

Comparing Variable Zagreb Indices of Graphs *

Bolian Liu[†], Meng Zhang, Yufei Huang

*School of Mathematical Science, South China Normal University,
Guangzhou, 510631, P. R. China*

(Received April 24, 2009)

Abstract

The variable first and second Zagreb indices are defined to be ${}^{\lambda}M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V} (d(u))^{2\lambda}$ and ${}^{\lambda}M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E} (d(u)d(v))^{\lambda}$, where λ is any real number. In this paper, we prove that when $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ (resp. $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$), ${}^{\lambda}M_1(G)/n \leq {}^{\lambda}M_2(G)/m$ (resp. ${}^{\lambda}M_1(G)/n \geq {}^{\lambda}M_2(G)/m$) holds for graphs G satisfying one of the following conditions: $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 2$; $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 3$ and $\delta(G) \neq 2$; G is a chemical graph. When $\lambda \in (1, +\infty)$, the relationship of numerical value between ${}^{\lambda}M_1(G)/n$ and ${}^{\lambda}M_2(G)/m$ is indefinite for distinct graphs G even if $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 2$.

1 Introduction

A molecular graph is a representation of the structural formula of a chemical compound in terms of graph theory, whose vertices correspond to the atoms of the compound and edges correspond to chemical bonds. The first Zagreb index $M_1(G)$ and the second Zagreb index $M_2(G)$ are usually used in the study of molecular graphs, and they are defined in [2] as follows:

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V} (d(u))^2 \text{ and } M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E} d(u)d(v),$$

*Supported by NSF of China (NO.10771080) and SRFDP of China (NO.20070574006)

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail: liubl@scnu.edu.cn (Bolian Liu)

where V is the set of vertices, E is the set of edges and $d(u)$ is degree of the vertex u of G . The research of Zagreb indices and their generalization are often found in chemistry and mathematical chemistry.

A natural issue is to compare the values of the Zagreb indices on the same graph. In [1], the AutoGraphiX system proposed the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1 ([1]) *For all simple connected graphs G ,*

$$M_1(G)/n \leq M_2(G)/m$$

and the bound is tight for complete graphs.

However, this conjecture does not hold for all general graphs ([3]), while it is proved to be true for chemical graphs ([3]), trees ([10]) and unicyclic graphs([7]), and connected bicyclic graphs except one class ([9]). Besides, its generalization to the variable Zagreb indices has already been discussed (see e.g. [5, 6, 13]). The variable first and the variable second Zagreb indices are defined as:

$${}^\lambda M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V} (d(u))^{2\lambda} \text{ and } {}^\lambda M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E} (d(u)d(v))^\lambda,$$

where λ is any real number. Clearly, ${}^1 M_1(G) = M_1(G)$ and ${}^1 M_2(G) = M_2(G)$.

Similarly as Conjecture 1.1, many mathematicians showed that

$${}^\lambda M_1(G)/n \leq {}^\lambda M_2(G)/m \quad (1)$$

is true for the following cases: all chemical graphs and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ([11]), all trees and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ([12]), all unicyclic graphs and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ([4]).

On the other hand, the inequality

$${}^\lambda M_1(G)/n \geq {}^\lambda M_2(G)/m \quad (2)$$

is true for the following cases: all unbalanced bipartite graphs and $\lambda \in R \setminus [0, 1]$ ([11]), all unicyclic graphs and $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0]$ ([14]).

Let G be an undirected, simple graph. Let $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ denote the maximum and minimum degrees of G , respectively. A graph G is called a chemical graph if $\Delta(G) \leq 4$. A graph G is called k -regular if $d(v) = k$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

It is known to all that there are many molecular graphs with small difference between the maximum and minimum degrees. In [8], it has been proved that $M_1(G)/n \leq M_2(G)/m$ holds for graphs G with small difference between the maximum and minimum degrees, which implies the results in [3].

