Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry

# Forcing and Anti–Forcing Polynomials of Perfect Matchings of a Pyrene System<sup>\*</sup>

Kai Deng<sup>a†</sup>, Saihua Liu<sup>b</sup>, Xiangqian Zhou<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics and Information Science, North Minzu University, Yinchuan, Ningxia 750027, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Wuyi University, Jiangmen, Guangdong 529020, P.

R. China

<sup>c</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huanghuai University, Zhumadian, Henan 463000, P. R. China

dengkai04@126.com, lsh1808@163.com, zhouxiangqian0502@126.com

(Received 2020)

#### Abstract

The forcing number of a perfect matching M of a graph G is the smallest number of edges in a subset  $S \subset M$  such that S is in no other perfect matching. The antiforcing number of M is the smallest number of edges in a subset  $S' \subset E(G) \setminus M$ such that M is the unique perfect matching of G - S'. Recently the forcing and anti-forcing polynomials of perfect matchings of a graph were proposed as counting polynomials for perfect matchings with the same forcing number and anti-forcing number respectively. In this paper, we obtain the explicit expressions of forcing and anti-forcing polynomials of a pyrene system. As consequences, the distributions of forcing and anti-forcing numbers of perfect matchings of the pyrene system are revealed respectively.

### 1 Introduction

Let G be a simple graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). A perfect matching of G is a set of independent edges which covers all vertices of G. A perfect matching coincides with a Kekulé structure of a conjugated molecule graph (the graph representing the carbon-atoms). Klein and Randić [17, 24] observed that a Kekulé structure can be determined by a few number of fixed double bonds, and they defined the *innate degree* 

<sup>\*</sup>This work is supported by the Science and Technology Research Foundation of the Higher Education Institutions of Ningxia (Grant No. NGY2018-139) and the Natural Science Foundation of Ningxia (Grant No. 2019AAC03124).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author.

of freedom of a Kekulé structure as the smallest number of fixed double bonds required to determine it. The sum over innate degree of freedom of all Kekulé structures of a graph was called the *degree of freedom* of the graph, which was proposed as a novel invariant to estimate the resonance energy. In 1991, Harary, Klein and Živković [12] extended the concept of "innate degree of freedom" to a perfect matching M of a graph G, and renamed it as the *forcing number* of M, denoted by f(G, M). Over the past 30 years, many researchers were attracted in this field [3], in addition, the anti-forcing number [20, 32, 33] was proposed from the point of opposite view of forcing number. In general, to compute the forcing number of a perfect matching of a bipartite graph with the maximum degree 3 is an NP-complete problem [1], and to compute the anti-forcing number of a perfect matching of a bipartite graph with the maximum degree 4 is also an NP-complete problem [8]. But the particular structure of a graph enables us to do much better. In this paper, we will calculate the forcing and anti-forcing polynomials of a pyrene system. As consequences, the distributions of forcing and anti-forcing numbers of perfect matchings of the pyrene system are revealed respectively.

A forcing set S of a perfect matching M of a graph G is a subset of M such that S is contained in no other perfect matchings of G. Therefore, f(G, M) equals the smallest cardinality over all forcing sets of M. The minimum (resp. maximum) forcing number of G is the minimum (resp. maximum) value over forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of G, denoted by f(G) (resp. F(G)). Afshani et al. [2] proved that the smallest forcing number problem is NP-complete for bipartite graphs with maximum degree four. In order to investigate the distribution of forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of a graph G, the forcing spectrum [1] was proposed, denoted by  $\text{Spec}_f(G)$ , which is the collection of forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of G. Further, Zhang et al. [42] introduced the forcing polynomial of a graph, which can enumerate the number of perfect matchings with the same forcing number.

A hexagonal system (or benzenoid) is a finite 2-connected planar bipartite graph in which each interior face is surrounded by a regular hexagon of side length one. Hexagonal systems are extensively used in the study of benzenoid hydrocarbons [5], as they properly represent the skeleton of such molecules. Zhang and Li [38] and Hansen and Zheng [11] characterized independently the hexagonal systems with minimum forcing number 1, and the forcing spectrum of such a hexagonal system was determined by Zhang and Deng [39]. Zhang and Zhang [41] characterized plane elementary bipartite graphs with minimum forcing number 1. Xu et al. [35] proved that the maximum forcing number of a hexagonal system equals its *Clar number*, which is an invariant used to measure the stability of benzenoid hydrocarbons. Similar results also hold for polyomino graphs [43] and (4,6)fullerenes [28]. Zhang et al. [40] proved that the minimum forcing number of a fullerene graph is not less than 3, and the lower bound can be achieved by infinitely many fullerene graphs. Randić, Vukičević and Gutman [25, 30, 31] determined the forcing spectra of fullerene graphs  $C_{60}$ ,  $C_{70}$  and  $C_{72}$ , in particular there is a single Kekulé structure of  $C_{60}$ that has the highest innate degree of freedom 10 such that all hexagons of  $C_{60}$  have three double CC bonds, which represents the Fries structure of  $C_{60}$  and is the most important valence structure. For forcing polynomial, only a few types of hexagonal systems have been studied, such as catacondensed hexagonal systems [42] and benzenoid parallelogram [45]. For more results on forcing number, we refer the reader to see [4, 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 26, 27, 34, 47–49].

