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#### Abstract

For a (molecular) graph, the first Zagreb index $M_{1}$ is equal to the sum of the squares of the degrees of the vertices, and the second Zagreb index $M_{2}$ is equal to the sum of the products of the degrees of pairs of adjacent vertices. This paper presents a unified and simple approach to the largest and smallest Zagreb indices for trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs by introducing some transformations, and characterize these graphs with the largest and smallest Zagreb indices, respectively.


## 1 Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple connected graph with the vertex set $V(G)$ and the edge set $E(G)$. The first Zagreb index $M_{1}$ and the second Zagreb index

[^0]$M_{2}$ of $G$ are defined as
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{1}(G)=\sum_{x \in V(G)}\left(d_{G}(x)\right)^{2} \\
M_{2}(G)=\sum_{x y \in E(G)} d_{G}(x) d_{G}(y)
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

where $d_{G}(x)$ is the degree of vertex $x$ in $G$.
The Zagreb indices $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ were introduced in [1] and elaborated in [2]. The main properties of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ were summarized in [3,4]. These indices reflect the extent of branching of the molecular carbon-atom skeleton, and can thus be viewed as molecular structure-descriptors $[5,6]$.

Recently, finding the extremal values or bounds for the topological indices of graphs, as well as related problems of characterizing the extremal graphs, attracted the attention of many researchers and many results are obtained (see [3-16]). [4] showed that the trees with the smallest and largest $M_{1}$ are the path and the star, respectively. [7] also showed that the trees with the smallest and largest $M_{2}$ are the path and the star, respectively. [15] characterized the graphs with the smallest and largest $M_{2}$ among all unicyclic graphs. [9] gave the the unicyclic graphs with the first three smallest and largest $M_{1}$. [16] gave the bicyclic graph with the largest $M_{1}$.

In this paper, we present a unified and simple approach to the largest and smallest Zagreb indices for trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs by introducing some transformations, and characterize these graphs with the extremal Zagreb indices. The results which characterize the bicyclic graphs with extremal $M_{2}$ are new.

## 2 Two transformations which increase the Zagreb indices

For any $v \in V(G), N_{G}(v)=\{u \mid u v \in E(G)\}$ denotes the neighbors of $v$, and $d_{G}(v)=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$ is the degree of $v$ in $G$.

Let $E^{\prime} \subseteq E(G)$, we denote by $G-E^{\prime}$ the subgraph of $G$ obtained by deleting the edges of $E^{\prime} . W \subseteq V(G), G-W$ denotes the subgraph of $G$ obtained by deleting the vertices of $W$ and the edges incident with them.

We give two transformations which will increase the Zagreb indices as follows:

Transformation A: Let $u v$ be an edge $G, d_{G}(v) \geq 2, N_{G}(u)=\left\{v, w_{1}, w_{2}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, w_{t}\right\}$, and $w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{t}$ are leaves. $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{v w_{1}, v w_{2}, \cdots, v w_{t}\right\}+$ $\left\{u w_{1}, u w_{2}, \cdots, u w_{t}\right\}$, as shown in Figure 1.

Lemma 2.1. Let $G^{\prime}$ be obtained from $G$ by transformation $A$, then

$$
M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>M_{1}(G) \text { and } M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>M_{2}(G)
$$

Proof. Let $G_{0}=G-\left\{u, w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{t}\right\}$. By the definition of the Zagreb indices, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{1}(G) & =d_{G^{\prime}}^{2}(v)-d_{G}^{2}(v)+d_{G^{\prime}}^{2}(u)-d_{G}^{2}(u) \\
& =\left(d_{G}(v)+t\right)^{2}-d_{G}^{2}(v)+1-(t+1)^{2} \\
& =2 t\left(d_{G}(v)-1\right)>0 \\
M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{2}(G)= & \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G^{\prime}}(v) d_{G^{\prime}}(x)+(t+1) d_{G^{\prime}}(v) \\
& -\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G}(v) d_{G}(x)-(t+1) d_{G}(v)-t(t+1) \\
= & \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)}\left(d_{G}(v)+t\right) d_{G}(x)+(t+1)\left(d_{G}(v)+t\right) \\
& -\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G}(v) d_{G}(x)-(t+1) d_{G}(v)-t(t+1) \\
= & \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} t d_{G}(x)>0
\end{aligned}
$$



G
Figure 1. Transformation $A$.


Figure 2. Transformation $B$.

Remark 1. Repeating Transformation A, any tree can changed into a star, any unicyclic or bicyclic graph can be changed into an unicyclic or bicyclic graph such that all the edges not on the cycles are pendant edges.

Transformation B: Let $u$ and $v$ be two vertices in $G . u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{r}$ are the leaves adjacent to $u, v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{t}$ are the leaves adjacent to $v . G^{\prime}=$ $G-\left\{u u_{1}, u u_{2}, \cdots, u u_{r}\right\}+\left\{v u_{1}, v u_{2}, \cdots, v u_{r}\right\}, G^{\prime \prime}=G-\left\{v v_{1}, v v_{2}, \cdots, v v_{t}\right\}+$ $\left\{u v_{1}, u v_{2}, \cdots, u v_{t}\right\}$, as showed in Figure 2.

Lemma 2.2. Let $G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}$ be obtained from $G$ by transformation $B$, then either $M_{i}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>M_{i}(G)$ or $M_{i}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)>M_{i}(G), i=1,2$.

