MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry

## Extremal Graphs under Wiener–type Invariants

A. Hamzeh<sup>a</sup>, S. Hossein–Zadeh<sup>a</sup>, A. R. Ashrafi<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Kashan, Kashan, 8731751167, Iran <sup>b</sup>School of Mathematica, Institute for Proceeding in Fundamental Sciences (IPM) P. (

<sup>b</sup>School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box: 193955746, Tehran, Iran

(Received September 12, 2010)

**Abstract.** Let d(G, k) be the number of pairs of vertices of a graph G that are at distance k,  $\lambda$  a real number, and  $W_{\lambda}(G) = \sum_{k \ge 1} d(G, k)k^{\lambda}$ .  $W_{\lambda}(G)$  is called the Wiener-type invariant of G associated to real number  $\lambda$ . In this paper, the Wiener-type invariant of the Cartesian product of graphs is computed. As an application the Tratch–Stankevich–Zefirov of  $C_4$  nanotubes and nanotori are computed. We also find some new bound for this graph invariant.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper graph means simple connected graph. The distance between two vertices u and v of a graph G is denoted by  $d_G(u, v)$  (d(u, v) for short). It is defined as the number of edges in a minimum path connecting them. Let d(G, k) be the number of pairs of vertices of G that are at distance k,  $\lambda$  a real number, and  $W_{\lambda}(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} d(G, k) k^{\lambda}$ , where  $d = \operatorname{diam}(G)$  denotes the diameter of the graph G.  $W_{\lambda}(G)$  is called the Wienertype invariant of G associated to real number  $\lambda$ , see [2, 14] for details. Note that d(G, 0) and d(G, 1) represent the number of vertices and edges, respectively. The case of  $\lambda = 1$  is called the classical Wiener index [17]. The quantities  $WW = \frac{1}{2}[W_1 + W_2]$  and  $TSZ = \frac{1}{6}W_3 + \frac{1}{2}W_2 + \frac{1}{3}W_1$  are the so-called hyper-Wiener index and Tratch–Stankevich–Zefirov index [3].

The Cartesian product  $G \times H$  of graphs G and H is a graph such that  $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$ , and any two vertices (a, b) and (u, v) are adjacent in  $G \times H$  if and only

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email address: ashrafi@kashanu.ac.ir

if either a = u and b is adjacent with v, or b = v and a is adjacent with u, see [7] for details.

Throughout this paper,  $C_n$ ,  $P_n$  and  $K_n$  denote the cycle, path and complete graphs on *n* vertices. The complement of a graph *G* is a graph *H* on the same vertices such that two vertices of *H* are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in *G*. The graph *H* is usually denoted by  $\overline{G}$ . Our other notations are standard and taken mainly from [1, 5, 16].

## 2 Main Results

In this section, an exact formula for the Wiener-type invariants of the Cartesian product of graphs is presented. We begin with the following lemma which crucial throughout the paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let G and H be graphs. Then we have:

- (a)  $|V(G \times H)| = |V(G)| \times |V(H)|,$
- (b)  $|E(G \times H)| = |E(G)| \times |V(H)| + |V(G)| \times |E(H)|,$
- (c)  $G \times H$  is connected if and only if G and H are connected.
- (d) If  $(a, c), (b, d) \in V(G \times H)$  then  $d_{G \times H}((a, c), (b, d)) = d_G(a, b) + d_H(c, d)$ ,
- (e) The Cartesian product of graphs is associative and commutative.

*Proof.* The parts (a-e) are consequences of definitions and some well-known results of the book of Imrich and Klavžar, [7].

The Wiener index of the Cartesian product graphs was studied in [4]. In [13], Klavžar, Rajapakse and Gutman computed the Szeged index of the Cartesian product of graphs. The present authors, [6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 18], computed exact formulas for the hyper-Wiener, vertex PI, edge PI, the first Zagreb, the second Zagreb, the edge Wiener and the edge Szeged indices of some graph operations.

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose G and H are connected graphs, |V(G)| = m, |V(H)| = n and  $\lambda$  is a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{split} W_{\lambda}(G \times H) &= m^2 W_{\lambda}(H) + 2\binom{\lambda}{1} W(G) W_{\lambda-1}(H) + 2\binom{\lambda}{2} W_2(G) W_{\lambda-2}(H) \\ &+ \dots + 2\binom{\lambda}{\lambda-1} W_{\lambda-1}(G) W(H) + n^2 W_{\lambda}(G) \;. \end{split}$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$  and  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  are vertices of G and H, respectively. Then by Lemma 2.1 and definition of  $W_{\lambda}$ ,