Given a perfect matching M of a graph G. A subset  $S \subset E(G) \setminus M$  is called an *anti*forcing set of M if M is the unique perfect matching of G-S. The smallest cardinality over all anti-forcing sets of M is called the *anti-forcing number* of M, denoted by af(G, M). The minimum (resp. maximum) anti-forcing number af(G) (resp. Af(G)) of graph G is the minimum (resp. maximum) value of anti-forcing numbers over all perfect matchings of G. The minimum anti-forcing number of a graph was first introduced by Vukičević and Trinajstié [32, 33] in 2007-2008. Actually, the hexagonal systems with minimum anti-forcing number 1 had been characterized by Li [21] in 1997, where he called such a hexagonal system has a forcing single edge. Deng [6,7] obtained the minimum anti-forcing numbers of benzenoid chains and double benzenoid chains. Zhang et al. [44] computed the minimum anti-forcing number of catacondensed phenylene. Yang et al. [36] showed that a fullerene graph has the minimum anti-forcing number at least 4, and characterized the fullerene graphs with minimum anti-forcing number 4.

By an analogous manner as the forcing number, the *anti-forcing spectrum* of a graph G was proposed, denoted by  $\operatorname{Spec}_{af}(G)$ , which is the collection of anti-forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of G. Further, Hwang et al. [14] introduced the *anti-forcing polynomial* of a graph, which can enumerate the number of perfect matchings with the same anti-forcing number. Lei et al. [20] proved that the maximum anti-forcing number

of a hexagonal system equals its Fries number, which can measure the stability of benzenoid hydrocarbons. Analogous result was also obtained on (4,6)-fullerenes [28]. Further more, two tight upper bounds on the maximum anti-forcing numbers of graphs were obtained [10, 29]. The anti-forcing spectra of some types of hexagonal systems were proved to be continuous, such as monotonic constructable hexagonal systems [8], catacondensed hexagonal systems [9]. Zhao and Zhang computed the anti-forcing polynomials of benzenoid systems with minimum forcing number 1 [46], and  $2 \times n$  and  $3 \times 2n$  rectangle grids [47].

In this paper, we will calculate the forcing and anti-forcing polynomials of a pyrene system  $H_n$ . In section 2, as a preparation, some basic results on forcing and anti-forcing numbers are introduced, and we characterize the maximum set of disjoint *M*-alternating cycles and the maximum set of compatible *M*-alternating cycles with respect to a perfect matching *M* of  $H_n$ . In section 3, we give a recurrence formula for the forcing polynomial of  $H_n$ , and derive the explicit expressions of forcing polynomial of  $H_n$ . As corollaries, the distribution of forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of  $H_n$  are determined, and an asymptotic behavior of degree of freedom of  $H_n$  is revealed. In section 4, we obtain a recurrence formula for the anti-forcing polynomial of  $H_n$ , and derive the explicit expressions of anti-forcing polynomial of  $H_n$ . As consequences, the distribution of anti-forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of  $H_n$  are determined, and an asymptotic behavior of the sum over anti-forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of  $H_n$  is obtained.

#### 2 Preliminaries

Let M be a perfect matching of a graph G. A cycle C of G is called an M-alternating cycle if the edges of C appear alternately in M and  $E(G) \setminus M$ . If C is an M-alternating cycle, then the symmetric difference  $M \triangle C$  is the another perfect matching of G, here C may be viewed as its edge set. Let c(M) be the maximum number of disjoint M-alternating cycles of G. Since any forcing set of M has to contain at least one edge of each M-alternating cycle,  $f(G, M) \ge c(M)$ . Pachter and Kim [23] proved the following theorem by using the minimax theorem on feedback set [22].

**Theorem 2.1** [23]. Let M be a perfect matching in a planar bipartite graph G. Then f(G, M) = c(M).



Figure 1. (a) Pyrene system  $H_n$  with n pyrene fragments (b) The auxiliary graph  $G_n$ 

A pyrene system with n pyrene fragments is denoted by  $H_n$ , see Fig. 1(a).  $H_n$  is a special hexagonal system, and also is a plane bipartite graph, by Theorem 2.1,  $f(H_n, M) = c(M)$  for any perfect matching M of  $H_n$ .