In this paper, we investigate the relationship of ${}^{\lambda}M_1(G)/n$ and ${}^{\lambda}M_2(G)/m$ in the graphs G with small difference between the maximum and minimum degrees for $\lambda \in R$. When $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ (resp. $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$), we show that (1) (resp. (2)) holds for graphs satisfying one of the following conditions: $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 2$; $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 3$ and $\delta(G) \neq 2$; all chemical graphs. Moreover, the extremal graphs (with the equality (1) or (2) holds) are characterized completely. When $\lambda \in (1, +\infty)$, the relationship of numerical value between ${}^{\lambda}M_1(G)/n$ and ${}^{\lambda}M_2(G)/m$ is indefinite for distinct graphs even if $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 2$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and lemmas which are useful in the presentations and proofs of our main results.

Let $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \in (Z^+)^2$ and $\lambda \in R$. Suppose

$$f_{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}}^{\lambda} = i^{\lambda+1} j^{\lambda+1} l + i^{\lambda+1} j^{\lambda+1} k + j k^{\lambda+1} l^{\lambda+1} + i k^{\lambda+1} l^{\lambda+1} - i^{2\lambda} j k l - i j^{2\lambda} k l - i j k^{2\lambda} l - i j k l^{2\lambda}.$$

In the following lemmas, let a, b, c, d be distinct positive integers.

Lemma 2.1 $f_{\{a, a\}, \{a, a\}}^{\lambda} = 0$.

Proof. Obviously, we have $f_{\{a, a\}, \{a, a\}}^{\lambda} = 4a^{2\lambda+3} - 4a^{2\lambda+3} = 0$. □

Lemma 2.2 $f_{\{a, a\}, \{a, b\}}^{\lambda} \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$

Proof. Note that $f_{\{a, a\}, \{a, b\}}^{\lambda} = a^{2\lambda+2}b + a^{2\lambda+3} + 2a^{\lambda+2}b^{\lambda+1} - 3a^{2\lambda+2}b - a^3b^{2\lambda}$
 $= a^2(b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda})[(b - a)a^{\lambda} + ab(a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1})].$

Case 1. $0 < \lambda \leq 1$.

If $b > a > 0$, then $b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} > 0$, $(b - a)a^{\lambda} + ab(a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1}) > 0$.

If $a > b > 0$, then $b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} < 0$, $(b - a)a^{\lambda} + ab(a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1}) < 0$.

Therefore, we always have $f_{\{a, a\}, \{a, b\}}^{\lambda} > 0$.

Case 2. $\lambda < 0$.

If $b > a > 0$, then $b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} < 0$, $(b - a)a^{\lambda} + ab(a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1}) > 0$.

If $a > b > 0$, then $b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} > 0$, $(b - a)a^{\lambda} + ab(a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1}) < 0$.

It can be seen that $f_{\{a, a\}, \{a, b\}}^{\lambda} < 0$. □

Lemma 2.3 $f_{[a, a], [b, b]}^\lambda \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$

Proof. Note that $f_{[a, a], [b, b]}^\lambda = 2a^{2\lambda+2}b + 2ab^{2\lambda+2} - 2a^{2\lambda+1}b^2 - 2a^2b^{2\lambda+1}$
 $= 2ab(b-a)(b^{2\lambda} - a^{2\lambda}).$

Case 1. $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Without loss of generality, suppose $b > a > 0$. Then

$$b - a > 0, \quad b^{2\lambda} - a^{2\lambda} > 0.$$

Thus we have $f_{[a, a], [b, b]}^\lambda > 0$.

Case 2. $\lambda < 0$. Without loss of generality, suppose $b > a > 0$. Then

$$b - a > 0, \quad b^{2\lambda} - a^{2\lambda} < 0.$$

It follows that $f_{[a, a], [b, b]}^\lambda < 0$. □

Lemma 2.4 $f_{[a, b], [a, b]}^\lambda \begin{cases} = 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$

Proof. Note that $f_{[a, b], [a, b]}^\lambda = 2a^{\lambda+1}b^{\lambda+2} + 2a^{\lambda+2}b^{\lambda+1} - 2a^{2\lambda+1}b^2 - 2a^2b^{2\lambda+1}$
 $= 2a^2b^2(b^\lambda - a^\lambda)(a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1}).$

Without loss of generality, suppose $b > a > 0$.

