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#### Abstract

In this paper the first and the second Zagreb indices of generalized hierarchical product of graphs, which is generalization of standard hierarchical and Cartesian product of graphs, is computed. As a consequence we compute the Zagreb indices of some chemical graphs.


## 1 Introduction

In the early work of the Zagreb mathematical chemistry group on the topological basis of $\pi$-electron energy, in 1972, two terms appeared in the approximate formula for the $\pi$-energy of conjugated molecules [1]-[2], which were later used separately as topological indices in QSPR and QSAR studies [3]-[4].

Let $G=(V(G), E(G)$ be a simple connected graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. Then the first and second Zagreb indices are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} \delta(v)^{2} \\
& M_{2}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \delta(v) \delta(u),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta(v)$ is the degree of vertex $v$ (see [1]). We encourage the reader to consult [5][10] for historical background, computational techniques and mathematical properties of Zagreb indices.

[^0]A new operation on graphs, namely hierarchical product, were introduced by Spain mathematicians, L. Barriere and coauthors in 2009 (see [11]). Also a generalization of both Cartesian and the hierarchical product of graphs, namely generalization hierarchical product of graphs, were reported by this team in 2009 (see [12]).

Now we recall these graph operations. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ and $H=(V(H), E(H))$ be two graphs and $U$ be a non-empty subset of $V(H)$. Let $\Gamma=G \sqcap H(U)$ be the hierarchical product of $G$ and $H$ corresponding to $U$. Then $V(\Gamma)=V(G) \times V(H)$ and $(a, x)(b, y) \in E(\Gamma)$ if and only if $a=b, x y \in E(H)$ or $a b \in E(G), x=y \in U$. It is clear that if $U=V(H)$, then $G \sqcap H(U)=G \square H$, the Caretesian product of $G$ and $H$. Also if $U=\{z\}$ be a singleton, then $G \sqcap H(U)=G \sqcap H$, the (standard) hierarchical product of $G$ and $H$ with root vertex $z$. The hierarchical product can be naturally extended for more than two graphs (see [11]).

Note that the structure of the generalized hierarchical product $\Gamma$ heavily depends on the subset $U$ of $V(H)$. Note also that $\Gamma$ is simply a subgraph of the classical Cartesian product of $G$ and $H$. Although the Cartesian product is both commutative and associative, the generalized hierarchical product has only the second property, provided that the subsets are conveniently chosen. Moreover such a product is also distributive on the right with respect to the union of graphs.

Through this paper our notation is standard and taken mainly from [13]. $P_{n}, C_{n}$ and $K_{n}$ are a path, a cycle and a complete graph on $n$ vertices, respectively. By simple calculations one can see that $M_{1}\left(P_{n}\right)=4 n-6, M_{2}\left(P_{n}\right)=4 n-8$ for $n \geq 3$. Moreover $M_{1}\left(P_{1}\right)=0, M_{1}\left(P_{2}\right)=2, M_{2}\left(P_{1}\right)=0$ and $M_{2}\left(P_{2}\right)=1$. Also $M_{1}\left(C_{n}\right)=M_{2}\left(C_{n}\right)=4 n$, $M_{1}\left(K_{n}\right)=n(n-1)^{2}$ and $M_{2}\left(K_{n}\right)=\frac{n(n-1)^{3}}{2}$.

## 2 Main Results

In this section, some exact formulae for the first and second Zagreb indices of the generalized hierarchical product of graphs are presented. We begin with the following crucial lemma related to the degree of a vertex in generalized hierarchical product of graphs.

Lemma 2.1. (see [12]) The degree of a vertex $x=\left(x_{N}, x_{N-1}, \ldots, x_{2}, x_{1}\right)$ in the generalized hierarchical product $H_{N}=G_{N} \sqcap \cdots \sqcap G_{2}\left(U_{2}\right) \sqcap G_{1}\left(U_{1}\right)$ is

$$
\delta(x)=\delta\left(x_{1}\right)+\chi_{U_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \delta\left(x_{2}\right)+\cdots+\left[\chi_{U_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \chi_{U_{N-1}}\left(x_{N-1}\right)\right] \delta\left(x_{N}\right)
$$

where $\delta$ and $\chi_{U_{i}}$ denote, respectively, the degree and the characteristic function on the set $U_{i}$ which is 1 on $U_{i}$ and 0 outside $U_{i}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\Gamma=G \sqcap H(U)$. Then

$$
M_{1}(\Gamma)=|V(G)| M_{1}(H)+|U| M_{1}(G)+4|E(G)| \sum_{u \in U} \delta(u) .
$$

