THE GENERALIZED MC CLELLAND FORMULA

J.Cioslowski*
Department of Theoretical Chemistry ,
Jagiellonian University ,
Cracow 30060 , Karasia 3 , Poland

(Received: August 1986)

<u>Abstract</u>: The application of the unified distribution approach leads to a generalization of the McClelland formula. It is shown that the total pi-electron energy conforms to the equation $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pi}} = \frac{2\mathrm{MN}}{1000}\,\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{p})$, where F is some universal function and $\mathrm{p} = \mathrm{K}^2/\mathrm{N}(2\mathrm{M/N})^{-1/2}$ is a structure parameter. It is found numerically that the function F(p) is well represented by the formula F(p) = 0.76764+0.17747p. This results in a new topological formula for E_{pi} which is more accurate then other formulae known.

INTRODUCTION

Since the formulation of an upper bound for the total pi-electron energy (Epi) by McClelland $^{\!\!1}$ the formula :

$$E_{\text{pi}} \approx g \sqrt{2MN}$$
 (1)

(where M and N are the number of edges and vertices of the molecular graph corresponding to the conjugated system) has been investigated many times. The value of an empirical factor g has been determined numerically² to be 0.908 in the case of benzenoid hydrocarbons. Quite recently some theoretical work toward elucidation of the nature of this constant has been undertaken³, ⁴.

^{*} Present address: Department of Chemistry, Georgetown
University, Washington, D.C. 20057, USA

In the present paper some novel approach to the problem is proposed resulting in the conclusion that q is not a constant but a function of the structure parameter $p = K^{2/N}(2M/N)^{-1/N}$ where K is the number of the Kekulé structures. The obtained formula , valid for the benzenoid hydrocarbons is tested numerically.

THEORY

Let us assume that the distribution of the positive eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix can be described by some function G(x). This distribution function must conform to the following relations :

$$2\int_{0}^{\infty}G(x) dx = N$$
 (2)

$$2\int_{0}^{\infty} G(x) x dx = E_{pi}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} G(x) x^{2} dx = M$$
(4)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} G(x) x^{2} dx = M$$
 (4)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} G(x) \ln x \, dx = \ln K \tag{5}$$

The central point of the unified distribution approach (UDA) is to assume that G(x) has the same shape for all benzenoid hydrocarbons , that means there is some universal function g(x) related to G(x) by the equation :

$$G(x) = h g((x-a)/r)$$
(6)

The parameters h , a and r are determined from the

constraints (2) , (4) and (5). This enables us in turn to compute $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mbox{\scriptsize pi}}.$

Explicit calculation gives :

$$2 h r M_0 = N$$
 (7)

$$2 h (a r M_0 + r^2 M_1) = E_{pi}$$
 (8)

$$h (a^2 r M_0 + 2 a r^2 M_1 + r^3 M_2) = M$$
 (9)

$$h r [M_0 ln r + A(a/r)] = lnK$$
 (10)

where
$$M_k = \int_0^\infty g(t) t^k dt$$
 and $A(z) = \int_0^\infty \ln (t+z) g(t) dt$.

Substituting (7) into (8) and (9) we arrive at :

$$a + r m_1 = E_{pi}/N \tag{11}$$

$$a^2 + 2 a r m_1 + r^2 m_2 = 2M/N$$
 (12)

where $m_k = M_k/M_0$.

From eqs.(11) and (12) we evaluate r and a as:

$$r = (m_2 - m_1^2)^{-1/2} N^{-1} (2MN - E_{pi}^2)^{1/2}$$
(13)

$$a = N^{-1} [E_{pi} - m_1 (m_2 - m_1^2)^{-1/2} (2MN - E_{pi}^2)^{1/2}]$$
 (14)

Then we have :

$$M_0 \ln r + A(a/r) = 2 M_0/N \ln K$$
 (15)

$$\ln r + A(a/r)/M_0 = \ln \kappa^{2/N}$$
 (16)

Since:

$$a/r = (m_2 - m_1^2)^{1/2} E_{pi} (2MN - E_{pi}^2)^{-1/2} - m_1$$
 (17)

is a function of the parameter $E_{\text{pi}}(2MN)^{-1/2}$ we can rewrite eq.(16) as

$$\ln r + M_0^{-1} B(E_{pi}/\sqrt{2MN'}) = \ln K^2/N$$
 (18)

where B is some universal function. Also r can be expressed as:

$$r = E_{pi}N^{-1}(m_2 - m_1^2)^{-1/2}(\frac{2MN}{E_{bi}^2} - 1)^{1/2} = E_{pi}/N f(E_{pi}/\sqrt{2MN})$$
 (19)

This makes possible to rewrite (18) in the following way :

$$\ln f(E_{pi}/\sqrt{2MN'}) + M_o^{-1} B(E_{pi}/\sqrt{2MN'}) = \ln (NK^{2/N}/E_{pi})$$
 (20)

or:

$$C(E_{pi}/\sqrt{2MN'}) = \ln (NK^{2/N}/E_{pi})$$
 (21)

Now , let us represent Epi as :

$$E_{pi} = g (2MN)^{1/2}$$
 (22)

Substituting this into (21) we get :

$$C(g) = \ln (K^{2/N}/\sqrt{2M/N'}) - \ln g$$
 (23)

The solution of equation (23) leads to the conclusion that there is some universal function F which relates $E_{\mbox{pi}}$, N , M and K by means of the formula :

$$E_{pi} = \sqrt{2MN} F[K^{2/N} (2M/N)^{-1/2}]$$
 (24)

The equation (24) is the generalized McClelland formula. The form of the function F has to be determined numerically.