Proof. Let $G_{0}=G-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{r}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{t}\right\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{1}(G) & =d_{G^{\prime}}^{2}(v)-d_{G}^{2}(v)+d_{G^{\prime}}^{2}(u)-d_{G}^{2}(u) \\
& =\left(d_{G}(v)+r\right)^{2}-d_{G}^{2}(v)+\left(d_{G}(u)-r\right)^{2}-d_{G}^{2}(u) \\
& =2 r\left(r+d_{G}(v)-d_{G}(u)\right) \\
M_{1}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)-M_{1}(G) & =d_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{2}(v)-d_{G}^{2}(v)+d_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{2}(u)-d_{G}^{2}(u) \\
& =\left(d_{G}(v)-t\right)^{2}-d_{G}^{2}(v)+\left(d_{G}(u)+t\right)^{2}-d_{G}^{2}(u) \\
& =2 t\left(t+d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>M_{1}(G)$ if $d_{G}(v) \geq d_{G}(u)$; otherwise $M_{1}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)>M_{1}(G)$.
Let $d_{G_{0}}(u)=p$ and $d_{G_{0}}(v)=q$.
(i) If $u, v$ are not adjacent in $G$, then, by the definition of $M_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}(G)= & \sum_{x y \in E\left(G_{0}-\{u, v\}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(x) d_{G_{0}}(y)+(p+r) \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(u)} d_{G_{0}}(x) \\
& +(q+t) \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G_{0}}(x)+r(p+r)+t(q+t) \\
M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)= & \sum_{x y \in E\left(G_{0}-\{u, v\}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(x) d_{G_{0}}(y)+p \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(u)} d_{G_{0}}(x) \\
& +(q+t+r) \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}(v)}} d_{G_{0}}(x)+(r+t)(q+t+r) \\
M_{2}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)= & \sum_{x y \in E\left(G_{0}-\{u, v\}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(x) d_{G_{0}}(y)+(p+r+t) \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}(u)}} d_{G_{0}}(x) \\
& +q \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G_{0}}(x)+(r+t)(p+r+t) \\
\Delta_{1}= & M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{2}(G) \\
= & r\left(\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G_{0}}(x)-\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}(u)}} d_{G_{0}}(x)\right)+r(2 t+q-p) \\
\Delta_{2}= & \left.M_{2}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)-M_{2}(G) \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G_{0}}(x)\right)+t(2 r+p-q) \\
= & t\left(\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(u)} d_{G_{0}}(x)-\sum_{x, t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\Delta_{1}=M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{2}(G) \leq 0$, then

$$
\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(u)} d_{G_{0}}(x)-\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G_{0}}(x) \geq 2 t+q-p
$$

So, $\Delta_{2}=M_{2}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)-M_{2}(G) \geq t(2 t+q-p)+t(2 r+p-q)=2 t(t+r)>0$.
(ii) If $u, v$ are adjacent in $G$, then $u \in N_{G_{0}}(v)$ and $v \in N_{G_{0}}(u)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}(G)= & \sum_{x y \in E\left(G_{0}-\{u, v\}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(x) d_{G_{0}}(y)+(p+r) \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(u)} d_{G_{0}}(x) \\
& +(q+t) \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G_{0}}(x)+r(p+r)+t(q+t)-(p+r)(q+t) \\
M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)= & \sum_{x y \in E\left(G_{0}-\{u, v\}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(x) d_{G_{0}}(y)+p \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(u)} d_{G_{0}}(x) \\
& +(q+t+r) \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G_{0}}(x)+(r+t)(q+t+r)-p(q+t+r) \\
M_{2}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)= & \sum_{x y \in E\left(G_{0}-\{u, v\}\right)} d_{G_{0}}(x) d_{G_{0}}(y)+(p+r+t) \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}(u)}} d_{G_{0}}(x) \\
& +q \sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G_{0}}(x)+(r+t)(p+r+t)-q(p+r+t) \\
\Delta_{1}= & M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{2}(G) \\
= & r\left(\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G_{0}}(x)-\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(u)} d_{G_{0}}(x)\right)+r(3 t+2 q-2 p) \\
\Delta_{2}= & M_{2}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)-M_{2}(G) \\
= & t\left(\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(u)} d_{G_{0}}(x)-\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G_{0}}(x)\right)+t(3 r+2 p-2 q)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\Delta_{1}=M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-M_{2}(G) \leq 0$, then

$$
\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(u)} d_{G_{0}}(x)-\sum_{x \in N_{G_{0}}(v)} d_{G_{0}}(x) \geq 3 t+2 q-2 p
$$

So, $\Delta_{2}=M_{2}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)-M_{2}(G) \geq t(3 t+2 q-2 p)+t(3 r+2 p-2 q)=3 t(t+r)>0$. The proof is completed.

Remark 2. Repeating Transformation B, any unicyclic or bicyclic graph can be changed into an unicyclic or bicyclic graph such that all the pendant edges are attached to the same vertex.

## 3 The graphs with the largest Zagreb indices

In this section, we give the tree, the unicyclic graph and the bicyclic graphs with the largest Zagreb indices.

From Lemma 2.1, we have
Theorem 3.1 $([4,7])$. Let $T$ be any tree of order $n$. If $T$ is different from $S_{n}$, then $M_{1}(T)<M_{1}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $M_{2}(T)<M_{2}\left(S_{n}\right)$.

Let $U_{n}^{k}$ be the unicyclic graph obtained from the cycle $C_{k}$ of length $k$ by attached $n-k$ pendant edges to the same vertex on $C_{k}$. From Lemmas 2.1
and 2.2, we have
Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ be an unicyclic graph of order $n$ and girth $k$. If $G$ is different from $U_{n}^{k}$, then $M_{1}(G)<M_{1}\left(U_{n}^{k}\right)$ and $M_{2}(G)<M_{2}\left(U_{n}^{k}\right)$.

