectivity of G and it is denoted by $a(G)$. Moreover, it is known that for any bipartite graph G , the characteristic polynomials of $L(G)$ and $L^+(G)$ coincide [3].

A pendent vertex is a vertex of degree 1. We mention below some already known results concerning the effects on some graph invariants by adding edges among the pendent vertices.

Theorem 1. [25], Corollary 4.2. Let G be a connected graph on n vertices. Suppose that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s are s pendent vertices of G adjacent to a common vertex v . Let \tilde{G} be a graph obtained from G by adding any t , $0 \leq t \leq \frac{s(s-1)}{2}$, edges among v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s . If $a(G) \neq 1$ then $a(\tilde{G}) = a(G)$.

Theorem 2. [15], Theorem 2.3. Let G be a connected graph on n vertices. Suppose that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s are s pendent vertices of G adjacent to a common vertex v . Let \tilde{G} be a graph obtained from G by adding any t , $0 \leq t \leq \frac{s(s-1)}{2}$, edges among v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s . Then the largest Laplacian eigenvalue of G is also the largest Laplacian eigenvalue of \tilde{G} .

Definition 1. Let G be a connected graph of order n possessing a vertex v to which $s > 1$ pendent vertices are attached. Let H be a graph of order s . Then $G(H)$ denotes the graph obtained from G and H identifying the vertices of H with the pendent vertices attached to v .

In [26], the above results as generalized as follows.

Theorem 3. Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1.

(i) If $\mu \neq 0$ and $\mu \neq 1$ is a Laplacian eigenvalue of G then μ is a Laplacian eigenvalue of $G(H)$, and

(ii) if μ is a Laplacian eigenvalue of H , $\mu \neq 0$ or $\mu = 0$ with an eigenvector orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}_s$, then $1 + \mu$ is a Laplacian eigenvalue of $G(H)$.

Definition 2. Let G be a connected graph of order n possessing vertices v_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, to which $s_i > 1$ pendent vertices are attached. For $1 \leq i \leq r$, let H_i be a graph order s_i . Then $G(H_1, \dots, H_r)$ denotes the graph obtained from G and the graphs H_1, \dots, H_r identifying the vertices of H_i with the s_i pendent vertices attached to v_i .

Remark 1. The graph $G(H_1, H_2, \dots, H_r)$ can be constructed as follows:

- the graph $G_1 = G(H_1)$ is obtained from G and H_1 identifying the vertices of H_1 with the pendent vertices attached to v_1 , and
- for $i = 2, \dots, r$, the graph $G_i = G(H_1, \dots, H_i)$ is obtained from $G_{i-1} = G(H_1, \dots, H_{i-1})$ and H_i identifying the vertices of H_i with the pendent vertices attached to v_i .

At this point, we recall that for a connected graph G of order n the Laplacian-energy-like invariant of G is

$$LEL(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{\mu_i(G)},$$

the Kirchhoff index of G is

$$Kf(G) = n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu_i(G)}$$

and the Laplacian Estrada index of G is

$$LEE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\mu_i(G)}$$

where

$$\mu_1(G) \geq \mu_2(G) \geq \dots \geq \mu_{n-1}(G) \geq \mu_n(G) = 0$$

are the Laplacian eigenvalues of G .

Some results on $LEL(G)$ are given in [23], [27] and [4], on $Kf(G)$ in [28], [29], [32], [33] and [34], and on $LEE(G)$ in [13], [30] and [31].