$$\begin{split} W_{\lambda}(G \times H) &= \sum_{\{u,v\}} d^{\lambda}_{G \times H}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(u_i,v_k)} \sum_{(u_j,v_l)} d^{\lambda}_{G \times H}((u_i,v_k),(u_j,v_l)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} (d_G(u_i,u_j) + d_H(v_k,v_l))^{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{\lambda} \binom{\lambda}{r} d^{r}_G(u_i,u_j) d^{\lambda-r}_H(v_k,v_l) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} (d^{\lambda}_H(v_k,v_l) + \binom{\lambda}{1} d_G(u_i,u_j) d^{\lambda-1}_H(v_k,v_l) \\ &+ \cdots + \binom{\lambda}{\lambda-1} d^{\lambda-1}_G(u_i,u_j) d_H(v_k,v_l) + d^{\lambda}_G(u_i,u_j)) \\ &= m^2 W_{\lambda}(H) + 2\binom{\lambda}{1} W(G) W_{\lambda-1}(H) + 2\binom{\lambda}{2} W_2(G) W_{\lambda-2}(H) \\ &+ \cdots + 2\binom{\lambda}{\lambda-1} W_{\lambda-1}(G) W(H) + n^2 W_{\lambda}(G) \,, \end{split}$$

proving the lemma.

**Corollary 2.3.** With notation of Lemma 2.2,  $TSZ(G \times H) = |V(H)|^2 TSZ(G) + |V(G)|^2 TSZ(H) + W(G)W_2(H) + W(H)W_2(G) + 2W(G)W(H).$ 

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2, we have:

$$\begin{split} TSZ(G \times H) &= \frac{1}{6} W_3(G \times H) + \frac{1}{2} W_2(G \times H) + \frac{1}{3} W(G \times H) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} |V(H)|^2 W_3(G) + W(G) W_2(H) + W_2(G) W(H) \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} |V(G)|^2 W_3(H) + \frac{1}{2} |V(H)|^2 W_2(G) + 2W(G) W(H) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} |V(G)|^2 W_2(H) + \frac{1}{3} W(G) |V(H)|^2 + \frac{1}{3} W(H) |V(G)|^2 \\ &= |V(H)|^2 TSZ(G) + |V(G)|^2 TSZ(H) + W(G) W_2(H) \\ &+ W(H) W_2(G) + 2W(G) W(H) \,, \end{split}$$

as desired.

Consider a net  $G[n,m] = P_n \times P_m$ . By Corollary 2.3, one can compute the Tratch– Stankevich–Zefirov index of G[n,m] as follows:

$$\begin{split} TSZ(P_n\times P_m) &= \ \frac{1}{120}m^2n^5 + \frac{1}{24}m^2n^4 + \frac{1}{36}m^2n^3 - \frac{1}{12}m^2n^2 - \frac{13}{360}m^2n \\ &+ \ \frac{1}{120}m^5n^2 + \frac{1}{24}m^4n^2 + \frac{1}{36}m^3n^2 - \frac{13}{360}mn^2 + \frac{1}{72}m^4n^3 \\ &- \ \frac{1}{72}m^4n + \frac{1}{72}m^2n^4 - \frac{1}{72}mn^4 + \frac{1}{18}m^3n^3 \\ &- \ \frac{1}{18}mn^3 - \frac{1}{18}m^3n + \frac{1}{18}mn \;. \end{split}$$

In the next corollary, we compute the Tratch–Stankevich–Zefirov index of nanotubes and nanotori covered by  $C_4$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** The Tratch–Stankevich–Zefirov index of  $C_4$  nanotubes and nanotori are computed as follows:

i) If m is even then,

$$\begin{split} TSZ(P_n \times C_m) &= \frac{1}{120}m^2n^5 + \frac{1}{24}m^2n^4 + \frac{1}{18}m^2n^3 - \frac{23}{360}m^2n + \frac{1}{384}m^5n^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{24}m^3n^2 + \frac{1}{48}m^4n^2 + \frac{1}{144}m^4n^3 - \frac{1}{144}m^4n + \frac{1}{96}m^3n^4 \\ &+ \frac{1}{24}m^3n^3 - \frac{1}{24}m^3n \; . \end{split}$$

ii) If m is odd then,

$$TSZ(P_n \times C_m) = \frac{1}{120}m^2n^5 + \frac{1}{24}m^2n^4 + \frac{5}{144}m^2n^3 - \frac{13}{192}m^2n^2 - \frac{31}{720}m^2n$$
  
+  $\frac{1}{384}m^5n^2 - \frac{11}{384}mn^2 + \frac{1}{48}m^4n^2 - \frac{7}{2976}m^3n^2 + \frac{1}{144}m^4n^3$   
-  $\frac{1}{144}m^4n + \frac{1}{96}m^3n^4 - \frac{1}{96}mn^4 + \frac{1}{24}m^3n^3 - \frac{1}{24}mn^3$   
-  $\frac{1}{24}m^3n + \frac{1}{24}mn$ .