Proof. For every $v=(g, h) \in V(\Gamma)$, we have $\delta(v)=\delta(h)+\chi_{U}(h) \delta(g)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}(\Gamma) & =\sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \delta(v)^{2}=\sum_{g \in V(G), h \in V(H)}\left(\delta(h)+\chi_{U}(h) \delta(g)\right)^{2} \\
& =|V(G)| \sum_{h \in V(H)} \delta(h)^{2}+|U| \sum_{g \in V(G)} \delta(g)^{2}+2 \sum_{g \in V(G), u \in U} \delta(g) \delta(u) \\
& =|V(G)| M_{1}(H)+|U| M_{1}(G)+2 \sum_{g \in V(G)} \delta(g) \sum_{u \in U} \delta(u) \\
& =|V(G)| M_{1}(H)+|U| M_{1}(G)+4|E(G)| \sum_{u \in U} \delta(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
We know that if $U=V(H)$, then $G \sqcap H(U)=G \square H$ and if $U$ is a singleton, then $G \sqcap H(U)=G \sqcap H$. So we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.2. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ and $H=(V(H), E(H))$ be two graphs. Then (a)If $G \square H$ is the Cartesian product of $G$ and $H$, then

$$
M_{1}(G \square H)=|V(G)| M_{1}(H)+|V(H)| M_{1}(G)+8|E(G)||E(H)|
$$

(b) If $G \sqcap H$ is the standard hierarchical product of $G$ and $H$ with root vertex $z$, then

$$
M_{1}(G \sqcap H)=|V(G)| M_{1}(H)+M_{1}(G)+4|E(G)| \delta(z)
$$

If for $j>k$, we put $\sum_{i=j}^{k} f(i)=0$ and $\prod_{i=j}^{k} f(i)=1$, then we can simplify the first Zagreb index of generalized hierarchical product of $N$ graphs as follows:

Corollary 2.3. Let $G_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right), U_{i} \subseteq V_{i}$ and $H_{N}=G_{N} \sqcap G_{N-1}\left(U_{N-1}\right) \sqcap \cdots \sqcap G_{1}\left(U_{1}\right)$, $N \geq 2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}\left(H_{N}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[M_{1}\left(G_{i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left|U_{j}\right| \prod_{k=i+1}^{N}\left|V_{k}\right|\right] \\
& +4 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\left[\sum_{u \in U_{i}} \delta(u)\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{N}\left[\left|E_{j}\right| \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{j-1}\left|U_{k}\right| \prod_{r=j+1}^{N}\left|V_{r}\right|\right]\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By associativity of generalized hierarchical product and lemma 1 and inductive argument, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}\left(H_{N+1}\right) & =M_{1}\left(G_{N+1} \sqcap H_{N}\left(U_{N} \times \cdots \times U_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\left|V_{N+1}\right| M_{1}\left(H_{N}\right)+M_{1}\left(G_{N+1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left|U_{i}\right|+4\left|E_{N+1}\right| \sum_{u=\left(u_{N}, \ldots, u_{1}\right) \in U_{N} \times \cdots \times U_{1}} \delta(u) \\
& =\left|V_{N+1}\right|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[M_{1}\left(G_{i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left|U_{j}\right| \prod_{k=i+1}^{N}\left|V_{k}\right|\right]\right. \\
& \left.+4 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\left[\sum_{u \in U_{i}} \delta(u)\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{N}\left[\left|E_{j}\right| \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{j-1}\left|U_{k}\right| \prod_{r=j+1}^{N}\left|V_{r}\right|\right]\right)\right]\right) \\
& +M_{1}\left(G_{N+1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left|U_{i}\right|+4\left|E_{N+1}\right|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N}\left|U_{j}\right| \sum_{u \in U_{i}} \delta(u)\right]\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N+1}\left[M_{1}\left(G_{i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left|U_{j}\right| \prod_{k=i+1}^{N}\left|V_{k}\right|\right] \\
& +4 \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\sum_{u \in U_{i}} \delta(u)\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{N+1}\left[\left|E_{j}\right| \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{j-1}\left|U_{k}\right| \prod_{r=j+1}^{N+1}\left|V_{r}\right|\right]\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
Corollary 2.4. Let $G_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)$ be a graph for $1 \leq i \leq n$ with root vertex $z_{i}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{1}\left(G_{n} \square \cdots \square G_{1}\right)=|V|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{M_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)}{\left|V_{i}\right|}+8 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{\left|E_{i}\right|\left|E_{j}\right|}{\left|V_{i}\right|\left|V_{j}\right|}\right) \\
M_{1}\left(G_{n} \sqcap \cdots \sqcap G_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[M_{1}\left(G_{i}\right) \prod_{j=i+1}^{n}\left|V_{j}\right|\right]+4 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left[\delta\left(z_{i}\right) \sum_{j=i+1}^{n}\left|E_{j}\right| \prod_{k=j+1}^{n}\left|V_{k}\right|\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular if $G_{1}=G_{2}=\cdots=G_{n}=G$ where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph with root vertex $z$, and $G^{n}=G \square \cdots \square G$ ( $n$-times) and $G^{[n]}=G \sqcap \cdots \sqcap G$ ( $n$-times), then