The effects on the Laplacian-Energy-Like invariant and on the Kirchhoff index by adding edges among the pendent vertices were studied in [26] and the corresponding results are

Theorem 4. *Let $G(H_1, \dots, H_r)$ as in Definition 2. For $1 \leq i \leq r$, let*

$$\mu_1(H_i) \geq \mu_2(H_i) \geq \dots \geq \mu_{s_i-1}(H_i) \geq \mu_{s_i}(H_i) = 0$$

be the Laplacian eigenvalues of H_i . Then

$$LEL(G(H_1, \dots, H_r)) - LEL(G) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} \sqrt{1 + \mu_j(H_i)} - \sum_{i=1}^r s_i$$

and

$$Kf(G(H_1, \dots, H_r)) - Kf(G) = n \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} \frac{1}{1 + \mu_j(H_i)} - \sum_{i=1}^r s_i \right).$$

We recall that the energy of G is

$$E(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i(G)|$$

and the Estrada index of G is

$$EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i(G)}$$

where

$$\lambda_1(G) \leq \lambda_2(G) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{n-1}(G) \leq \lambda_n(G)$$

are the eigenvalues of $A(G)$.

Some results on $EE(G)$ are in [6] - [12].

The notion of the energy of a graph was introduced by Gutman in 1978 as the sum of the absolute values of its adjacency eigenvalues, it is studied in Chemistry and used to approximate the total π -electron energy of a molecule [16, 17]. There are many contributions on the energy of a graph and still is a subject of research. A few of these contributions are [18]- [20]. More on the chemical background of graph energy can be found in Chapter 2 of the book Graph Energy by X. Li, Y. Shi and I. Gutman [22]. This book provides a comprehensive survey of all results and common proof methods on graph energies together with a complete reference section.

We recall now that the signless Laplacian Estrada index of G is defined as

$$SLEE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\mu_i^+(G)}$$

where

$$\mu_1^+(G) \leq \mu_2^+(G) \leq \dots \leq \mu_{n-1}^+(G) \leq \mu_n^+(G)$$

are the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of G . Results on $SLEE(G)$ can be found in [1], [2], [5] and [21].

Let $M(R)$ be the Laplacian matrix or the signless Laplacian or the adjacency matrix of a graph R .

In Section 2, we prove that if the all 1-vector of order s is an eigenvector of $M(H)$ then $M(G(H))$ is orthogonally similar to a 2×2 block diagonal matrix in which one of the blocks is a diagonal matrix. In Section 3, we apply this result to study the effect on the Laplacian Estrada index. Section 4 is devoted to study the effects on the energy and on the Estrada index. Finally, in Section 5, we study the effect on the signless Laplacian Estrada index. In Sections 4 and 5, it is assumed that the edges added among the pendent vertices of G are the edges of regular graphs.

In this paper, the zero matrix and the identity matrix of the appropriate orders are denoted by 0 and I , respectively. Furthermore, I_m is the identity matrix of order m , $\det(A)$ and $\text{tr}(A)$ are the determinant and trace of a square matrix A , respectively, and A^T is the transpose of A .

2 A result on graphs constructed by adding edges on pendent vertices

Consider $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. Let $M(R)$ be the Laplacian matrix or the signless Laplacian or the adjacency matrix of a graph R . Throughout this paper

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } M \text{ is the Laplacian matrix,} \\ 1 & \text{if } M \text{ is the signless Laplacian or the adjacency matrix} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } M \text{ is the adjacency matrix} \\ 1 & \text{if } M \text{ is the Laplacian or the signless Laplacian matrix} \end{cases}$$

In this section, we assume that

$$\mu_1(M(H)), \mu_2(M(H)), \dots, \mu_s(M(H))$$

are the eigenvalues of $M(H)$ and that

$$\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{s-1}, \mathbf{x}_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \mathbf{1}_s$$

is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of $M(H)$ in which, for $i = 1, \dots, s$,

$$M(H)\mathbf{x}_i = \mu_i(M(H))\mathbf{x}_i. \quad (1)$$

In particular

$$M(H)\mathbf{1}_s = \mu_s(M(H))\mathbf{1}_s. \quad (2)$$

We observe that (2) holds when $M(H) = L(H)$ and when $M(H) = L^+(G)$ or $M(H) = A(H)$ if H is a regular graph.