**Lemma 2.2** [37, 41]. Let M be a perfect matching of a hexagonal system H, C an M-alternating cycle. Then there is an M-alternating hexagon in the interior of C.

Let H be a hexagonal system with a perfect matching M. A set of disjoint Malternating hexagons of H is called an M-resonant set, the size of a maximum M-resonant set is denote by h(M).

**Lemma 2.3.** Let M be a perfect matching of the pyrene system  $H_n$ . Then  $f(H_n, M) = h(M)$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a maximum set of disjoint M-alternating cycles, and  $\mathcal{A}$  contain hexagons as more as possible. By Theorem 2.1,  $f(H_n, M) = |\mathcal{A}|$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an Mresonance set, otherwise  $\mathcal{A}$  contains a non-hexagonal cycle C. By Lemma 2.2 there is an M-alternating hexagon h in the interior of C. Note that  $\mathcal{A}' = (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{C\}) \cup \{h\}$  also is a maximum set of disjoint M-alternating cycles, but  $\mathcal{A}'$  contains more hexagons than  $\mathcal{A}$ , a contradiction. We have  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq h(M) \leq f(H_n, M) = |\mathcal{A}|$ , i.e.  $f(H_n, M) = h(M)$ . Let M be a perfect matching of a graph G. A set  $\mathcal{A}'$  of M-alternating cycles of G is called a compatible M-alternating set if any two cycles of  $\mathcal{A}'$  either are disjoint or intersect only at edges in M. Let c'(M) denote the maximum cardinality over all compatible Malternating sets of G. Since any anti-forcing set of M must contain at least one edge of each M-alternating cycle,  $af(G, M) \geq c'(M)$ . Lei et al. [20] gave the following minimax theorem.

**Theorem 2.4** [20]. Let G be a plane bipartite graph with a perfect matching M. Then af(G, M) = c'(M).

Let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be a compatible *M*-alternating set of a plane bipartite graph with a perfect matching *M*. Two cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of  $\mathcal{A}'$  are crossing if they share an edge *f* in *M* and the four edges adjacent to *f* alternate in  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  (i.e.,  $C_1$  enters into  $C_2$  from one side and leaves for the other side via *f*).  $\mathcal{A}'$  is called *non-crossing* if any two cycles of  $\mathcal{A}'$  are non-crossing.

**Lemma 2.5** [10,20]. Let G be a plane bipartite graph with a perfect matching M. Then there is a non-crossing compatible M-alternating set  $\mathcal{A}'$  such that  $|\mathcal{A}'| = c'(M)$ .

A triphenylene is a benzenoid consisting of four hexagons, one hexagon at the center, for the other three disjoint hexagons, each of them has a common edge with the center one. For example, the four hexagons  $s_{1,1}$ ,  $s_{1,2}$ ,  $h_{1,2}$ ,  $h_{2,1}$  form a triphenylene, see Fig. 1(a).

**Lemma 2.6.** Let M be a perfect matching of the pyrene system  $H_n$ . Then there is a maximum non-crossing compatible M-alternating set  $\mathcal{A}'$  such that each member of  $\mathcal{A}'$  either is a hexagon or the periphery of a triphenylene.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, there is a maximum non-crossing compatible *M*-alternating set  $\mathcal{A}'$ such that  $I(\mathcal{A}') = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{A}'} I(C)$  as small as possible, where I(C) denotes the number of hexagons in the interior of *C*. Let *C'* be a member of  $\mathcal{A}'$ . Suppose *C'* is not a hexagon, by Lemma 2.2, there is an *M*-alternating hexagon h' in the interior of *C'*. Note that *C'* and h' must be compatible, otherwise  $\mathcal{A}'' = (\mathcal{A}' \setminus \{C'\}) \cup \{h'\}$  can be a maximum non-crossing compatible *M*-alternating set such that  $I(\mathcal{A}'') < I(\mathcal{A}')$ , a contradiction. In fact, *C'* has to be compatible with any *M*-alternating hexagon, which implies that h' is a hexagon of type  $h_{i,j}$  (see Fig. 1(a)). Without loss of generality, let  $h' = h_{i,1}(i \neq 1)$ . Then  $e_{i,1}, f_{i,1}$ and the right vertical edge of  $h_{i,1}$  all belong to *M*. Let  $M' = M \triangle h_{i,1}$ . Then  $s_{i,1}$  and  $s_{i,2}$ both are *M'*-alternating hexagons. Claim 1.  $h_{i-1,2}$  is M'-alternating.