Since $M_{1}\left(U_{n}^{k}\right)=4(k-1)+(n-k+2)^{2}+4(k-1)=k^{2}-(2 n+1) k+n^{2}+5 n$ and $M_{2}\left(U_{n}^{k}\right)=k^{2}-(2 n+2) k+n^{2}+6 n, M_{1}\left(U_{n}^{k}\right) \leq M_{1}\left(U_{n}^{3}\right)$ and $M_{2}\left(U_{n}^{k}\right) \leq$ $M_{2}\left(U_{n}^{3}\right)$ for $3 \leq k \leq n$ with the equality if and only if $k=3$. We have

Theorem 3.3([9,15]). $U_{n}^{3}$ is the unique graph with the largest Zagreb indices $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ among all unicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices.

Now, we consider the ( $n, n+1$ ) - graph (i.e., bicyclic graph with $n$ vertices) and give the $(n, n+1)$ - graph with the largest Zagreb indices.

Let $\mathcal{G}(n, n+1)$ be the set of simple connected graphs with $n$ vertices and $n+1$ edges. For any graph $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, n+1)$, there are two cycles $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$ in $G$. As in [16], we divide all the $(n, n+1)$-graphs with two cycles of lengths $p$ and $q$ into three classes.
(1) $\mathcal{A}(p, q)$ is the set of $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, n+1)$ in which the cycles $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$ have only one common vertex;
(2) $\mathcal{B}(p, q)$ is the set of $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, n+1)$ in which the cycles $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$ have no common vertex;
(3) $\mathcal{C}(p, q, l)$ is the set of $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, n+1)$ in which the cycles $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$ have a common path of length $l$.

Note that the induced subgraph of vertices on the cycles of $G \in \mathcal{A}(p, q)$ (or $\mathcal{B}(p, q), \mathcal{C}(p, q, l)$ ) is showed in Figure 3(a) (or (b),(c)) and $\mathcal{C}(p, q, l)=$ $\mathcal{C}(p, p+q-2 l, p-l)=\mathcal{C}(p+q-2 l, q, q-l)$.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 3.
First, we find the bicyclic graph with the largest Zagreb in $\mathcal{A}(p, q)$.
Let $S_{n}(p, q)$ be a graph in $\mathcal{A}(p, q)$ such that $n+1-(p+q)$ pendent edges are attached to the common vertex of $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$. See Figure 4.


$$
n+\underbrace{1-q)}_{1-(p}
$$

Figure 4. The graph $S_{n}(p, q)$.

Theorem 3.4. (i) ([16]) $S_{n}(p, q)$ is the graph with the largest $M_{1}$ in $\mathcal{A}(p, q)$;
(ii) $S_{n}(p, q)$ is the graph with the largest $M_{2}$ in $\mathcal{A}(p, q)$.

Proof. First, repeating the transformations $A$ and $B$ on graph $G$, we can get a graph $G^{\prime}$ such that all the edges not on the cycles are the pendant edges attached to the same vertex $v$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have $M_{1}(G) \leq M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $M_{2}(G) \leq M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with the equality if and only if all the edges not on the cycles are also the pendant edges attached to the same vertex in $G$. If $G^{\prime} \not \not S_{n}(p, q)$, then $v \neq u$, where $u$ is the common vertex of $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$.

Without loss of the generality, we assume that $v$ is on the cycle $C_{p}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}\left(S_{n}(p, q)\right)-M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \\
= & (n+5-p-q)^{2}+4-(n+3-p-q)^{2}-16 \\
= & 4(n+1-p-q) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with the equality if and only if $n=p+q-1$, and $G^{\prime} \cong S_{n}(p, q)$.
(i) If $u$ and $v$ are not adjacent (i.e., $k>1$ ), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}\left(S_{n}(p, q)\right)-M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \\
= & (n+5-p-q)(n+9-p-q)+4(p-2)+4(q-2) \\
= & -(n+3-p-q)(n+5-p-q)-4(p-4)-4(q-2)-32 \\
= & 6(n+1-p-q) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with the equality if and only if $n=p+q-1$, and $G^{\prime} \cong S_{n}(p, q)$.
(ii) If $u$ and $v$ are adjacent, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}\left(S_{n}(p, q)\right)-M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \\
= & (n+5-p-q)(n+9-p-q)+4(p-2)+4(q-2) \\
= & -(n+3-p-q)(n+7-p-q)-4(p-3)-4(q-2)-24 \\
= & (n+1-p-q) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with the equality if and only if $n=p+q-1$, and $G^{\prime} \cong S_{n}(p, q)$.

Given $p \geq 3$ and $q \geq 3$, from the theorem above, we know $S_{n}(p, q)$ is the unique graph with the largest Zagreb indices in $\mathcal{A}(p, q)$.

Lemma 3.5. (i) If $p>3$, then
$M_{1}\left(S_{n}(p, q)\right)<M_{1}\left(S_{n}(p-1, q)\right)$ and $M_{2}\left(S_{n}(p, q)\right)<M_{2}\left(S_{n}(p-1, q)\right)$;
(ii) If $q>3$, then
$M_{1}\left(S_{n}(p, q)\right)<M_{1}\left(S_{n}(p, q-1)\right)$ and $M_{2}\left(S_{n}(p, q)\right)<M_{2}\left(S_{n}(p, q-1)\right)$.
Proof. From the symmetry of $p$ and $q$, we only need to prove (i).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad M_{1}\left(S_{n}(p-1, q)\right)-M_{1}\left(S_{n}(p, q)\right) \\
& =(n+6-p-q)^{2}+1-(n+5-p-q)^{2}-4 \\
& =2(n+4-p-q)>0 \\
& = \\
& M_{2}\left(S_{n}(p-1, q)\right)-M_{2}\left(S_{n}(p, q)\right) \\
& =2(n+6-p-q)(n+10-p-q)-(n+5-p-q)(n+9-p-q)-4 \\
& =2(n-q)+9>0
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we know

Theorem 3.6. For all $p \geq 3$ and $q \geq 3, S_{n}(3,3)$ is the unique graph with the largest Zagreb indices in $\mathcal{A}(p, q)$.