Let

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{s-1} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\mathbf{1}_s \end{bmatrix}$$

where the columns of X are the eigenvectors of $M(H)$ as in (1).

Let

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} X & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & I_{n-s-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly, X and S are both orthonormal matrices.

The graphs G and $G(H)$ have the same set of vertices. We recall that G is a graph possessing a vertex v to which s pendent vertices are attached. We label the vertices of G as follows: the labels $1, 2, \dots, s$ are for the pendants attached to v , the label $s+1$ is for the vertex v and the labels $s+2, \dots, n$ are for the remaining vertices of G . Let d_i be

the degree of the vertex i of G . In particular, the degree of v is denoted by $d(v)$. For the given labeling, $M(G)$ and $M(G(H))$ become

$$M(G) = \begin{bmatrix} \delta I_s & \sigma \mathbf{1}_s & 0 \\ \sigma \mathbf{1}_s^T & \delta d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

and

$$M(G(H)) = \begin{bmatrix} \delta I_s + M(H) & \sigma \mathbf{1}_s & 0 \\ \sigma \mathbf{1}_s^T & \delta d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

for some common column vector \mathbf{a} of size $n-s-1$ and some common square matrix B of order $n-s-1$. The vector \mathbf{a} and the matrix B are both independent of H . The diagonal entries of B are δd_i , $s+2 \leq i \leq n$. The components of \mathbf{a} and the off-diagonal entries of B are σ if the corresponding vertices of G are adjacent and 0 otherwise.

Theorem 5. *Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. Then*

$$S^T M(G(H)) S = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta + \mu_1(M(H)) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \delta + \mu_{s-1}(M(H)) \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \delta + \mu_s(M(H)) & \sigma\sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sigma\sqrt{s} & \delta d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

and

$$S^T M(G) S = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \delta \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \delta & \sigma\sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sigma\sqrt{s} & \delta d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

The vector \mathbf{a} and the matrix B are as above mentioned.

Proof. We use (4) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} & S^T M(G(H)) S \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} X^T & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & I_{n-s-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta I_s + M(H) & \sigma \mathbf{1}_s & 0 \\ \sigma \mathbf{1}_s^T & \delta d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & I_{n-s-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \delta I_s + X^T M(H) X & \sigma X^T \mathbf{1}_s & 0 \\ \sigma \mathbf{1}_s^T X & \delta d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta + \mu_1(M(H)) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \delta + \mu_{s-1}(M(H)) \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \delta + \mu_s(M(H)) & \sigma\sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sigma\sqrt{s} & \delta d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta + \mu_1(M(H)) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \delta + \mu_{s-1}(M(H)) \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \delta + \mu_s(M(H)) & \sigma\sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sigma\sqrt{s} & \delta d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a}^T & B \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus (5) is obtained. Similarly, the computation of the product $S^T M(G)S$ with $M(G)$ as in (3) yields to (6). ■

3 Application to the Laplacian Estrada index

Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. Here we consider $M(G) = L(G)$. Then $\delta = 1$ and $\sigma = -1$. Applying Theorem 5, we obtain

Theorem 6. *Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. Let*

$$\mu_1(H) \geq \mu_2(H) \geq \dots \geq \mu_s(H) = 0$$

be the Laplacian eigenvalues of H . Then

$$S^T L(G(H))S = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \mu_1(H) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 + \mu_{s-1}(H) \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{s} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{s} & d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$S^T L(G)S = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{s} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{s} & d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

for some common column vector \mathbf{a} of size $n - s - 1$ and some common square matrix B of order $n - s - 1$ both independent of H . The diagonal entries of B are the degrees of the vertices i , $s + 2 \leq i \leq n$. The components of \mathbf{a} and the off-diagonal entries of B are -1 if the corresponding vertices of G are adjacent and 0 otherwise.