iii) If m and n are even then,

$$TSZ(C_n \times C_m) = \frac{1}{384}m^2n^5 + \frac{1}{16}m^2n^3 + \frac{1}{48}m^2n^4 + \frac{1}{12}m^2n^2 + \frac{1}{384}n^2m^5 + \frac{1}{16}n^2m^3 + \frac{1}{48}n^2m^4 + \frac{1}{192}n^3m^4 + \frac{1}{192}n^4m^3 + \frac{1}{32}m^3n^3$$

iv) If m and n are odd then,

$$\begin{split} TSZ(C_n \times C_m) &= \frac{1}{384}m^2n^5 - \frac{5}{96}m^2n^2 + \frac{1}{48}m^2n^4 + \frac{7}{192}m^2n^3 + \frac{13}{384}nm^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{384}n^2m^5 + \frac{1}{48}n^2m^4 + \frac{7}{192}n^2m^3 - \frac{13}{384}n^2m + \frac{1}{192}m^4n^3 \\ &- \frac{1}{192}nm^4 + \frac{1}{192}m^3n^4 - \frac{1}{192}mn^4 + \frac{1}{32}m^3n^3 - \frac{1}{32}m^3n \\ &- \frac{1}{32}mn^3 + \frac{1}{32}mn \;. \end{split}$$

v) If m is odd and n is even then,

$$\begin{split} TSZ(C_n\times C_m) &= \ \frac{1}{384}m^2n^5 + \frac{3}{64}m^2n^3 + \frac{1}{48}m^2n^4 + \frac{1}{64}m^2n^2 + \frac{1}{384}n^2m^5 \\ &+ \ \frac{1}{48}n^2m^4 + \frac{5}{96}n^2m^3 - \frac{19}{384}n^2m + \frac{1}{192}n^3m^4 + \frac{1}{192}m^3n^4 \\ &- \ \frac{1}{192}mn^4 + \frac{1}{32}m^3n^3 - \frac{1}{32}mn^3 \;. \end{split}$$

From now on  $\lambda$  denotes a positive real number. In what follows, the extremal graphs with respect to the Wiener-type invariant are determined.

**Lemma 2.5.** Suppose G is an incomplete connected graph with n vertices,  $n \ge 3$ . Then  $W_{\lambda}(G) \ge (1-2^{\lambda})|E(G)| + 2^{\lambda} {n \choose 2}$  with equality if and only if diam(G) = 2.

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda$  is positive,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\lambda}(G) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d} d(G,k) k^{\lambda} = d(G,1) + \sum_{k=2}^{d} d(G,k) k^{\lambda} \\ &\geq d(G,1) + 2^{\lambda} \sum_{k=2}^{d} d(G,k) = |E(G)| + 2^{\lambda} \left( \binom{n}{2} - |E(G)| \right) \\ &= (1-2^{\lambda}) |E(G)| + 2^{\lambda} \binom{n}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

proving the lemma. Clearly the equality holds if and only if diam(G) = 2.

**Corollary 2.6.** Suppose G is satisfied the conditions of Lemma 2.5. If diam(G) = 2then  $W_{\lambda}(G) \ge n - 1 + 2^{\lambda}$  with quality if and only if G is isomorphic to  $P_3$ . *Proof.* By Lemma 2.5 and this fact that in the *n*-vertex graphs of diameter 2,  $n-1 \le |E(G)| \le {n \choose 2} - 1$ , we have:

$$W_{\lambda}(G) \ge |E(G)| - 2^{\lambda}|E(G)| + 2^{\lambda} \binom{n}{2} \ge n - 1 - 2^{\lambda} \binom{n}{2} + 2^{\lambda} + 2^{\lambda} \binom{n}{2} = n - 1 + 2^{\lambda}.$$

On the other hand,  $n - 1 = \binom{n}{2} - 1$  if and only if n = 3 and since diam(G) = 2,  $G \cong P_3$ .

In 1956, Nordhaus and Gaddum [15] proved that for the chromatic number  $\chi(G)$  of a graph G is satisfied the inequality  $2\sqrt{n} \leq \chi(G) + \chi(\bar{G}) \leq n+1$ . In recent years, too many authors named such an inequality for a given topological index, a Nordhaus-Gaddum type inequality [19]. In what follows a Nordhaus-Gaddum type inequality for the Wiener-type invariant of graphs is proved.