Proof. Suppose  $h_{i-1,2}$  is not M'-alternating. Then at least one of  $p_{i-1,2}$  and  $q_{i-1,2}$  does not belong to M. If only one of  $p_{i-1,2}$  and  $q_{i-1,2}$  belongs to M, say  $p_{i-1,2} \in M$ , then  $s_{i-1,2}$ is an M-alternating hexagon which is not compatible with C', a contradiction. Therefore both of  $p_{i-1,2}$  and  $q_{i-1,2}$  are not in M, then  $h_{i-1,1}$  is M-alternating. If  $p_{i-2,2}$  and  $q_{i-2,2}$  both belong to M, then the four hexagons  $h_{i-2,2}$ ,  $h_{i-1,1}$ ,  $s_{i-1,1}$ , and  $s_{i-1,2}$  form a triphenylene whose periphery T is an M-alternating cycle. Note that T is compatible with each cycle of  $\mathcal{A}' \setminus \{C'\}$ , thus  $(\mathcal{A}' \setminus \{C'\}) \cup \{T\}$  can be a maximum non-crossing compatible Malternating set with  $I((\mathcal{A}' \setminus \{C'\}) \cup \{T\}) < I(\mathcal{A}')$ , a contradiction. Hence at least one of  $p_{i-2,2}$  and  $q_{i-2,2}$  does not belong to M, similar as above, we can show that  $h_{i-2,1}$  is M-alternating. Keeping on this process, we will finally prove that  $h_{1,1}$  is M-alternating, but  $h_{1,1}$  is not compatible with C', a contradiction.

According to Claim 1 and the minimality of  $I(\mathcal{A}')$ , C' has to be the periphery of the triphenylene consisting of the four hexagons  $h_{i-1,2}$ ,  $h_{i,1}$ ,  $s_{i,1}$  and  $s_{i,2}$  (see Fig. 1(a)).

# 3 Forcing polynomial of pyrene system

The forcing polynomial of a graph G is defined as follow [42]:

$$F(G, x) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}(G)} x^{f(G,M)} = \sum_{i=f(G)}^{F(G)} w_i x^i,$$
(1)

where  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is the collection of all perfect matchings of G,  $w_i$  is the number of perfect matchings of G with the forcing number i.

As a consequence, let  $\Phi(G)$  be the number of perfect matchings of a graph G, then  $\Phi(G) = F(G, 1)$ . Recall that the degree of freedom of a graph G is the sum over the forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of G, denoted by IDF(G), then  $IDF(G) = \frac{d}{dx}F(G,x)|_{x=1}$ .  $\Phi(G)$  and IDF(G) both are chemically meaningful indices within a resonance theoretic context [17,24]. Note that if G is a null graph or a graph has a unique perfect matching, then F(G, x) = 1.

In the following we will derive a recurrence formula for forcing polynomial of the pyrene system  $H_n$ , as preparations the forcing polynomials of pyrene, phenanthrene and diphenyl are computed:  $F(H_1, x) = 4x^2 + 2x$ ,  $F(L, x) = 4x^2 + x$ ,  $F(N, x) = 4x^2$  (see Fig. 2).



Figure 2. (a) Pyrene, (b) Phenanthrene and (c) Diphenyl

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $H_n$  be a pyrene system with n pyrene fragments. Then

$$F(H_n, x) = (4x^2 + 2x)F(H_{n-1}, x) - x^2F(H_{n-2}, x),$$
(2)

where  $n \ge 2$ ,  $F(H_0, x) = 1$  and  $F(H_1, x) = 4x^2 + 2x$ .

Proof. First we introduce an auxiliary graph  $G_n$  obtained by deleting the leftmost hexagon  $h_{1,1}$  from  $H_n$ , see Fig. 1(b). We divide  $\mathcal{M}(H_n)$  in two subsets:  $\mathcal{M}_{f_{1,2}}^{e_{1,2}}(H_n) = \{M \in \mathcal{M}(H_n) \mid e_{1,2}, f_{1,2} \in M\}, \mathcal{M}_{f_{1,2}}^{\bar{e}_{1,2}}(H_n) = \{M \in \mathcal{M}(H_n) \mid e_{1,2}, f_{1,2} \notin M\}$ . If  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{f_{1,2}}^{e_{1,2}}(H_n)$ , then  $h_{1,2}$  is a unique M-alternating hexagon in the leftmost pyrene fragment, and  $M' = M \cap E(G_{n-1})$  is a perfect matching of the graph  $G_{n-1}$  obtained by deleting vertices of the leftmost pyrene fragment and their incident edges from  $H_n$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $f(H_n, M) = f(G_{n-1}, M') + 1$ . If  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{f_{1,2}}^{\bar{e}_{1,2}}(H_n)$ , then the restriction  $M_1$  of M on the phenanthrene L consisting of three hexagons  $s_{1,1}, h_{1,1}, s_{1,2}$  is a perfect matching of L, and  $M_2 = M \cap E(H_{n-1})$  is a perfect matching of the subsystem  $H_{n-1}$  obtained by deleting vertices of L and their incident edges from  $H_n$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $f(H_n, M) = f(H_{n-1}, M_2)$ . By Eq. (1), we have