$$
M_{1}\left(G^{n}\right)=n|V|^{n-2}\left(|V| M_{1}(G)+4|E|^{2}(n-1)\right)
$$

and

$$
M_{1}\left(G^{[n]}\right)=\frac{\left(|V|^{n}-1\right)(|V|-1) M_{1}(G)+4|E| \delta(z)\left(|V|^{n}-n|V|+n-1\right)}{(|V|-1)^{2}} .
$$

Now we give an important lemma as follows.

Lemma 2.5. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a $r$-regular graph. Then $M_{1}(G)=|V(G)| r^{2}$ and $M_{2}(G)=|E(G)| r^{2}=\frac{r^{3}|V(G)|}{2}$.

Proof. Since in any $r$-regular graph the degree of any vertex is $r$, and $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \delta(v)=$ $2|E(G)|$, the result is clear.

Let $G$ be a molecular graph of a fullerene with $n$ vertices. Since $G$ is a 3-regular graph, $M_{1}(G)=9 n$ and $M_{2}(G)=\frac{27 n}{2}$. For example $M_{1}\left(C_{60}\right)=540$ and $M_{2}\left(C_{60}\right)=810$. Corollary 2.6. Let $G$ and $H$ be $r$ and $s$-regular graphs, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{1}(G \square H) & =|V(G)||V(H)|(s+r)^{2}  \tag{1}\\
M_{1}(G \sqcap H) & =|V(G)|\left(s^{2}|V(H)|+2 r s+r^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and more generally, if $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ be $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$-regular graphs, respectively, then

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{1}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2} \square \cdots \square G_{n}\right) & =\left|V_{1}\right|\left|V_{2}\right| \cdots\left|V_{n}\right|\left(r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{n}\right)^{2},  \tag{3}\\
M_{1}\left(G_{n} \sqcap \cdots \sqcap G_{2} \sqcap G_{1}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=i}^{n} r_{i}^{2}\left|V_{j}\right|+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \prod_{k=j}^{n} r_{i} r_{j}\left|V_{k}\right| \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|V_{i}\right|$ is the number of all vertices of $G_{i}$. In particular if $G$ be a $r$-regular graph, then

$$
M_{1}\left(G^{n}\right)=|V(G)|^{n} n^{2} r^{2}
$$

and

$$
M_{1}\left(G^{[n]}\right)=\frac{r^{2}|V(G)|\left(|V(G)|^{n+1}+|V(G)|^{n}-|V(G)|(1+2 n)+2 n-1\right)}{(|V(G)|-1)^{2}}
$$

Using the above corollary we can give the following examples.
Example 2.7. Let $G=C_{n_{1}} \otimes C_{n_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes C_{n_{k}}, k \geq 2$, be a tori graph. Then $M_{1}(G)=$ $4 n_{1} n_{2} \cdots n_{k} k^{2}$. If $k=2$, then the resulting graph is a $C_{4}$-tori and in this case $M_{1}\left(C_{n_{1}} \otimes\right.$ $\left.C_{n_{2}}\right)=16 n_{1} n_{2}$.