Figure 5. (a) $T_{n}^{r}(p, q) ;$ (b) $T_{n}^{r}(q, p)$; (c) $T_{n}(p, q)$.
Secondly, we find the bicyclic graph with the Zagreb indices in $\mathcal{B}(p, q)$.

Let $T_{n}^{r}(p, q)$ be the $(n, n+1)$-graph obtaining from connecting $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$ by a path of length $r$ and the other $n+1-p-q-r$ edges are all attached to the common vertex of the path and $C_{p}$, see Figure 5(a). $T_{n}^{r}(q, p)$ is showed in Figure $5(\mathrm{~b})$. And $T_{n}(p, q)$ is the $(n, n+1)$-graph obtaining from connecting $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$ by a path uvw of length 2 and the other $n-p-q-1$ edges are all attached to the vertex $w$ of the path, as showed in Figure 5(c).

Theorem 3.7. If $G \in \mathcal{B}(p, q)$, the length of the shortest path connecting $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$ in $G$ is $r$, then either $(i=1,2)$
(i) $M_{i}(G) \leq M_{i}\left(T_{n}^{r}(p, q)\right)$ with the equality if and only if $G \cong T_{n}^{r}(p, q)$; or
(ii) $M_{i}(G) \leq M_{i}\left(T_{n}^{r}(q, p)\right)$ with the equality if and only if $G \cong T_{n}^{r}(q, p)$; or
(iii) $M_{i}(G) \leq M_{i}\left(T_{n}(p, q)\right)$ with the equality if and only if $G \cong T_{n}(p, q)$.

Proof. Let $W=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{r} v_{r+1}$ be the shortest path connecting $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$ in $G$, and $v_{1}$ the common vertex $W$ and $C_{p}, v_{r+1}$ the common vertex $W$ and $C_{q}$.

Repeating the transformations $A$ and $B$ on graph $G$, we can get a graph $G^{\prime}$ in Figure 5 such that all the edges not on the cycles are the pendant edges attached to the same vertex $v$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 , we have $M_{i}(G) \leq M_{i}\left(G^{\prime}\right)(i=1,2)$ with the equality if and only if all the edges not on the cycles are also the pendant edges attached to the same vertex in $G$.

Case I. $v$ is on the cycle $C_{p}$, as showed in Figure 5(d).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}\left(T_{n}^{r}(p, q)\right)-M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \\
= & (n+4-p-q-r)^{2}+4-(n+3-p-q-r)^{2}-9 \\
= & 2(n+1-p-q-r) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with the equality if and only if $n=p+q+r-1$, and then also $G^{\prime} \cong T_{n}^{r}(p, q)$.
(i) If $v_{1}$ and $v$ are not adjacent, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{r}(p, q)\right)-M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \\
= & (n+1-p-q-r)(n+4-p-q-r)+4(n+4-p-q-r) \\
& +(n+4-p-q-r) d\left(v_{2}\right)+8-(n+1-p-q-r)(n+3-p-q-r) \\
& -4(n+3-p-q-r)-3 d\left(v_{2}\right)-12 \\
= & (n+1-p-q-r)\left(1+d\left(v_{2}\right)\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with the equality if and only if $n=p+q+r-1$, and then also $G^{\prime} \cong T_{n}^{r}(p, q)$.
(ii) If $v_{1}$ and $v$ are adjacent, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{r}(p, q)\right)-M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \\
= & (n+1-p-q-r)(n+4-p-q-r)+4(n+4-p-q-r) \\
& +(n+4-p-q-r) d\left(v_{2}\right)+4-(n+1-p-q-r)(n+3-p-q-r) \\
& -5(n+3-p-q-r)-3 d\left(v_{2}\right)-6 \\
= & (n+1-p-q-r) d\left(v_{2}\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with the equality if and only if $n=p+q+r-1$, and then also $G^{\prime} \cong T_{n}^{r}(p, q)$.
Case II. $v$ is on the cycle $C_{q}$, as showed in Figure 5(e). The proof is the same as in the case I.

Case III. $v$ is on the path $W$, as showed in Figure 5(f). If $G^{\prime} \not \neq T_{n}(p, q)$, then $r \geq 3$. Let $v=v_{t}, 1<t \leq r$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}\left(T_{n}(p, q)\right)-M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \\
= & (n-1-p-q)+(n+1-p-q)^{2}-(n+1-p-q-r) \\
& -(n+3-p-q-r)^{2}-2(r-2) \\
= & (r-2)(2 n+3-2 p-2 q-r) \\
> & 0 \quad \text { (since } n+1-p-q-r \geq 0 \text { and } r>3)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $2<t<r$, then $r>3$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}\left(T_{n}(p, q)\right)-M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \\
= & (n-p-q-1)(n-p-q+1)+6(n-p-q+1) \\
& -(n-p-q-r+1)(n-p-q-r+3) \\
& -4(n-p-q-r+3)-4(r-4)-12 \\
= & (r-1)(2 n-2 p-2 q-r+3)-3 \\
> & 0 \quad(\text { since } n+1-p-q-r \geq 0 \text { and } r>3)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $t=2$ or $t=r$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}\left(T_{n}(p, q)\right)-M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \\
= & (n-p-q-1)(n-p-q+1)+6(n-p-q+1) \\
& -(n-p-q-r+1)(n-p-q-r+3)-5(n-p-q-r+3) \\
& -4(r-3)-6 \\
= & (n-p-q)(2 r-3)-(r-1)(r-3)+r-4 \\
\geq & (r-1)(2 r-3)-(r-1)(r-3)+r-4(\text { since } n+1-p-q-r \geq 0) \\
= & r^{2}-4>0
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.
Lemma 3.8. $M_{1}\left(T_{n}(p, q)\right) \leq M_{1}\left(T_{n}(3,3)\right)$ and $M_{2}\left(T_{n}(p, q)\right) \leq M_{2}\left(T_{n}(3,3)\right)$ with the equality if and only if $p=q=3$.