$$F(H_n, x) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}(H_n)} x^{f(H_n, M)} = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{f_{1,2}}^{e_{1,2}}(H_n)} x^{f(H_n, M)} + \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{f_{1,2}}^{e_{1,2}}(H_n)} x^{f(H_n, M)}$$

$$= \sum_{M' \in \mathcal{M}(G_{n-1})} x^{f(G_{n-1}, M')+1} + \sum_{M_1 \in \mathcal{M}(L), M_2 \in \mathcal{M}(H_{n-1})} x^{f(L,M_1)+f(H_{n-1}, M_2)}$$

$$= x \sum_{M' \in \mathcal{M}(G_{n-1})} x^{f(G_{n-1}, M')} + \sum_{M_1 \in \mathcal{M}(L), M_2 \in \mathcal{M}(H_{n-1})} x^{f(L,M_1)} x^{f(H_{n-1}, M_2)}$$

$$= x F(G_{n-1}, x) + (\sum_{M_1 \in \mathcal{M}(L)} x^{f(L,M_1)}) (\sum_{M_2 \in \mathcal{M}(H_{n-1})} x^{f(H_{n-1}, M_2)})$$

$$= x F(G_{n-1}, x) + F(L, x) F(H_{n-1}, x)$$

$$= x F(G_{n-1}, x) + (4x^2 + x) F(H_{n-1}, x) .$$
(3)

Now we deduce a recurrence relation for forcing polynomial of the auxiliary graph  $G_n$ . We can divide  $\mathcal{M}(G_n)$  in two types, one is perfect matchings which containing edges

 $e_{1,2}$  and  $f_{1,2}$ , and another is on the converse. For a perfect matching  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G_n)$ , if  $e_{1,2}, f_{1,2} \in M$ , then  $h_{1,2}$  is a unique *M*-alternating hexagon in the leftmost phenanthrene consisting of three hexagons  $s_{1,1}, s_{1,2}, h_{1,2}$ , and the restriction M' of M on the graph  $G_{n-1}$ obtained by deleting vertices of the leftmost phenanthrene and their incident edges from  $G_n$  is a perfect matching of  $G_{n-1}$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $f(G_n, M) = f(G_{n-1}, M') + 1$ . On the other hand, if  $e_{1,2}, f_{1,2} \notin M$ , then the restriction  $M_1$  of M on the leftmost diphenyl N is a perfect matching of N, and the restriction  $M_2$  of M on the successive subsystem  $H_{n-1}$ is a perfect matching of  $H_{n-1}$ . Therefore  $f(G_n, M) = f(N, M_1) + f(H_{n-1}, M_2)$ , see Fig. 1(b). By a similar deducing as Eq. (3), we can obtain the following formula

$$F(G_n, x) = xF(G_{n-1}, x) + 4x^2F(H_{n-1}).$$
(4)

Eq. (3) minus Eq. (4), we have

$$F(G_n, x) = F(H_n, x) - xF(H_{n-1}, x),$$

which implies

$$F(G_{n-1}, x) = F(H_{n-1}, x) - xF(H_{n-2}, x).$$

Substituting this expression into Eq. (3), we can obtain Eq. (2), the proof is completed.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $H_n$  be a pyrene system with *n* pyrene fragments. Then

$$F(H_n, x) = x^n \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{j+n}{2} \rceil}^n (-1)^{n-i} 2^{2i+j-n} \binom{i}{n-i} \binom{2i-n}{j} x^j.$$

*Proof.* For convenience, let  $F_n := F(H_n, x)$ , then the generating function of sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is obtained as follow

$$\begin{split} G(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n z^n = 1 + (4x^2 + 2x)z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} F_n z^n \\ &= 1 + (4x^2 + 2x)z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ((4x^2 + 2x)F_{n-1} - x^2F_{n-2})z^n \\ &= 1 + (4x^2 + 2x)z + (4x^2 + 2x)z(G(z) - 1) - x^2z^2G(z) \\ &= 1 + (4x^2 + 2x)zG(z) - x^2z^2G(z). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} G(z) &= \frac{1}{1 - ((4x^2 + 2x)z - x^2z^2)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ((4x^2 + 2x)z - x^2z^2)^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i z^i \sum_{j=0}^i {i \choose j} (4x + 2)^{i-j} (-xz)^j \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n (-1)^{n-i} {i \choose n-i} (4x + 2)^{2i-n} x^n z^n, \end{split}$$

which implies

$$F_{n} = x^{n} \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} {i \choose n-i} (4x+2)^{2i-n}$$
  
$$= x^{n} \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} {i \choose n-i} \sum_{j=0}^{2i-n} 2^{2i+j-n} {2i-n \choose j} x^{j}$$
  
$$= x^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{j+n}{2} \rceil}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} 2^{2i+j-n} {i \choose n-i} {2i-n \choose j} x^{j}.$$

The proof is completed.