Example 2.8. Let $G=K_{n_{1}} \otimes K_{n_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes K_{n_{r}}$. Since $K_{n_{i}}$ is a $n_{i}-1$-regular graph, so $M_{1}(G)=n_{1} n_{2} \cdots n_{r}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{r}-r\right)^{2}$.

As a corollary we can compute the first zagreb index of a hypercube of dimension $n, Q_{n}$. We know that $Q_{n}=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} K_{2}$, where $K_{2}$ is the complete graph on 2 vertices. $K_{2}$ is a 1-regular graph. So $M_{1}\left(Q_{n}\right)=2^{n} n^{2}$. It is well-known fact that a graph $G$ is a

Hamming graph if and only if it can be written in the form $G=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{r} K_{n_{i}}$ where $K_{n_{i}}$ is the complete graph on $n_{i}$ vertices and so the Hamming graph $G$ is usually denoted as $H_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}}$. So $M_{1}\left(H_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}}\right)=n_{1} n_{2} \cdots n_{r}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{r}-r\right)^{2}$.
Example 2.9. Consider the binary hypertree $T_{m}$ which is the standard hierarchical product of $m$ copies of the complete graph $K_{2}$. So $T_{m}=K_{2}^{[m]}$ and $M_{1}\left(T_{m}\right)=3 \cdot 2^{m+1}-$ $4 m-6$.

Now we give an exact formula for the second Zagreb index of generalized hierarchical product of graphs.

If for every $x \in V(G)$, we define $N[x]$ as the set of all adjacent vertices of $x$, then we have

Theorem 2. Let $\Gamma=G \sqcap H(U)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}(\Gamma) & =|V(G)| M_{2}(H)+|U| M_{2}(G)+2|E(G)| \sum_{u \in U} \sum_{x \in N[u]} \delta(x) \\
& +M_{1}(G)|\{x y \in E(H) \mid x, y \in U\}|+|E(G)| \sum_{u \in U} \delta(u)^{2}+M_{1}(G) \sum_{u \in U} \delta(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $E(\Gamma)=\{(a, x)(a, y) \mid a \in V(G), x y \in E(H)\} \cup\{(a, x)(b, x) \mid a b \in$ $E(G), x \in U\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}(\Gamma) & =\sum_{a \in V(G), x y \in E(H)} \delta(a, x) \delta(a, y)+\sum_{a b \in E(G), x \in U} \delta(a, x) \delta(b, x) \\
& =\sum_{a \in V(G), x y \in E(H)}\left(\delta(x)+\chi_{U}(x) \delta(a)\right)\left(\delta(y)+\chi_{U}(y) \delta(a)\right) \\
& +\sum_{a b \in E(G), x \in U}(\delta(x)+\delta(a))(\delta(x)+\delta(b)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a simple calculation one can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a \in V(G), x y \in E(H)}\left(\delta(x)+\chi_{U}(x) \delta(a)\right)\left(\delta(y)+\chi_{U}(y) \delta(a)\right) \\
& =|V(G)| M_{2}(H)+2|E(G)|\left(\sum_{x y \in E(H), y \in U} \delta(x)+\sum_{x y \in E(H), x \in U} \delta(y)\right) \\
& +M_{1}(G)|\{x y \in E(H) \mid x, y \in U\}| \\
& =|V(G)| M_{2}(H)+2|E(G)| \sum_{u \in U} \sum_{x \in N[u]} \delta(x)+M_{1}(G)|\{x y \in E(H) \mid x, y \in U\}|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{a b \in E(G), x \in U}(\delta(x)+\delta(a))(\delta(x)+\delta(b))=|E(G)| \sum_{x \in U} \delta(x)^{2}+M_{1}(G) \sum_{x \in U} \delta(x)+|U| M_{2}(G) .
$$

Note that in the last equality we used this fact that $\sum_{a b \in E(G)}[\delta(a)+\delta(b)]=M_{1}(G)$. Now the result is clear.