Case 1. $\lambda = 1$. Then $b^\lambda - a^\lambda > 0$, $a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} = 0$. Hence $f_{[a, b], [a, b]}^\lambda = 0$.

Case 2. $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then $b^\lambda - a^\lambda > 0$, $a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} > 0$. So $f_{[a, b], [a, b]}^\lambda > 0$.

Case 3. $\lambda < 0$. Then $b^\lambda - a^\lambda < 0$, $a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} > 0$. Thus $f_{[a, b], [a, b]}^\lambda < 0$. □

Lemma 2.5 $f_{[a, b], [a, c]}^\lambda \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$

Proof. Notice that $f_{[a, b], [a, c]}^\lambda$
 $= a^{\lambda+1}b^{\lambda+1}c + a^{\lambda+2}b^{\lambda+1} + a^{\lambda+1}bc^{\lambda+1} + a^{\lambda+2}c^{\lambda+1} - 2a^{2\lambda+1}bc - a^2b^{2\lambda}c - a^2bc^{2\lambda}$
 $= a^2bc[(a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1})(b^\lambda - a^\lambda) + (a^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1})(c^\lambda - a^\lambda)] + a^{\lambda+2}(c-b)(c^\lambda - b^\lambda).$

Case 1. $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Without loss of generality, suppose $c > b > 0$.

If $c > b > a > 0$, then

$$a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} \geq 0, b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} > 0, a^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} \geq 0, c^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} > 0, c^{\lambda} - b^{\lambda} > 0.$$

If $a > c > b > 0$, then

$$a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} \leq 0, b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} < 0, a^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} \leq 0, c^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} < 0, c^{\lambda} - b^{\lambda} > 0.$$

If $c > a > b > 0$, then

$$a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} \leq 0, b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} < 0, a^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} \geq 0, c^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} > 0, c^{\lambda} - b^{\lambda} > 0.$$

All in all, we always have $f_{[a, b], [a, c]}^{\lambda} > 0$.

Case 2. $\lambda < 0$. Without loss of generality, suppose $c > b > 0$.

If $c > b > a > 0$, then

$$a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} > 0, b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} < 0, a^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} > 0, c^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} < 0, c^{\lambda} - b^{\lambda} < 0.$$

If $a > c > b > 0$, then

$$a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} < 0, b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} > 0, a^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} < 0, c^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} > 0, c^{\lambda} - b^{\lambda} < 0.$$

If $c > a > b > 0$, then

$$a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} < 0, b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} > 0, a^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} > 0, c^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} < 0, c^{\lambda} - b^{\lambda} < 0.$$

Therefore, it can be conclude that $f_{[a, b], [a, c]}^{\lambda} < 0$. □

Lemma 2.6 Let $c > b > 0$. If $c > a > b$, we suppose $bc \leq a^2$. Then

$$f_{[a, a], [b, c]}^{\lambda} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. $f_{[a, a], [b, c]}^{\lambda} = a^{2\lambda+2}c + a^{2\lambda+2}b + 2ab^{\lambda+1}c^{\lambda+1} - 2a^{2\lambda+1}bc - a^2b^{2\lambda}c - a^2bc^{2\lambda}$
 $= a^2bc(c^{\lambda} - b^{\lambda})(b^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1}) + a(2bc - ab - ac)(b^{\lambda}c^{\lambda} - a^{2\lambda}).$

Case 1. $0 < \lambda \leq 1$.

If $c > b > a > 0$, then

$$c^{\lambda} - b^{\lambda} > 0, b^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} \geq 0, 2bc - ab - ac > 0, b^{\lambda}c^{\lambda} - a^{2\lambda} > 0.$$

If $a > c > b > 0$, then

$$c^\lambda - b^\lambda > 0, b^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} \geq 0, 2bc - ab - ac < 0, b^\lambda c^\lambda - a^{2\lambda} < 0.$$

If $c > a > b > 0$, then $c^\lambda - b^\lambda > 0, b^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ = 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1. \end{cases}$

Since $bc \leq a^2$. Hence $b^\lambda c^\lambda - a^{2\lambda} \leq 0$, and

$$2bc - ab - ac \leq bc + a^2 - ab - ac = (c - a)(b - a) < 0.$$

It can be seen that $f_{[a, a], [b, c]}^\lambda \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ \geq 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1. \end{cases}$

Case 2. $\lambda < 0$.