Proof. $M_{1}\left(T_{n}(p, q)\right)=(n+1-p-q)^{2}+(n-1-p-q)+18+4(p+q-2)$,
$M_{1}\left(T_{n}(3,3)\right)=(n-5)^{2}+(n-7)+18+16$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}\left(T_{n}(3,3)\right)-M_{1}\left(T_{n}(p, q)\right) \\
= & (2 n-p-q-4)(p+q-6)+(p+q-6)-4(p+q-6) \\
= & (p+q-6)(2 n-p-q-7) \\
\geq & (p+q-6)(n-6) \quad(\text { since } n-p-q-1 \geq 0) \\
\geq & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with the equality if and only if $p+q=6$, i.e., $p=q=3$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}\left(T_{n}(p, q)\right) & =(n+1-p-q)(n+5-p-q)+24+4(p+q-4), \\
M_{2}\left(T_{n}(3,3)\right)= & (n-5)(n-1)+24+8, \\
& M_{2}\left(T_{n}(3,3)\right)-M_{2}\left(T_{n}(p, q)\right) \\
= & (n-5)(n-1)-((n-5)-(p+q-6))((n-1) \\
& -(p+q-6))-4(p+q-6) \\
= & (p+q-6)(2 n-p-q-4) \\
\geq & (p+q-6)(n-3) \quad(\text { since } n-p-q-1 \geq 0) \\
\geq & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with the equality if and only if $p+q=6$, i.e., $p=q=3$.
Lemma 3.9. If $r \geq 2$, then $M_{i}\left(T_{n}^{r}(p, q)<M_{i}\left(T_{n}^{r-1}(p, q)\right), i=1,2\right.$.
Proof. By computing immediately, we have
$M_{1}\left(T_{n}^{r}(p, q)\right)=(n+1-p-q-r)+(n+4-p-q-r)^{2}+4(p+q+r-3)+9$,
$M_{1}\left(T_{n}^{r-1}(p, q)\right)=(n+2-p-q-r)+(n+5-p-q-r)^{2}+4(p+q+r-4)+9$.
And $M_{1}\left(T_{n}^{r-1}(p, q)\right)-M_{1}\left(T_{n}^{r}(p, q)\right)=2(n+3-p-q-r)>0$.
If $r>2$, then
$M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{r}(p, q)\right)=(n+4-p-q-r)(n+7-p-q-r)+4(p+q+r-6)+18$, $M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{r-1}(p, q)\right)=(n+5-p-q-r)(n+8-p-q-r)+4(p+q+r-7)+18$.
And $M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{r-1}(p, q)\right)-M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{r}(p, q)\right)=2(n+4-p-q-r)>0$.
If $r=2$, then
$M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{r}(p, q)\right)=(n+2-p-q)(n+5-p-q)+4(p+q-4)+18$,
$M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{r-1}(p, q)\right)=(n+3-p-q)(n+7-p-q)+4(p+q-4)+12$.
And $M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{r-1}(p, q)\right)-M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{r}(p, q)\right)=3(n+2-p-q)>0$.
Lemma 3.10. $M_{i}\left(T_{n}^{1}(p, q)\right) \leq M_{i}\left(T_{n}^{1}(3,3)\right)$, with the equality if and only if $p=q=3, i=1,2$.

Proof. By computing immediately, we have
$M_{1}\left(T_{n}^{1}(p, q)\right)=(n-p-q)+(n+3-p-q)^{2}+4(p+q-2)+9$,
$M_{1}\left(T_{n}^{1}(3,3)\right)=(n-6)+(n-3)^{2}+16+9$.
And $M_{1}\left(T_{n}^{1}(3,3)\right)-M_{1}\left(T_{n}^{1}(p, q)\right)=(p+q-6)(2 n-3-p-q) \geq 0$ with the equality if and only if $p+q=6$, i.e., $p=q=3$.
$M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{1}(p, q)\right)=(n+3-p-q)(n+7-p-q)+4(p+q-4)+12$,
$M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{1}(3,3)\right)=(n-3)(n+1)+8+12$.
And $M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{1}(3,3)\right)-M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{1}(p, q)\right)=(p+q-6)(2 n-p-q) \geq 0$ with the equality if and only if $p+q=6$, i.e., $p=q=3$.

Now, we compare the Zagreb indices of $T_{n}^{1}(3,3)$ and $T_{n}(3,3)$. It can be computed out easily that $M_{i}\left(T_{n}^{1}(3,3)\right)>M_{i}\left(T_{n}(3,3)\right), i=1,2$. So, we have

Theorem 3.11. The $T_{n}^{1}(3,3)$ is the unique graph with the largest Zagreb indices among all graphs in $\mathcal{B}(p, q)$ for all $p \geq 3$ and $q \geq 3$.

Thirdly, we find the bicyclic graph with the largest Zagreb indices in $\mathcal{C}(p, q, l)$.

Let $\theta_{n}^{l}(p, q)$ be the graph obtaining from the graph in Figure 1(c) by attaching $n+1+l-(p+q)$ to one of its vertices with degree 3 (see Figure $6(a))$.

(a) $G_{1}$

(b) $G_{2}$

(d) $G_{4}$

(e) $G_{0}$

Figure 6. The graphs $G_{i}, i=0,1,2,3,4$.