As a consequence, the following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $H_n$  be a pyrene system with n pyrene fragments. Then

1. 
$$f(H_n) = n;$$

2. 
$$F(H_n) = 2n;$$

3.  $\operatorname{Spec}_f(H_n) = [n, 2n].$ 

In the following we compute the degree of freedom of  $H_n$ , and discuss its asymptotic behavior. He and He [13] gave the following formula:

$$\Phi(H_n) = 6\Phi(H_{n-1}) - \Phi(H_{n-2}), \tag{5}$$

further we can obtain an general formula as follow:

$$\Phi(H_n) = \frac{17 - 12\sqrt{2}}{16 - 12\sqrt{2}} (3 - 2\sqrt{2})^n + \frac{17 + 12\sqrt{2}}{16 + 12\sqrt{2}} (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^n.$$
(6)

Theorem 3.4.

$$IDF(H_n) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{32}(3-2\sqrt{2})^n + \frac{7-5\sqrt{2}}{8}n(3-2\sqrt{2})^n - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{32}(3+2\sqrt{2})^n + \frac{7+5\sqrt{2}}{8}n(3+2\sqrt{2})^n.$$
(7)

*Proof.* According to Eq. (2),

$$\frac{d}{dx}F(H_n,x) = (8x+2)F(H_{n-1},x) + (4x^2+2x)\frac{d}{dx}F(H_{n-1},x) -2xF(H_{n-2},x) - x^2\frac{d}{dx}F(H_{n-2},x).$$

For convenience, let  $\Phi_n := \Phi(H_n)$  and  $IDF_n := IDF(H_n)$ , then we have

$$IDF_n = \frac{d}{dx}F(H_n, x)\Big|_{x=1}$$
  
=  $6IDF_{n-1} - IDF_{n-2} + 10\Phi_{n-1} - 2\Phi_{n-2}.$ 

So

$$\begin{split} IDF_{n+1} &= & 6IDF_n - IDF_{n-1} + 10\Phi_n - 2\Phi_{n-1}, \\ IDF_{n+2} &= & 6IDF_{n+1} - IDF_n + 10\Phi_{n+1} - 2\Phi_n, \end{split}$$

by Eq. (5),  $\Phi_{n+1} = 6\Phi_n - \Phi_{n-1}$  and  $\Phi_n = 6\Phi_{n-1} - \Phi_{n-2}$ , which implies

$$IDF_{n+2} = 6IDF_{n+1} - IDF_n + 10(6\Phi_n - \Phi_{n-1}) - 2(6\Phi_{n-1} - \Phi_{n-2})$$
  

$$= 6IDF_{n+1} - IDF_n + 60\Phi_n - 22\Phi_{n-1} + 2\Phi_{n-2}$$
  

$$= 6IDF_{n+1} - IDF_n + 6(6IDF_n - IDF_{n-1} + 10\Phi_n - 2\Phi_{n-1}) - (6IDF_{n-1} - IDF_{n-2} + 10\Phi_{n-1} - 2\Phi_{n-2}) - 36IDF_n + 12IDF_{n-1} - IDF_{n-2}$$
  

$$= 12IDF_{n+1} - 38IDF_n + 12IDF_{n-1} - IDF_{n-2}.$$
(8)

Therefore the homogeneous characteristics equation of recurrence formula (8) is  $x^4 - 12x^3 + 38x^2 - 12x + 1 = 0$ , and its roots are  $x_1 = x_2 = 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$ ,  $x_3 = x_4 = 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ . Suppose the general solution of Eq. (8) is  $IDF_n = \lambda_1(3 - 2\sqrt{2})^n + \lambda_2n(3 - 2\sqrt{2})^n + \lambda_3(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^n + \lambda_4n(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^n$ . According to the initial values  $IDF_3 = 1036$ ,  $IDF_4 = 8068$ ,  $IDF_5 = 58854$  and  $IDF_6 = 411978$ , we can obtain  $\lambda_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{32}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{7-5\sqrt{2}}{8}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{32}$  and  $\lambda_4 = \frac{7+5\sqrt{2}}{8}$ , so Eq. (7) holds for  $n \ge 3$ . In fact, we can check that Eq. (7) also holds for n = 0, 1, 2, so the proof is completed.