Corollary 1. *Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. Then*

$$\det(\lambda I - L(G(H))) = \lambda P_H(\lambda) R(\lambda) \quad (7)$$

and

$$\det(\lambda I - L(G)) = \lambda(\lambda - 1)^{s-1}R(\lambda) \quad (8)$$

where

$$P_H(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} (\lambda - (1 + \mu_i(H))) \quad (9)$$

and $R(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $n - s$ such that $R(0) \neq 0$.

Proof. From Theorem 6, the characteristic polynomial of $L(G(H))$ is

$$\det(\lambda I - L(G(H))) = P_H(\lambda)S(\lambda) \quad (10)$$

with $P_H(\lambda)$ is as in (9) and the characteristic polynomial of $L(G)$ is

$$\det(\lambda I - L(G)) = (\lambda - 1)^{s-1}S(\lambda) \quad (11)$$

where $S(\lambda)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{s} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{s} & d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $G(H)$ and G are connected graphs, 0 is a simple eigenvalue of $L(G(H))$ and $L(G)$. Hence $S(\lambda) = \lambda R(\lambda)$ where $R(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree $n - s$ such that $R(0) \neq 0$. Replacing in (10) and (11), we obtain (7) and (8). ■

Theorem 7. *Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. Then*

$$LEE(G(H)) - LEE(G) = (LEE(H) - s)e. \quad (12)$$

Proof. Let

$$\mu_1(H) \geq \mu_2(H) \geq \dots \geq \mu_{s-1}(H) \geq \mu_s(H) = 0$$

be the Laplacian eigenvalues of H . As we already mentioned, the Laplacian Estrada index of a graph G is

$$LEE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\mu_i(G)}.$$

We apply Corollary 1. From (7) and (8), we obtain

$$LEE(G(H)) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} e^{1+\mu_j(H)} + \sum_{\mu: R(\mu)=0} e^{\mu}. \quad (13)$$

and

$$LEE(G) = 1 + (s-1)e + \sum_{\mu: R(\mu)=0} e^{\mu}. \quad (14)$$

Subtracting (14) from (13), we get

$$LEE(G(H)) - LEE(G) = \sum_{j=1}^s e^{1+\mu_j(H)} - se = (LEE(H) - s)e.$$

Thus (12) is obtained. ■

Theorem 8. *Let $G(H_1, \dots, H_r)$ as in Definition 2. Then*

$$LEE(G(H_1, \dots, H_r)) - LEE(G) = e \sum_{i=1}^r (LEE(H_i) - s_i).$$

Proof. We recall that $G_r = G(H_1, \dots, H_r)$ can be constructed as in Remark 1. By repeated application of Theorem 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} LEE(G_1) - LEE(G) &= (LEE(H_1) - s_1)e, \\ LEE(G_2) - LEE(G_1) &= (LEE(H_2) - s_2)e, \\ &\vdots \\ LEE(G_{r-1}) - LEE(G_{r-2}) &= (LEE(H_{r-1}) - s_{r-1})e \end{aligned}$$

and

$$LEE(G_r) - LEE(G_{r-1}) = (LEE(H_r) - s_r)e.$$

Add these equalities together and the result follows. ■

4 Application to the energy and Estrada index

Let M be an $m \times n$ complex matrix. Let $q = \min\{m, n\}$. Let

$$\sigma_1(B) \geq \sigma_2(B) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_q(B)$$

be the singular values of M . Nikiforov [24] defines the energy of M , denoted by $E(M)$, as

$$E(M) = \sum_{j=1}^q \sigma_j(B).$$

Since $A(G)$ is a real symmetric matrix, its singular values are the modulus of its eigenvalues. Then $E(G) = E(A(G))$.

At this point, we recall that given a natural number k such that $1 \leq k \leq n$, the Ky Fan k -norm of a matrix X of order $n \times n$ is defined to be the sum of the k largest singular values of X , that is,

$$\|X\|_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(X).$$

In particular, $\|X\|_n = E(X)$.

Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. In this section, $M(G) = A(G)$. Then $\delta = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$. Applying Theorem 5, we obtain

Theorem 9. *Let H be a regular of degree h . Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. Let*

$$\lambda_1(H) \leq \lambda_2(H) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_s(H) = h$$

be the adjacency eigenvalues of H . Then

$$S^T A(G(H)) S = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1(H) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_{s-1}(H) \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} h & \sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sqrt{s} & 0 & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

and

$$S^T A(G) S = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sqrt{s} & 0 & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

for some common column vector \mathbf{a} of size $n-s-1$ and some common square matrix B of order $n-s-1$ both independent of H . The diagonal entries of B are 0. The components of \mathbf{a} and the off-diagonal entries of B are 1 if the corresponding vertices of G are adjacent and 0 otherwise.

Theorem 10. *Let H be a regular graph of degree h . Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. Then*

$$E(G(H)) - E(G) \leq E(H).$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 9. From (15) and (16), using the fact that the singular values are invariant under unitary transformations, we obtain

$$E(G(H)) = E(A(G(H))) = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |\lambda_i(H)| + E(C) \quad (17)$$

where

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} h & \sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sqrt{s} & 0 & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$E(G) = E(A(G)) = E(D)$$

where

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sqrt{s} & 0 & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$C = D + F$$

where

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence $E(C) = \|C\|_{n-s+1} \leq \|D\|_{n-s+1} + \|F\|_{n-s+1} = E(D) + h = E(G) + h$. We use this inequality in (17) to obtain

$$E(G(H)) - E(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |\lambda_i(H)| + h = \sum_{i=1}^s |\lambda_i(H)| = E(H).$$

The proof is complete. ■

Theorem 11. *For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let H_i be a regular graph of degree h_i . Let $G(H_1, \dots, H_r)$ as in Definition 2. Then*

$$E(G(H_1, \dots, H_r)) - E(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r E(H_i).$$

Proof. The result follows easily from Remark 1 and repeated application of Theorem 10. ■

We search now for the effect on the Estrada index. Previously, we recall some well known facts:

- If A is a square matrix then

$$e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k$$

- For a graph G

$$EE(G) = \text{tr}(e^{A(G)})$$

- If A and B are similar matrices then $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(B)$.

Theorem 12. *Let H be a regular graph of degree h . Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. Then*

$$EE(G(H)) - EE(G) \geq EE(H) - (s - 1). \quad (18)$$

Proof. Let C , D and F be as in the proof of Theorem 10. From Theorem 9, we get

$$EE(G(H)) = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} e^{\lambda_i(H)} + tr(e^C) \quad (19)$$

and

$$EE(G) = s - 1 + tr(e^D). \quad (20)$$

From the series-expansion of e^C , we have

$$e^C = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} C^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (D + F)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (D^k + \dots + F^k).$$

Since D and F are nonnegative matrices, it follows that

$$tr(e^C) \geq tr\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k\right) + tr\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} F^k\right).$$

Hence

$$tr(e^C) \geq tr(e^D) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} h^k = tr(e^D) + e^h.$$

Using this inequality in (19), we get

$$EE(G(H)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} e^{\lambda_i(H)} + tr(e^D) + e^h = EE(H) + tr(e^D). \quad (21)$$

Finally, from (20) and (21), the inequality (18) follows. ■

From Remark 1 and repeated application of Theorem 12, one can prove

Theorem 13. *For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let H_i be a regular graph of degree h_i . Let $G(H_1, \dots, H_r)$ as in Definition 2. Then*

$$EE(G(H_1, \dots, H_r)) - EE(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (EE(H_i) - s_i) + r.$$

5 Application to the signless Laplacian Estrada index

Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. In this section, $M(G) = L^+(G)$. Then $\delta = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$.