If $c > b > a > 0$, then

$$c^\lambda - b^\lambda < 0, b^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} > 0, 2bc - ab - ac > 0, b^\lambda c^\lambda - a^{2\lambda} < 0.$$

If $a > c > b > 0$, then

$$c^\lambda - b^\lambda < 0, b^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} > 0, 2bc - ab - ac < 0, b^\lambda c^\lambda - a^{2\lambda} > 0.$$

If $c > a > b > 0$, then $c^\lambda - b^\lambda < 0, b^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} > 0$.

Note that $bc \leq a^2$. Hence $b^\lambda c^\lambda - a^{2\lambda} \geq 0$, and

$$2bc - ab - ac \leq bc + a^2 - ab - ac = (c - a)(b - a) < 0.$$

Consequently, we conclude that $f_{[a, a], [b, c]}^\lambda < 0$. □

Lemma 2.7 Let $c > b > 0$. If $c > a > b$, we suppose $a, b, c \in \{p, p+1, p+2, p+3\}$ and $p \neq 2$. Then

$$f_{[a, a], [b, c]}^\lambda \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 2.6, we need to discuss the case $c > a > b$.

Case 1. $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Thus $c^\lambda - b^\lambda > 0, b^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ = 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1. \end{cases}$

Subcase 1.1 $a = p+1, b = p, c = p+2$.

Subcase 1.2 $a = p+2, b = p, c = p+3$.

Subcase 1.3 $a = p+2, b = p+1, c = p+3$.

For Subcase 1.1-1.3, since $bc \leq a^2$, by Lemma 2.6, the results are obtained.

Subcase 1.4 $a = p + 1$, $b = p$, $c = p + 3$. Then

$$b^\lambda c^\lambda - a^{2\lambda} = p^\lambda (p + 3)^\lambda - (p + 1)^{2\lambda} \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } p \geq 3, \\ = 0, & \text{if } p = 1. \end{cases}$$

$$2bc - ab - ac = p - 3 \geq 0 \text{ if } p \geq 3.$$

All in all, we obtain the results as desired.

Case 2. $\lambda < 0$. Then $c^\lambda - b^\lambda < 0$, $b^{\lambda-1} - c^{\lambda-1} > 0$.

Similarly, for Subcase 1.1-1.3, since $bc \leq a^2$, it follows from Lemma 2.6 that the results are obtained as desired.

If $a = p + 1$, $b = p$, $c = p + 3$, then

$$b^\lambda c^\lambda - a^{2\lambda} = p^\lambda (p + 3)^\lambda - (p + 1)^{2\lambda} \begin{cases} < 0, & \text{if } p \geq 3, \\ = 0, & \text{if } p = 1. \end{cases}$$

$$2bc - ab - ac = p - 3 \geq 0 \text{ if } p \geq 3.$$

Therefore, we always have $f_{[a, a], [b, c]}^\lambda < 0$ if $\lambda < 0$. □

Lemma 2.8 Let $d > c$ and $a = \min\{a, b, c, d\}$. If $b > d > c > a$, we suppose $a + b \geq c + d$, $ab \leq cd$. Thus

$$f_{[a, b], [c, d]}^\lambda \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that $f_{[a, b], [c, d]}^\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} &= a^{\lambda+1} b^{\lambda+1} d + a^{\lambda+1} b^{\lambda+1} c + b c^{\lambda+1} d^{\lambda+1} + a c^{\lambda+1} d^{\lambda+1} - a^{2\lambda} b c d - a b^{2\lambda} c d - a b c^{2\lambda} d - a b c d^{2\lambda} \\ &= a b c d [(a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1})(b^\lambda - a^\lambda) + (c^{\lambda-1} - d^{\lambda-1})(d^\lambda - c^\lambda)] + [a c(d - b) + b d(c - a)](c^\lambda d^\lambda - a^\lambda b^\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. $0 < \lambda \leq 1$.