Theorem 3.12. Let $G \in \mathcal{C}(p, q, l)$. Then $M_{i}(G) \leq M_{i}\left(G_{0}\right)(i=1,2)$ with the equality if and only if $G \cong G_{0}$, where $G_{0}$ is the graph in Figure 6(e).

Proof. Repeating the transformations $A$ and $B$ on graph $G$, we can get a graph $G^{\prime}$ such that all the edges not on the cycles are the pendant edges attached to the same vertex $v_{0}$, i.e., $G^{\prime}$ is one of the graphs in Figure 6. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have $M_{i}(G) \leq M_{i}\left(G^{\prime}\right)(i=1,2)$ with the equality if and only if all the edges not on the cycles are also the pendant edges attached to the same vertex in $G$.

Let $W_{1}=u x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{l-1} v$ be the common path of $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$ of the graph $G^{\prime}$ in Figure 6, $W_{2}=u y_{1} y_{2} \cdots y_{r} v$ and $W_{3}=u z_{1} z_{2} \cdots z_{t} v$ the other paths from $u$ to $v$ on $C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$, respectively; $r=p-l-1, t=q-l-1, r \geq 0$, $t \geq 0, l \geq 1$ and $r+t+l \geq 3$.

By computing immediately, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}\left(G_{0}\right)=(n-4)+(n-1)^{2}+17 \\
& M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)=(n-1-r-t-l)+(n+2-r-t-l)^{2}+4(r+t+l-1)+9 \\
& M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)=(n-1-r-t-l)+(n+1-r-t-l)^{2}+4(r+t+l-2)+18 \\
& M_{1}\left(G_{0}\right)-M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)=(r+t+l-3)(2 n-2-r-t-l) \geq 0 \text { since } r+t+l \geq 3
\end{aligned}
$$

and $n-r-t-l-1 \geq 0$, with the equality if and only if $r+t+l=3$, i.e., $G^{\prime} \cong G_{0} ;$
$M_{1}\left(G_{0}\right)-M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)=(r+t+l-3)(2 n-3-r-t-l)+(n-r-t-l-$ 1) $+(n-4) \geq 0$ since $r+t+l \geq 3$ and $n-r-t-l-1 \geq 0$ and $n \geq 4$, with the equality if and only if $r+t+l=3$ and $n=4$, i.e., $G^{\prime} \cong G_{0}$ (where $n=4$ ).

If there is an edge $e=x y$ in $G_{1}$ such that the degrees of $x$ and $y$ are equal two, then we can obtain a graph $G_{1}^{\prime}$ by contracting the edge $e$ and attaching a pendant edge $e^{\prime}=u u^{\prime}$ to $u$, and we have $M_{2}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)>M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)$ since $d_{G_{1}}(x) d_{G_{1}}(y)=4$ and $d_{G_{1}^{\prime}}(u) d_{G_{1}^{\prime}}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \geq 4$ and $d_{G_{1}}(u)<d_{G_{1}^{\prime}}(u)$. So, $M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right) \geq M_{2}\left(G_{0}\right)$ with the equality if and only if $G_{1} \cong G_{0}$.

If there are two edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ in $G_{2}$ such that the degrees of their end-vertices are equal two, then we can obtain a graph $G_{2}^{\prime}$ by contracting the edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ and attaching two pendant edges to $x_{i}$; or if there an edge $e$ in $G_{2}$ such that the degrees of its end-vertices and $e_{2}$ are equal two, then we can obtain a graph $G_{2}^{\prime}$ by contracting the edge $e$ and attaching a pendant edge to $x_{i}$. And we have $M_{2}\left(G_{2}^{\prime}\right) \geq M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)$. So, $M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right) \geq M_{2}\left(G_{3}\right)$ or $M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right) \geq M_{2}\left(G_{4}\right)$.

It is computed out easily that $M_{2}\left(G_{3}\right)<M_{2}\left(G_{0}\right)$ and $M_{2}\left(G_{4}\right)<M_{2}\left(G_{0}\right)$. So, the proof is completed.

Finally, we give the bicyclic graphs with the largest Zagreb indice.
Theorem 3.13. $G_{0}$ is the unique graph with the the largest Zagreb indices $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ among all bicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices.

Proof. From Theorem 3.6, Theorem 3.11 and Theorem 3.12, we only need to compare the Zagreb indices of $S_{n}(3,3), T_{n}^{1}(3,3)$ and $G_{0}$. Computing immediately, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}\left(T_{n}^{1}(3,3)\right)<M_{1}\left(S_{n}(3,3)\right)<M_{1}\left(G_{0}\right) \\
& M_{2}\left(T_{n}^{1}(3,3)\right)<M_{2}\left(S_{n}(3,3)\right)<M_{2}\left(G_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $G_{0}$ has the largest Zagreb indices among all bicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices.

It is surprising that the graphs with the largest Zagreb indices among the trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs of order $n$ are the same as those with the largest Merrifield-Simmons index $[17,18,19]$ and the smallest Hosoya index [20,21].

## 4 Some transformations which decrease the Zagreb indices

In this section, we give two transformations which will decrease the Zagreb indices as follows:


Figure 7. Transformation $C$.
Transformation C. Let $G \neq P_{1}$ be a connected graph and choose $u \in V(G) . G_{1}$ denotes the graph that results from identifying $u$ with the vertex $v_{k}$ of a simple path $v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{n}, 1<k<n ; G_{2}$ is obtained from $G_{1}$ by deleting $v_{k-1} v_{k}$ and adding $v_{k-1} v_{n}$ (see Figure 7).