Applying Theorem 5, we obtain

Theorem 14. Let H be a regular of degree h . Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. Then

$$S^T L^+(G(H)) S = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \mu_1^+(H) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 + \mu_{s-1}^+(H) \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2h & \sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sqrt{s} & d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$S^T L^+(G) S = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sqrt{s} & d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

for some common column vector \mathbf{a} of size $n - s - 1$ and some common square matrix B of order $n - s - 1$ both independent of H . The diagonal entries of B are the degrees of the vertices i , $s + 2 \leq i \leq n$. The components of \mathbf{a} and the off-diagonal entries of B are 1 if the corresponding vertices of G are adjacent and 0 otherwise.

Theorem 15. Let H be a regular graph of degree h . Let $G(H)$ as in Definition 1. Then

$$SLEE(G(H)) - SLEE(G) \geq eSLEE(H) - e^{2h}(e - 1) - (s - 1)e.$$

Proof. From Theorem 14, we get

$$SLEE(G(H)) = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} e^{1+\mu_i^+(H)} + tr(e^C) \quad (22)$$

and

$$SLEE(G) = (s - 1)e + tr(e^D) \quad (23)$$

where

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2h & \sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sqrt{s} & d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{s} & 0 \\ \sqrt{s} & d(v) & \mathbf{a}^T \\ 0 & \mathbf{a} & B \end{bmatrix}$$

We have $C = D + F$ where $F = \begin{bmatrix} 2h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. From the series-expansion of e^C and using the fact that D and F are nonnegative matrices, we get

$$\text{tr}(e^C) \geq \text{tr}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} D^k\right) + \text{tr}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} F^k\right).$$

Hence

$$\text{tr}(e^C) \geq \text{tr}(e^D) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (2h)^k = \text{tr}(e^D) + e^{2h}.$$

Using this inequality in (22), we get

$$SLEE(G(H)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} e^{1+\mu_i^+(H)} + \text{tr}(e^D) + e^{2h}.$$

Therefore

$$SLEE(G(H)) \geq e \sum_{i=1}^s e^{\mu_i^+(H)} - e^{1+2h} + \text{tr}(e^D) + e^{2h}.$$

Then

$$SLEE(G(H)) \geq eSLEE(H) - e^{2h}(e-1) + \text{tr}(e^D). \quad (24)$$

Finally, from (24) and (23), Theorem (15) follows. ■

From Remark 1 and repeated application of Theorem 15, one can prove

Theorem 16. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let H_i be a regular graph of degree h_i . Let $G(H_1, \dots, H_r)$ as in Definition 2. Then

$$SLEE(G(H_1, \dots, H_r)) - SLEE(G) \geq e \sum_{i=1}^r SLEE(H_i) - (e-1) \sum_{i=1}^r e^{2h_i} - e \sum_{i=1}^r (s_i - 1).$$

Acknowledgments. The author thanks the support of Project Fondecyt Regular 1130135, Chile, and the hospitality of the Center For Mathematical Modeling, Universidad de Chile, Chile, in which this research was finished. The author also thanks the referees for providing some helpful revising suggestions.

References

- [1] S. K. Ayyaswamy, S. Balachandran, Y. B. Venkatakrishnan, I. Gutman, Signless Laplacian Estrada index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **66** (2011) 785–794.
- [2] H. Bamdad, F. Ashraf, I. Gutman, Lower bounds for Estrada index and Laplacian Estrada index, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23** (2010) 739–742.