By Eqs. (6) and (7), the following result is obtained.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $H_n$  be a pyrene system with n pyrene fragments. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{IDF(H_n)}{n\Phi(H_n)} = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$$

#### 4 Anti–forcing polynomial of pyrene system

The anti-forcing polynomial of a graph G is defined as follow [14]:

$$Af(G, x) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}(G)} x^{af(G,M)} = \sum_{i=af(G)}^{Af(G)} u_i x^i,$$
(9)

where  $u_i$  is the number of perfect matchings of G with the anti-forcing number *i*.

As a consequence,  $\Phi(G) = Af(G, 1)$ , and the sum over the anti-forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of G equals  $\frac{d}{dx}Af(G, x)|_{x=1}$ . If G is a null graph or a graph with unique perfect matching, then Af(G, x) = 1. We obtain the following recursive formula.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $H_n$  be the pyrene system with *n* pyrene fragments. Then

$$Af(H_n, x) = (2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x)Af(H_{n-1}, x) - x^2Af(H_{n-2}, x),$$
(10)

where  $n \ge 2$ ,  $Af(H_0, x) = 1$  and  $Af(H_1, x) = 2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x$ .

Proof. First we divide  $\mathcal{M}(H_n)$  in two subsets:  $\mathcal{M}_{f_{1,2}}^{e_{1,2}}(H_n) = \{M \in \mathcal{M}(H_n) \mid e_{1,2}, f_{1,2} \in M\}, \mathcal{M}_{f_{1,2}}^{\bar{e}_{1,2}}(H_n) = \{M \in \mathcal{M}(H_n) \mid e_{1,2}, f_{1,2} \notin M\}.$  There are two cases to be considered.

**Case 1.** Suppose  $e_{1,2}$  and  $f_{1,2}$  both belong to M. Then the restriction  $M_1$  of M on the leftmost pyrene fragment is a perfect matching of it, and  $h_{1,2}$  is an M-alternating hexagon ,see Fig. 1(a).

Subcase 1.1. If  $p_{2,1}$  and  $q_{2,1}$  both belong to M, then the hexagons  $s_{2,1}$  and  $s_{2,2}$  both are M-alternating, and the four hexagons  $s_{1,1}, s_{1,2}, h_{1,2}, h_{2,1}$  form a triphenylene whose perimeter T is an M-alternating cycle, and  $\{h_{1,2}, s_{2,1}, s_{2,2}, T\}$  is a non-crossing compatible M-alternating set. Note that the restriction M' of M on the subsystem  $H_{n-2}$  obtained by the removal of the leftmost two pyrene fragments from  $H_n$  is a perfect matching of  $H_{n-2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be a maximum non-crossing compatible M'-alternating set of  $H_{n-2}$ , by Lemma 2.6, then  $\{h_{1,2}, s_{2,1}, s_{2,2}, T\} \cup \mathcal{A}'$  is a maximum non-crossing compatible Malternating set of  $H_n$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $af(H_n, M) = 4 + af(H_{n-2}, M')$ . Let  $Y_1 = \{M \in \mathcal{M}_{f_{1,2}}^{e_{1,2}}(H_n) | p_{2,1}, q_{2,1} \in M\}$ , by Eq. (9),

$$\sum_{M \in Y_1} x^{af(H_n,M)} = \sum_{M' \in \mathcal{M}(H_{n-2})} x^{4+af(H_{n-2},M')} = x^4 A f(H_{n-2},x).$$
(11)

**Subcase 1.2.** If one of  $p_{2,1}, q_{2,1}$  does not belong to M, then the perimeter of the triphenylene consisting of the four hexagons  $s_{1,1}, s_{1,2}, h_{1,2}, h_{2,1}$  is not an M-alternating

cycle. Recall that  $M_1 \subseteq M$  is a perfect matching of the first pyrene fragment, thus  $M_2 = M \setminus M_1$  is a perfect matching of the subgraph  $G_{n-1}$  (see Fig. 1(b)). By Lemma 2.6,  $af(H_n, M) = 1 + af(G_{n-1}, M_2)$ . Let X be a perfect matching of  $G_{n-1}$ . Suppose X contains edges  $p_{2,1}, q_{2,1}$ , then  $s_{2,1}$  and  $s_{2,2}$  both are X-alternating hexagons, and  $X_1 = X \cap E(H_{n-2})$  is a perfect matching of the subsystem  $H_{n-2}$  obtained by deleting the vertices of the leftmost diphenyl of  $G_{n-1}$  and their incident edges. Note that Lemma 2.6 also holds for the auxiliary graph  $G_n$ , and  $h_{2,2}$  is not X-alternating, so  $af(G_{n-1}, X) = 2 + af(H_{n-2}, X_1)$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_{q_{2,1}}^{p_{2,1}}(G_{n-1}) = \{X \in \mathcal{M}(G_{n-1}) | p_{2,1}, q_{2,1} \in X\}, Y_2 = \mathcal{M}_{f_{1,2}}^{e_{1,2}}(H_n) \setminus Y_1$ , then