Lemma 4.1. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the graphs in Figure 7. Then $M_{i}\left(G_{1}\right)>$ $M_{i}\left(G_{2}\right), i=1,2$.

Proof. By the definition of the Zagreb indices, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \begin{aligned}
M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)-M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) & =\left(d_{G}(u)+2\right)^{2}+1-\left(d_{G}(u)+1\right)^{2}-4 \\
& =2 d_{G}(u)>0 .
\end{aligned} \\
& =\begin{array}{ll}
M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)-M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+d_{G_{1}}(u)+2\right)\left(v_{n-1}\right) d_{G_{1}}\left(v_{n}\right)-\left(d_{G}(u)+1\right)\left(\sum_{N_{G}}(x)+d_{G_{1}}\left(v_{k-1}\right)+d_{G_{1}}\left(v_{k+1}\right)\right) \\
& \left.-d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{n-1}\right) d_{G_{2}}(x)+d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{k+1}\right)\right)-d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{n}\right) d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{k-1}\right)
\end{array} \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)} d_{G}(x), & \text { if } k=2 \text { and } n=3 ; \\
\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)}^{\sum_{G \in N_{G}(u)}(x)+d_{G}(u),} d_{G}(x)+d_{G}(u), & \text { if } k=2 \text { and } n>3 \text { and } n=k+1 ; \\
\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)} d_{G}(x)+2 d_{G}(u), & \text { if } k>2 \text { and } n>k+1\end{cases} \\
& >0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3. Repeating Transformation $C$, any tree $T$ attached to a graph $G$ can be changed into a path as showed in Figure 8. And the Zagreb indices decrease.


Figure 8.
Transformation D. Let $u$ and $v$ be two vertices in a graph $G$. $G_{1}$ denotes the graph that results from identifying $u$ with the vertex $u_{0}$ of a path $u_{0} u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{r}$ and identifying $v$ with the vertex $v_{0}$ of a path $v_{0} v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{t}$; $G_{2}$ is obtained from $G_{1}$ by deleting $u u_{1}$ and adding $v_{t} u_{1}$ (see Figure 9).


Figure 9. Transformation $D$.
Lemma 4.2. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the graphs in Figure 9. $d_{G}(u) \geq d_{G}(v)>$ $1, r \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$.
(i) If $t>0$, then $M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)>M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)>M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)$;
(ii) If $t=0$ and $d_{G}(u)>d_{G}(v)$, then $M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)>M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)$;
(iii) If $t=0$ and $\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)-\{v\}} d_{G}(x)>\sum_{y \in N_{G}(v)-\{u\}} d_{G}(y)$, then $M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)>$ $M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Proof. (i) Note that $d_{G}(u)>1$ and $t>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)-M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) & =\left(d_{G_{1}}(u)\right)^{2}+\left(d_{G_{1}}\left(v_{t}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(d_{G_{2}}(u)\right)^{2}-\left(d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{t}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(d_{G}(u)+1\right)^{2}+1-\left(d_{G}(u)\right)^{2}-4 \\
& =2 d_{G}(u)-2>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)-M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right) \\
= & \left(d_{G}(u)+1\right)\left(\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)} d_{G}(x)+d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right)\right)+d_{G_{1}}\left(v_{t-1}\right) d_{G_{1}}\left(v_{t}\right) \\
& -d_{G}(u) \sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)} d_{G}(x)-d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{t-1}\right) d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{t}\right)-d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{t}\right) d_{G_{2}}\left(u_{1}\right) \\
= & \begin{cases}\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)} d_{G}(x)+d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)-2, & \text { if } r=1 \text { and } t=1 ; \\
\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)} d_{G}(x)+d_{G}(u)-3, & \text { if } r=1 \text { and } t>1 ; \\
\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)} d_{G}(x)+2 d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)-3, & \text { if } r>1 \text { and } t=1 ; \\
\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)} d_{G}(x)+2 d_{G}(u)-4, & \text { if } k>1 \text { and } t>1\end{cases} \\
> & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $t=0$ and $d_{G}(u)>d_{G}(v)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)-M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) & =\left(d_{G_{1}}(u)\right)^{2}+\left(d_{G_{1}}\left(v_{t}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(d_{G_{2}}(u)\right)^{2}-\left(d_{G_{2}}\left(v_{t}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(d_{G}(u)+1\right)^{2}+\left(d_{G}(v)\right)^{2}-\left(d_{G}(u)\right)^{2}-\left(d_{G}(v)\right)^{2} \\
& =2 d_{G}(u)-2 d_{G}(v)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) When $u$ and $v$ are not adjacent, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)-M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right) \\
= & \left(d_{G}(u)+1\right)\left(\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)} d_{G}(x)+d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right)\right)+d_{G}(v) \sum_{y \in N_{G}(v)} d_{G}(y) \\
& -d_{G}(u) \sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)} d_{G}(x)-\left(d_{G}(v)+1\right)\left(\sum_{y \in N_{G}(v)} d_{G}(y)+d_{G_{2}}\left(u_{1}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)} d_{G}(x)-\sum_{y \in N_{G}(v)} d_{G}(y)+d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right)\left(d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)\right) \\
> & 0 .
\end{array} \quad \quad \text { since } d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right)=d_{G_{2}}\left(u_{1}\right)\right) .
$$