If $d > c > b > a > 0$ or $d > b > c > a > 0$, then

$$a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} \geq 0, \quad b^\lambda - a^\lambda > 0, \quad c^{\lambda-1} - d^{\lambda-1} \geq 0, \quad d^\lambda - c^\lambda > 0,$$

$$a c(d - b) + b d(c - a) > 0, \quad c^\lambda d^\lambda - a^\lambda b^\lambda > 0.$$

If $b > d > c > a > 0$, since $a + b \geq c + d$ and $ab \leq cd$, then

$$a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ = 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1. \end{cases}, \quad b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} > 0, \quad c^{\lambda-1} - d^{\lambda-1} \geq 0, \quad d^{\lambda} - c^{\lambda} > 0,$$

$$c^{\lambda} d^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} b^{\lambda} \geq 0, \quad ac(d-b) + bd(c-a) = cd(a+b) - ab(c+d) \geq ab(a+b-c-d) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we always have $f_{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}}^{\lambda} \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ \geq 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1. \end{cases}$

Case 2. $\lambda < 0$.

If $d > c > b > a > 0$ or $d > b > c > a > 0$, then

$$a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} > 0, \quad b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} < 0, \quad c^{\lambda-1} - d^{\lambda-1} > 0, \quad d^{\lambda} - c^{\lambda} < 0,$$

$$ac(d-b) + bd(c-a) > 0, \quad c^{\lambda} d^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} b^{\lambda} < 0.$$

If $b > d > c > a > 0$, note that $a + b \geq c + d$ and $ab \leq cd$, then

$$a^{\lambda-1} - b^{\lambda-1} > 0, \quad b^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} < 0, \quad c^{\lambda-1} - d^{\lambda-1} > 0, \quad d^{\lambda} - c^{\lambda} < 0,$$

$$c^{\lambda} d^{\lambda} - a^{\lambda} b^{\lambda} \leq 0, \quad ac(d-b) + bd(c-a) \geq ab(a+b-c-d) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, it can be seen that $f_{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}}^{\lambda} < 0$. □

3 Main results

Let G be a finite, undirected and simple graph. If $xy \in E(G)$, we say that y is a neighbor of x and denote by $N(x)$ the set of neighbors of x . And $d(x) = |N(x)|$ is called the degree of x . We denote the number of vertices of degree i in G by n_i and the number of edges that connect vertices of degree i and j by m_{ij} , where we do not distinguish m_{ij} and m_{ji} . Similarly as in paper [11] we show that:

Lemma 3.1 *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Let N denote the set of the degrees of vertices in G . Let $\mu = \sum_{k \leq l \in N} m_{kl} \cdot \sum_{k \leq l \in N} m_{kl} (\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{l})$. Then*

$${}^{\lambda}M_2(G)/m - {}^{\lambda}M_1(G)/n = \frac{1}{\mu} \cdot \sum_{\substack{i \leq j, k \leq l, \\ \{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \in N^2}} (f_{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}}^{\lambda} \cdot \frac{m_{ij}m_{kl}}{i \cdot j \cdot k \cdot l}).$$

Theorem 3.2 Let G be a graph with n vertices, m edges and $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 2$.

$$\text{If } \lambda \in [0, 1], \text{ then } {}^\lambda M_1(G)/n \leq {}^\lambda M_2(G)/m. \quad (3)$$

$$\text{If } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0), \text{ then } {}^\lambda M_1(G)/n \geq {}^\lambda M_2(G)/m. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, if $\lambda = 0$, the equality of (3) always holds.

If $\lambda = 1$, the equality of (3) holds if and only if all edges ij have the same pair (d_i, d_j) of degrees.

If $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ (resp. $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$), the equality of (3) (resp. (4)) holds if and only if G is a regular graph.

Proof. For simplicity, let $\delta(G) = p$ and $N = \{p, p+1, p+2\}$.