- [3] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. K. Simić, Signless Laplacian of finite graphs, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **423** (2007) 155–171.
- [4] K. C. Das, I. Gutman, A. S. Çevik, On the Laplacian–energy–like invariant, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **442** (2014) 58–68.
- [5] H. Deng, J. Zhang, A note on the Laplacian Estrada index of trees, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **63** (2010) 777–782.
- [6] E. Estrada, Characterization of 3D molecular structure, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **319** (2000) 713–718.
- [7] E. Estrada, Characterization of the folding degree of proteins, *Bioinformatics* **18** (2002) 697–704.
- [8] E. Estrada, Characterization of the amino acid contribution to the folding degree of proteins, *Proteins* **54** (2004) 727–737.
- [9] E. Estrada, N. Hatano, Statistical–mechanical approach to subgraph centrality in complex networks, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **439** (2007) 247–251.
- [10] E. Estrada, J. A. Rodríguez-Velásquez, Subgraph centrality in complex networks, *Phys. Rev.* **E71** (2005) 056103.
- [11] E. Estrada, J. A. Rodríguez-Velásquez, Spectral measures of bipartivity in complex networks, *Phys. Rev.* **E72** (2005) 046105.
- [12] E. Estrada, J. A. Rodríguez-Velásquez, M. Randić, Atomic branching in molecules, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **106** (2006) 823–832.
- [13] G. H. Fath-Tabar, A. R. Ashrafi, I. Gutman, Note on Estrada and *L*-Estrada indices of graphs, *Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts (Cl. Math. Natur.)* **34** (2009) 1–16.
- [14] M. Fiedler, Algebraic connectivity of graphs, *Czech. Math. J.* **23** (1973) 298–305.
- [15] J. M. Guo, The effect on the Laplacian spectral radius of a graph by adding or grafting edges, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **413** (2006) 59–71.
- [16] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph: Old and new results, in: A. Betten, A. Kohnert, R. Laue, A. Wassermann (Eds.), *Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications*, Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 196–211.
- [17] I. Gutman, O. E. Polansky, *Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry*, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [18] I. Gutman, Hyperenergetic and hypoenergetic graphs, in: D. Cvetković, I. Gutman (Eds.), *Selected Topics on Applications of Graph Spectra*, Math. Inst., Belgrade, 2011, pp. 113–135.

- [19] I. Gutman, X. Li, Y. Shi, J. Zhang, Hypoenergetic trees, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **60** (2008) 415–426.
- [20] I. Gutman, X. Li, J. Zhang, Graph energy, in: M. Dehmer, F. Emmert–Streib (Eds.), *Analysis of Complex Networks – From Biology to Linguistics*, Wiley–VCH, Weinheim, 2009, pp. 145–174.
- [21] J. Li, W. C. Shiu, A. Chang, On the Laplacian Estrada index of a graph, *Appl. Anal. Discr. Math.* **3** (2009) 147–156.
- [22] X. Li, Y. Shi, I. Gutman, *Graph Energy*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [23] J. Liu, B. Liu, A Laplacian–energy like invariant of a graph, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **59** (2008) 397–419.
- [24] V. Nikiforov, The energy of graphs and matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **326** (2007) 1472–1475.
- [25] J. Y. Shao, J. M. Guo, H. Y. Shan, The ordering of trees and connected graphs by algebraic connectivity, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **428** (2008) 1421–1438.
- [26] G. Pastén, O. Rojo, Laplacian spectrum, Laplacian–energy–like invariant, and Kirchhoff index of graphs constructed by adding edges on pendent vertices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **75** (2015) 27–40.
- [27] W. Wang, Y. Luo, On Laplacian–energy–like invariant of a graph, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012) 713–721.
- [28] Y. Yang, X. Jiang, Unicyclic graphs with extremal Kirchhoff index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **60** (2008) 107–120.
- [29] W. Zhang, H. Deng, The second maximal and minimal Kirchhoff indices of unicyclic graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **61** (2009) 683–695.
- [30] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, More on Laplacian Estrada index, *Appl. Anal. Discr. Math.* **3** (2009) 371–378.
- [31] B. Zhou, On sum of powers of Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian Estrada index of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **62** (2009) 611–619.
- [32] B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, A note on Kirchhoff index, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **445** (2008) 120–123.
- [33] B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, On resistance–distance and Kirchhoff index, *J. Math. Chem.* **46** (2009) 283–289.
- [34] B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, The Kirchhoff index and the matching number, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **109** (2009) 2978–2981.