If we put $U=V(H)$ or $U=\{z\}$, then we have the following corollary:
Corollary 2.10. Let $G \square H$ and $G \sqcap H$ be the Cartesian and standard hierarchical product of $G$ and $H$ with root vertex $z$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}(G \square H) & =|V(G)| M_{2}(H)+|V(H)| M_{2}(G)+3|E(H)| M_{1}(G)+3|E(G)| M_{1}(H) \\
M_{2}(G \sqcap H) & =|V(G)| M_{2}(H)+M_{2}(G)+2|E(G)| \sum_{x \in N[z]} \delta(x) \\
& +|E(G)| \delta(z)^{2}+M_{1}(G) \delta(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.11. If $G$ and $H$ be $r$ and $s$-regular graphs, respectively, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}(G \square H) & =\frac{1}{2}|V(G)||V(H)|(s+r)^{3} \\
M_{2}(G \sqcap H) & =\frac{|V(G)|}{2}\left(s^{3}|V(H)|+r^{3}+2 r s|N[z]|+r s^{2}+2 r^{2} s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Inductively one can see that if $G_{i}$ be a $r_{i}$-regular graph for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$
M_{2}\left(G_{1} \square \cdots \square G_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left|V_{1}\right| \cdots\left|V_{n}\right|\left(r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}\right)^{3} .
$$

As a corollary, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{2}\left(C_{n_{1}} \square \cdots \square C_{n_{k}}\right)=4 n_{1} \cdots n_{k} k^{3} \\
M_{2}\left(K_{n_{1}} \square \cdots \square K_{n_{r}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} n_{1} \cdots n_{r}\left(n_{1}+\cdots+n_{r}-r\right)^{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we consider some chemical structures and compute the first and second Zagreb indices of these structures.

Let $\Gamma=P_{2} \sqcap H(U)$, then by Theorem $1, M_{1}(\Gamma)=2 M_{1}(H)+2|U|+4 \sum_{u \in U} \delta(u)$ and by Theorem 2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}(\Gamma) & =2 M_{2}(H)+|U|+2 \sum_{u \in U} \sum_{x \in N[u]} \delta(x)+2|\{x y \in E(H) \mid x, y \in U\}| \\
& +2 \sum_{u \in U} \delta(u)^{2}+2 \sum_{u \in U} \delta(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we consider some chemical structures of the form $P_{2} \sqcap H(U)$ and compute the first and second Zagreb indices of them.

Example 2.12. Let $\Gamma=D D_{p, r}=P_{2} \sqcap H$ (see Figure 1). Then $M_{1}(\Gamma)=2 M_{1}(H)+2+4 p$. But $M_{1}(H)=\frac{p\left(p^{r+1}+3 p^{r}-3 p-1\right)}{p-1}$. So $M_{1}(\Gamma)=\frac{2\left(p^{r+2}+3 p^{r+1}-p^{2}-2 p-1\right)}{p-1}$. Also $M_{2}\left(P_{2} \sqcap H\right)=$ $2 M_{2}(H)+3 p^{2}+4 p+1$. But $M_{2}(H)=\frac{2 p(1+p)\left(p^{r}-p\right)}{p-1}$ and so $M_{2}(\Gamma)=\frac{(1+p)\left(4 p^{r+1}-p^{2}-2 p-1\right)}{p-1}$.


Figure 1: Regular dicentric (DD2.4) dendrimer.

Example 2.13. Consider the molecular graph of linear phenelyne $F_{n}$ including $n$ benzene rings (see Figure 2). Then $F_{n}=P_{2} \sqcap P_{3 n}(U)$ where $U=\{1,4,7, . ., 3 n-2\} \cup\{3,6,9, \ldots, 3 n\}$. It is easy to see that $M_{1}\left(F_{n}\right)=44 n-20 . M_{2}\left(F_{n}\right)=60 n-40$.


Figure 2: A linear phenylene

Example 2.14. Let $n$ be an even positive integer number and $R_{n}$ be a cyclic phenelyne including $n$ benzene ring (see Figure 3). Then $R_{n}=P_{2} \sqcap F_{\frac{n}{2}}$. So $M_{1}\left(R_{n}\right)=44 n$ and $M_{2}\left(R_{n}\right)=60 n-4$.


Figure 3: A cyclic phenylene

Example 2.15. Let $\Gamma=P_{2} \sqcap C_{60}(U)$ be the molecular graph of dimer fullerene (see Figure 4). Then $M_{1}(\Gamma)=1108$ and $M_{2}(\Gamma)=1674$.


Figure 4: Dimer fullerene $C_{60}$
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