Case 1. If $\lambda = 0$, it is obvious that

$${}^0 M_1(G)/n = \left(\sum_{v \in V} [d(v)]^{2 \cdot 0} \right) / n = 1 = \left(\sum_{uv \in E} [d(u)d(v)]^0 \right) / m = {}^0 M_2(G)/m.$$

Case 2. If $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ (resp. $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$), by Lemma 3.1,

$${}^\lambda M_2(G)/m - {}^\lambda M_1(G)/n = \frac{1}{\mu} \cdot \sum_{\substack{i \leq j, k \leq l \\ \{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \subseteq N^2}} (f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda \cdot \frac{m_{ij} m_{kl}}{i \cdot j \cdot k \cdot l}).$$

Note that all i, j, k and l can not be distinct numbers, we need to show that $f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda \geq 0$ (resp. ≤ 0) for each $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \subseteq N^2$. Let a, b, c be distinct elements of N . Then we have the following subcases.

Subcase 2.1 $\{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}\} = \{\{a, a\}, \{a, a\}\}$. By Lemma 2.1, $f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda = 0$.

Subcase 2.2 $\{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}\} = \{\{a, a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Subcase 2.3 $\{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}\} = \{\{a, a\}, \{b, b\}\}$. By Lemma 2.3,

$$f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Subcase 2.4 $\{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}\} = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. By Lemma 2.4,

$$f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda \begin{cases} = 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Subcase 2.5 $\{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}\} = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$. By Lemma 2.5,

$$f_{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}}^\lambda \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Subcase 2.6 $\{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}\} = \{\{a, a\}, \{b, c\}\}$. Without loss of generality, suppose $i = j$ and $l > k$. If $k < i = j < l$, since $N = \{p, p + 1, p + 2\}$, then $kl = p(p + 2) \leq (p + 1)^2 = i^2$. By Lemma 2.6,

$$f_{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}}^\lambda \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

All in all, the inequality (3) (*resp.* (4)) is proved.

Moreover, if $\lambda = 0$, then the equality of (3) always holds.

If $\lambda = 1$, from the proof of Case 2, the equality of (3) holds if and only if $f_{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}}^\lambda = 0$ for all $m_{ij} \cdot m_{kl} > 0$, which implies that all edges ij have the same pair (d_i, d_j) of degrees (also see [8]).

If $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ (*resp.* $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$), from the foregoing proof, the equality of (3) (*resp.* (4)) holds if and only if $f_{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}}^\lambda = 0$ for all $m_{ij} \cdot m_{kl} > 0$, which means $i = j = k = l$ for each $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \subseteq N^2$, that is, G is a regular graph. \square

From Theorem 3.2, we obtain the main result in [8] immediately.

Corollary 3.3 ([8]) *Let G be a graph with n vertices, m edges and $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 2$. Then $M_1(G)/n \leq M_2(G)/m$, with the equality holds if and only if all edges ij have the same pair (d_i, d_j) of degrees.*

Let G^* denote the graphs with each edge connecting a 3-degree vertex and a 6-degree vertex. The star graph S_n is a tree on n vertices with one vertex having degree $n - 1$ and the other vertices having degree 1.

Theorem 3.4 *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges such that $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 3$ and $\delta(G) \neq 2$.*

$$\text{If } \lambda \in [0, 1], \text{ then } {}^\lambda M_1(G)/n \leq {}^\lambda M_2(G)/m. \quad (5)$$

$$\text{If } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0), \text{ then } {}^\lambda M_1(G)/n \geq {}^\lambda M_2(G)/m. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, if $\lambda = 0$, the equality of (5) always holds.

If $\lambda = 1$, the equality of (5) holds if and only if all edges ij have the same pair (d_i, d_j) of degrees or if the graph is composed of disjoint stars S_3 and cycles of any length or if the graph is composed of disjoint G^* and 4-regular graphs ([8]).

If $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ (resp. $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$), the equality of (5) (resp. (6)) holds if and only if G is a regular graph.

Proof. For simplicity, let $\delta(G) = p$ and $N = \{p, p+1, p+2, p+3\}$. Let a, b, c, d be distinct elements of N . If $\lambda = 0$, it is obvious that ${}^0M_1(G)/n = {}^0M_2(G)/m$.

If $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ (resp. $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$), by Lemma 3.1, we just need to show that $f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda \geq 0$ (resp. ≤ 0) for each $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \subseteq N^2$ ($i \leq j, k \leq l$).