**Corollary 2.7.** Suppose G and  $\overline{G}$  are connected incomplete n-vertex graphs with  $n \ge 3$ . Then  $W_{\lambda}(G) + W_{\lambda}(\overline{G}) \ge {n \choose 2}(1+2^{\lambda})$  with equality if and only if  $diam(G) = diam(\overline{G}) = 2$ . *Proof.* By Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\lambda}(G) + W_{\lambda}(\bar{G}) &\geq (1 - 2^{\lambda})|E(G)| + 2^{\lambda} \binom{n}{2} + (1 - 2^{\lambda})|E(\bar{G})| + 2^{\lambda} \binom{n}{2} \\ &= (1 - 2^{\lambda})(|E(G)| + |E(\bar{G})|) + 2^{\lambda+1} \binom{n}{2} \\ &= (1 - 2^{\lambda})\binom{n}{2} + 2^{\lambda+1}\binom{n}{2} = \binom{n}{2}(1 + 2^{\lambda}), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

**Lemma 2.8.** Suppose G is a *n*-vertex connected graph with  $n \ge 5$  and  $diam(G) = diam(\bar{G}) = 3$ . Then  $W_{\lambda}(G) + W_{\lambda}(\bar{G}) < \binom{n}{2}(1+3^{\lambda})$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $t_k = d(G, k)$  and  $\bar{t}_k = d(\bar{G}, k)$ . It is clear that  $t_2 + t_3 = \bar{t}_1$ ,  $\bar{t}_2 + \bar{t}_3 = t_1$ and  $t_1 + \bar{t}_1 = \binom{n}{2}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\lambda}(G) + W_{\lambda}(\bar{G}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{3} (t_k + \bar{t}_k) k^{\lambda} = (t_1 + \bar{t}_1) + 2^{\lambda} (t_2 + \bar{t}_2) + 3^{\lambda} (t_3 + \bar{t}_3) \\ &< \binom{n}{2} + 3^{\lambda} (t_2 + \bar{t}_2 + t_3 + \bar{t}_3) = \binom{n}{2} (1 + 3^{\lambda}) \,, \end{aligned}$$

proving the lemma.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the referee for a number of helpful comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] M. V. Diudea, I. Gutman, L. Jäntschi, Molecular Topology, Nova, Huntington, 2001.
- [2] I. Gutman, A property of the Wiener number and its modifications, *Indian J. Chem.* 36A (1997) 128–132.
- [3] I. Gutman, A. A. Dobrynin, S. Klavžar, L. Pavlović, Wiener-type invariants of trees and their relation, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* 40 (2004) 23–30.
- [4] A. Graovac, T. Pisanski, On the Wiener index of a graph, J. Math. Chem. 8 (1991) 53–62.
- [5] F. Harary, Graph Theory, AddisonWesley, Reading, 1969.
- [6] S. Hossein–Zadeh, A. Hamzeh, A. R. Ashrafi, Wiener–type invariants of some graph operations, *FILOMAT* 23 (2009) 103–113.
- [7] W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, Product Graphs Structure and Recognition, Wiley, New York, 2000.
- [8] M. H. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi-Azari, A. R. Ashrafi, The first and second Zagreb indices of some graph operations, *Discr. Appl. Math.* 157 (2009) 804–811.
- [9] M. H. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi-Azari, A. R. Ashrafi, S. G. Wagner, Some new results on distance-based graph invariants, *Eur. J. Combin.* **30** (2009) 1149–1163.
- [10] M. H. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi-Azari, A. R. Ashrafi, The hyper-Wiener index of graph operations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 56 (2008) 1402–1407.
- [11] M. H. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi–Azari, A. R. Ashrafi, Vertex and edge PI indices of Cartesian product graphs, *Discr. Appl. Math.* **156** (2008) 1780–1789.
- [12] M. H. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi-Azari, A. R. Ashrafi, I. Gutman, The edge Szeged index of product graphs, *Croat. Chem. Acta* 81 (2008) 277–281.
- [13] S. Klavžar, A. Rajapakse, I. Gutman, The Szeged and the Wiener index of graphs, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 9 (1996) 45–49.
- [14] S. Klavžar, I. Gutman, A theorem on Wiener-type invariants for isometric subgraphs of hypercubes, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **19** (2006) 1129–1133.

- [16] N. Trinajstić, Chemical Graph Theory, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992.
- [17] H. Wiener, Structural determination of the paraffin boiling points, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 69 (1947) 17–20.
- [18] H. Yousefi-Azari, B. Manoochehrian, A. R. Ashrafi, The PI index of product graphs, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 21 (2008) 624–627.
- [19] L. Zhang, B. Wu, The Nordhaus–Gaddum–type inequalities for some chemical indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 54 (2005) 189–194.