NUMERICAL RESULTS

The problem of the dependence of E_{pi} on K has been discussed several times. In particular , two contradictory results are known. The first approach states that E_{pi} is a linear function of lnK^5 , whereas according to the second one , the linear relation between E_{pi} and K exists^{6,7}. The corresponding equations read :

$$E_{pi}(N,M,K) \approx A N + B M + C ln K$$
 (25)

and

$$E_{pi}(N,M,K) \approx G N + H M + I K J^{M-N}$$
 (26)

where A , B , C , G , H , I and J are numerical constants.

Considering the validity of eqs.(25) and (26) one should remember that , obviously , the following property of $E_{
m pi}$ is expected :

$$E_{pi}(uN, uM, K^{U}) = u E_{pi}(N, M, K) \qquad (u \in N)$$
 (27)

This " weak size consistency " condition⁸ is fulfilled by (25) but not by (26). On the other hand eq.26 has been found to work much more accurately than eq.25⁵,6. The same conclusion has been drawn in the present paper (see below).

In order to solve the problem , what is the real interrelation between N,M,K and $E_{\rm pi}$ as well as what is the form of function F , a numerical testing of the formulae (24)-(26) has been performed. As a basis for calculations the standard set⁹ of 1030 singlet ground state planar hydrocarbons possessing 2-8 rings has been used. All empirical parameters have been optimized by means of the least squares fitting. The results shown in Table 1 lead to the following conclusions:

1. A satisfactory representation for the function F is :

$$F(p) \approx 0.76764 + 0.17747 p$$
 (28)

Thus we have a new topological formula for E_{pi} :

$$E_{pi} \approx 0.76764 \sqrt{2MN} + 0.17747 NK^{2/N}$$
 (29)

- 2. The formula (29) , although using only 2 empirical parameters , reproduces E_{pi} with the error comparable to the one given by eqs.(24) and (25). One should note , however , that eq.(29) gives more accurate values for E_{pi} when applied to the hydrocarbons of an infinite size.
- 3. The formula (26) gives the most accurate values of $E_{\mbox{\scriptsize pi}}$ for

the moderate-size hydrocarbons but fails for polymers , which can be related to its size inconsistency.

TABLE 1. THE COMPARISON OF THREE DIFFERENT TOPOLOGICAL FORMULAE FOR E_{Di} .

Formula		M-N	2/N
for Epi	AN + BM + CINK	AN + BM + CKDM-N	$A\sqrt{2MN} + BNK^2/N$
Empirical parameters		A=0.5477 B=0.7027 C=0.2393 D=0.6658	A=0.7676 B=0.1775
Standard deviation	0.07%	0.04%	0.09%
Average error	0.10%	0.06%	0.07%
Maximal error	0.65%	0.39%	0.63%
Error for : the infinit polyacene			
chain	1.08%	1.65%	0.84%
the infinit	e		
chain	0.22%	0.76%	0.17%
the graphit	e		
lattice	0.52%	1.64%	1.01%

REFERENCES

- [1] B.J.McClelland , J.Mol.Phys. 54 (1971) 640
- [2] I.Gutman , Lj.Nedeljković and A.Teodorović , Bull.Soc. Chim. Beograd 48 (1983) 495
- [3] I.Gutman , MATCH 14 (1983) 71
- [4] I.Gutman and M.Rasković, Z.Naturforsch. 40a (1985) 1055

- [5] I.Gutman , N.Trinajstić and C.F.Wilcox , Jr. , Tetrahedron 31 (1975) 143
- [6] G.G.Hall , Inst.Math.Appl. 17 (1981) 70
- [7] I.Gutman and S.Petrović, Chem.Phys.Lett. 97 (1983) 242
- [8] usually , by the (strong) size consistency we would mean the condition :
 - $$\begin{split} & E_{\text{pi}}(N_a + N_b, M_a + M_b, K_a K_b) = E_{\text{pi}}(N_a, M_a, K_a) + E_{\text{pi}}(N_b, M_b, K_b) \\ & \text{the author is indebted to the referee for mentioning} \\ & \text{this point} \end{split}$$
- [9] J.Cioslowski , Theor.Chim.Acta (Berlin) 68 (1985) 315