In the proof of Theorem 3.2, we found that $f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda = 0$ holds for the case $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} = \{a, a\}, \{a, a\}$, and $f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0 \end{cases}$ holds for the cases $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} = \{a, a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, a\}, \{b, b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b\}$ and $\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}$. We only need to discuss the following two cases.

Case 1. $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} = \{a, a\}, \{b, c\}$. Without loss of generality, suppose $i = j$ and $l > k$. It follows from Lemma 2.7 that

$$f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Case 2. $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} = \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}$. Without loss of generality, suppose $l > k$ and $i = \min\{i, j, k, l\}$. If $i < k < l < j$, then $i = p, k = p+1, l = p+2, j = p+3$, and it follows that

$$i + j = 2p + 3 = k + l, \text{ and } ij = p^2 + 3p \leq p^2 + 3p + 2 = kl.$$

Then by Lemma 2.8, we obtain that $f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ > 0, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ < 0, & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$

Therefore, the inequality (5) and (6) are proved.

Moreover, if $\lambda = 0$, the equality of (5) always holds.

If $\lambda = 1$, the equality of (5) in this case had been proved in [8].

If $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ (resp. $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$), from the foregoing proof, the equality of (5) (resp. (6)) holds if and only if $f_{[i, j], [k, l]}^\lambda = 0$ for all $m_{ij} \cdot m_{kl} > 0$, which means $i = j = k = l$ for each $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \subseteq N^2$, that is, G is a regular graph. \square

If $\lambda = 1$, Hansen and Vukićević in [3] proved that $M_1(G)/n \leq M_2(G)/m$ for chemical graphs. Now we consider the case $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ (resp. $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$).

Corollary 3.5 *Let G be a chemical graph with n vertices and m edges.*

$$\text{If } \lambda \in (0, 1), \text{ then } {}^\lambda M_1(G)/n \leq {}^\lambda M_2(G)/m. \quad ([II]) \quad (7)$$

$$\text{If } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0), \text{ then } {}^\lambda M_1(G)/n \geq {}^\lambda M_2(G)/m. \quad (8)$$

Moreover, if $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ (resp. $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$), the equality of (7) (resp. (8)) holds if and only if G is a regular graph.

Proof. Note that G is a chemical graph, thus $\Delta \leq 4$. If $\delta = 1$, then $\Delta - \delta \leq 3$, by Theorem 3.4, the results are proved. If $\delta \geq 2$, we have $\Delta - \delta \leq 2$, and it follows from Theorem 3.2 that the results are obtained as desired. \square

Let $e_i, e_j \in E$, where the degrees of their end vertices are $\{d_{i_1}, d_{i_2}\}$ ($d_{i_1} \leq d_{i_2}$) and $\{d_{j_1}, d_{j_2}\}$ ($d_{j_1} \leq d_{j_2}$), respectively. A pair of edges $[e_i, e_j]$ is called a degrees-nested edges pair if $d_{i_1} < d_{j_1} \leq d_{j_2} < d_{i_2}$ or $d_{j_1} < d_{i_1} \leq d_{i_2} < d_{j_2}$.

Corollary 3.6 *Let G be a graph with n vertices, m edges, and G contains no degrees-nested edges pairs.*

$$\text{If } \lambda \in (0, 1), \text{ then } {}^\lambda M_1(G)/n \leq {}^\lambda M_2(G)/m. \quad (9)$$

$$\text{If } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0), \text{ then } {}^\lambda M_1(G)/n \geq {}^\lambda M_2(G)/m. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, if $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ (resp. $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$), the equality of (9) (resp. (10)) holds if and only if G is a regular graph.

Proof. If $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ (resp. $\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)$), by Lemma 3.1, we just need to show that $f_{\{i, j\}, \{k, l\}}^\lambda \geq 0$ (resp. ≤ 0) for each $\{i, j\}, \{k, l\} \subseteq N^2$ ($i \leq j, k \leq l$) (*).