When $u$ and $v$ are adjacent, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)-M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right) \\
= & \left(d_{G}(u)+1\right)\left(\sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)-\{v\}} d_{G}(x)+d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right)\right)+d_{G}(v) \sum_{y \in N_{G}(v)-\{u\}} d_{G}(y) \\
& +\left(d_{G}(u)+1\right) d_{G}(v)-d_{G}(u) \sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)-\{v\}} d_{G}(x) \\
& -\left(d_{G}(v)+1\right)\left(\sum_{y \in N_{G}(v)-\{u\}} d_{G}(y)+d_{G_{2}}\left(u_{1}\right)\right)-d_{G}(u)\left(d_{G}(v)+1\right) \\
= & \sum_{x \in N_{G}(u)-\{v\}} d_{G}(x)-\sum_{y \in N_{G}(v)-\{u\}} d_{G}(y)+\left(d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right)-1\right)\left(d_{G}(u)-d_{G}(v)\right) \\
> & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4. After repeating transformation $C$, if we repeat transformation $D$, then any tree can be changed into a path, any unicyclic graph can be changed into such an unicyclic graph that a path attached to a cycle, any bicyclic graph can be changed into such a bicyclic graph that a path attached to one of the graphs in Figure 10 (Lemma 4.2(i)). Moreover, the bicyclic graph can changed into such a bicyclic graph that the path is attached to a vertex of degree 2 (Lemma 4.2(ii)(iii)). And the Zagreb indices decrease.


Figure 10.
Lemma 4.3. If there is a path $x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{k}(k>1)$ attached to the vertex $x_{1}$ in $G_{1}$, then $M_{i}\left(G_{1}\right)>M_{i}\left(G_{2}\right), i=1,2$, where $G_{2}$ is obtained from $G_{1}$ by deleting $x_{1} v$ and adding $x_{k} v$, as showed in Figure 11.


Figure 11.
Proof. Note that only the degrees of $x_{1}$ and $x_{k}$ are changed, we have

$$
M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)-M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)=9+1-4-4>0
$$

If $k>2$, then

$$
M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)-M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)=d(u)+d(v)>0
$$

If $k=2$, then

$$
M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)-M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)=d(u)+d(v)-1>0
$$

So, $M_{i}\left(G_{1}\right)>M_{i}\left(G_{2}\right), i=1,2$.

## 5 The smallest Zagreb indices among all the trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs

In this section, we characterize the tree, the unicyclic graph and the bicyclic graph with the smallest Zagreb index.

From Lemma 4.1, we have

Theorem 5.1 $([4,7])$. Let $T$ be any tree of order $n$. If $T$ is different from $P_{n}$, then $M_{1}(T)>M_{1}\left(P_{n}\right)$ and $M_{2}(T)>M_{2}\left(P_{n}\right)$.

Let $F_{n}^{k}$ be the unicyclic graph obtained by attaching a path of length $n-k$ to the cycle $C_{k}$ of length $k$. From Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we have

Theorem 5.2. Let $G$ be an unicyclic graph of order $n$ and girth $k$. If $G$ is different from $F_{n}^{k}$, then $M_{1}(G)>M_{1}\left(F_{n}^{k}\right)$ and $M_{2}(G)>M_{2}\left(F_{n}^{k}\right)$.

Using Lemma 4.3, we have
Theorem 5.3([9,15]). The cycle $C_{n}$ is the unique graph with the smallest Zagreb indices $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ among all unicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices.

Let $F_{1}, F_{2}$ and $F_{3}$ be the bicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices showed in Figure 10. From Remark 4 (or Lemma 4.2) and Lemma 4.3, we know that the bicyclic graph with the smallest Zagreb index is one of the graphs $F_{1}, F_{2}$ and $F_{3}$. And
$M_{1}\left(F_{1}\right)=4 n+12$,
$M_{1}\left(F_{2}\right)=M_{1}\left(F_{3}\right)=4 n+10 ;$
$M_{2}\left(F_{1}\right)=4 n+20$,
$M_{2}\left(F_{2}\right)=M_{2}\left(F_{3}\right)=$
$\begin{cases}4 n+16, & \text { if two vertices with degree } 3 \text { are adjacent; } \\ 4 n+17, & \text { if two vertices with degree } 3 \text { are not adjacent }\end{cases}$
So, we have
Theorem 5.4. The bicyclic graphs of order $n$ with the smallest Zagreb indices are the graphs $F_{2}$ and $F_{3}$ in which the vertices of degree 3 are not adjacent except $n=4,6$.

Finally, we survey some results on the extremal graphs for the Zagreb indices, the Hosoya index and the Merrifield-Simmons index in trees, unicyclic graphs and ( $n, n+1$ )-graphs from [4,7,9,15-26], respectively.

| Zagreb indices | largest | smallest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trees of order $n$ | $S_{n}$ | $P_{n}$ |
| uncyclic graphs of order $n$ | $S_{n}+e$ | $C_{n}$ |
| $(n, n+1)$-graphs | $F_{0}$ | $F_{2}$ or $F_{3}$ |


| Hosoya index | largest | smallest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trees of order $n$ | $P_{n}$ | $S_{n}$ |
| unicyclic graphs of order $n$ | $C_{n}$ | $S_{n}+e$ |
| $(n, n+1)$-graphs | $H_{0}$ or $K_{2,3}$ | $F_{0}$ |


| Merrifield-Simmons index | largest | smallest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trees of order $n$ | $S_{n}$ | $P_{n}$ |
| uncyclic graphs of order $n$ | $S_{n}+e$ or $C_{4}$ | $C_{n}$ |
| $(n, n+1)$-graphs | $F_{0}$ | $H_{0}$ or $K_{2,3}$ |

where $F_{0}$ is obtained from $S_{n}$ by adding two adjacent edges, $H_{0}$ is the graph connecting two cycle $C_{3}$ s by a path of length $n-5$.

Remark 5. The author know that the minimum $M_{1}$ when the numbers of vertices and edges are given was obtained by Prof. I. Gutman [27] from the the referee, also the smallest $M_{1}$ for trees, unicyclic graphs, bicyclic graphs and more are known. The author would like to thank the referee for valuable suggestions.
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