Since G contains no degrees-nested edges pairs, from the proof of Lemma 2.1-2.8, we conclude that (*) always holds, and this completes the proof. \square

Finally, we consider the relationship of ${}^\lambda M_1(G)/n$ and ${}^\lambda M_2(G)/m$ for $\lambda > 1$. A simple graph on n vertices in which each pair of distinct vertices is joined by an edge is called a complete graph, and denoted by K_n . A complete bipartite graph is a simple bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) in which each vertex of X is joined to each vertex of Y ; if $|X| = n_1$ and $|Y| = n_2$, such a graph is denoted by K_{n_1, n_2} . The disjoint union of k copies of G is often written by kG .

Example 1 Let G_1 be a graph of order 9 created from K_3 and $3K_2$ by connecting each vertex of K_3 to a vertex of a K_2 . Thus $\Delta(G_1) - \delta(G_1) = 3 - 1 = 2$, and

$${}^\lambda M_2(G_1)/m - {}^\lambda M_1(G_1)/n = \frac{6^\lambda + 2^\lambda - 4^\lambda - 1}{3} > 0 \text{ for } \lambda > 1.$$

Example 2 Let $G_2 = K_{4,5}$. Obviously, $\Delta(G_2) - \delta(G_2) = 5 - 4 = 1$, and

$${}^\lambda M_2(G_2)/m - {}^\lambda M_1(G_2)/n = \frac{9 \cdot 20^\lambda - 4 \cdot 25^\lambda - 5 \cdot 16^\lambda}{9} < 0 \text{ for } \lambda > 1.$$

Remark 1 It is known that the inequality ${}^\lambda M_2(G)/m - {}^\lambda M_1(G)/n < 0$ is true for all unbalanced bipartite graphs G and $\lambda \in R \setminus [0, 1]$ ([11]).

Combining Example 1 and 2, when $\lambda > 1$, we can find a suitable graph G_1 such that ${}^\lambda M_2(G_1)/m - {}^\lambda M_1(G_1)/n > 0$, and a suitable graph G_2 such that ${}^\lambda M_2(G_2)/m - {}^\lambda M_1(G_2)/n < 0$. Besides, note that $\Delta(G_i) - \delta(G_i) \leq 2$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $|V(G_1)| = |V(G_2)|$, we conclude that when $\lambda \in (1, +\infty)$, the relationship of ${}^\lambda M_1(G)/n$ and ${}^\lambda M_2(G)/m$ is indefinite for distinct graphs G even if $\Delta(G) - \delta(G) \leq 2$.

References

- [1] G. Caporossi, P. Hansen, Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs: 1 The AutoGraphiX system, *Discr. Math.* **212** (2000) 29–44.
- [2] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535–538.
- [3] P. Hansen, D. Vukičević, Comparing the Zagreb indices, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **80** (2007) 165–168.
- [4] B. Horoldagva, K. C. Das, Comparing variable Zagreb indices for unicyclic graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **62** (2009) 725–730.
- [5] Z. Huang, Y. Guo, A new comparison between the modified Zagreb M_2^* index and the Randić index for benzenoid systems, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **62** (2009) 731–739.
- [6] A. Ilić, D. Stevanović, On comparing Zagreb indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **62** (2009) 681–687.

- [7] B. Liu, On a conjecture about comparing Zagreb indices, in: I. Gutman, B. Furtula (Eds.), *Recent Results in the Theory of Randić Index*, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2008, pp. 205–209.
- [8] L. Sun, T. Chen, Comparing the Zagreb indices for graphs with small difference between the maximum and minimum degrees, *Discr. Appl. Math.* **157** (2009) 1650–1654.
- [9] L. Sun, S. Wei, Comparing the Zagreb indices for connected bicyclic graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **62** (2009) 699–714.
- [10] D. Vukičević, A. Graovac, Comparing Zagreb M_1 and M_2 indices for acyclic molecules, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **57** (2007) 587–590.
- [11] D. Vukičević, Comparing variable Zagreb indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **57** (2007) 633–641.
- [12] D. Vukičević, A. Graovac, Comparing variable Zagreb M_1 and M_2 indices for acyclic molecules, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **60** (2008) 37–44.
- [13] D. Vukičević, Variable Zagreb indices of K_{r+1} -free graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **62** (2009) 715–724.
- [14] M. Zhang, B. Liu, On comparing variable Zagreb indices for unicyclic graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **63** (2010) 461–468.