$$\sum_{M \in Y_2} x^{af(H_n,M)} = \sum_{M_2 \in \mathcal{M}(G_{n-1}) \setminus \mathcal{M}_{q_{2,1}}^{p_{2,1}}(G_{n-1})} x^{1+af(G_{n-1},M_2)}$$

$$= x \Big( \sum_{X \in \mathcal{M}(G_{n-1})} x^{af(G_{n-1},X)} - \sum_{X \in \mathcal{M}_{q_{2,1}}^{p_{2,1}}(G_{n-1})} x^{af(G_{n-1},X)} \Big)$$

$$= x \Big( Af(G_{n-1},x) - \sum_{X_1 \in \mathcal{M}(H_{n-2})} x^{2+af(H_{n-2},X_1)} \Big)$$

$$= x Af(G_{n-1},x) - x^3 Af(H_{n-2},x).$$
(12)

**Case 2.** Suppose  $e_{1,2}$  and  $f_{1,2}$  both are not in M, then we can divide  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{f}_{1,2}}^{\bar{e}_{1,2}}(H_n)$  in two subsets  $Y_3 = \{M \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{f}_{1,2}}^{\bar{e}_{1,2}}(H_n) | e_{2,1}, f_{2,1} \in M\}$  and  $Y_4 = \{M \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{f}_{1,2}}^{\bar{e}_{1,2}}(H_n) | e_{2,1}, f_{2,1} \notin M\}$ .

Subcase 2.1. Suppose  $M \in Y_3$ , then  $h_{2,1}$  must be an M-alternating hexagon, and the restrictions  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  of M on the leftmost phenanthrene L and the rightmost subsystem  $H_{n-2}$  are perfect matchings of L and  $H_{n-2}$  respectively (see Fig. 1(a)). Let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be a maximum non-crossing compatible  $M_2$ -alternating set of  $H_{n-2}$ . Note that  $M_1$  contains only five distinct members, we can divide  $Y_3$  in five subsets:  $Y_{3,1} = \{M \in Y_3 | p_{1,2}, q_{1,2} \in M\}$ ,  $Y_{3,2} = \{M \in Y_3 | p_{1,1}, q_{1,1} \in M\}, Y_{3,3} = \{M \in Y_3 | e_{1,1}, f_{1,1} \in M\}, Y_{3,4} = \{M \in Y_3 | p_{1,2} \in M, q_{1,2} \notin M\}, Y_{3,5} = \{M \in Y_3 | p_{1,2} \notin M, q_{1,2} \in M\}$ . If  $M \in Y_{3,1}$ , then the four hexagons  $h_{1,2}, h_{2,1}, s_{2,1}, s_{2,2}$  form a triphenylene whose perimeter T is an M-alternating cycle, and  $\{s_{1,1}, s_{1,2}, h_{2,1}, T\}$  is a non-crossing compatible M-alternating set. By Lemma 2.6,  $\{s_{1,1}, s_{1,2}, h_{2,1}, T\} \cup \mathcal{A}'$  is a maximum non-crossing compatible M-alternating set of  $H_n$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $af(H_n, M) = 4 + af(H_{n-2}, M_2)$ , which implies that  $\sum_{M \in Y_3} x^{af(H_n,M)} = x^4 A f(H_{n-2}, x)$ . If  $M \in Y_{3,2}$ , then  $\{s_{1,1}, s_{1,2}, h_{1,1}, h_{2,1}\} \cup \mathcal{A}'$  is a maximum non-crossing compatible M-alternating set of  $H_n$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $af(H_{n-2}, M_2)$ , so  $\sum_{M \in Y_{3,2}} x^{af(H_n,M)} = x^4 A f(H_{n-2}, x)$ . If  $M \in Y_{3,3}$ , then  $\{s_{1,1}, s_{1,2}, h_{2,1}\} \cup \mathcal{A}'$  is a maximum non-crossing compatible M-alternating set of  $H_n$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $af(H_{n-2}, M_2)$ , so

mum non-crossing compatible *M*-alternating set of  $H_n$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $af(H_n, M) = 2 + af(H_{n-2}, M_2)$ , we have  $\sum_{M \in Y_{3,3}} x^{af(H_n,M)} = x^2 Af(H_{n-2}, x)$ . If  $M \in Y_{3,4}$  or  $M \in Y_{3,5}$ , then  $\{s_{1,1}, s_{1,2}, h_{2,1}\} \cup \mathcal{A}'$  is a maximum non-crossing compatible *M*-alternating set of  $H_n$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $af(H_n, M) = 3 + af(H_{n-2}, M_2)$ , thus  $\sum_{M \in Y_{3,4}} x^{af(H_n,M)} + \sum_{M \in Y_{3,5}} x^{af(H_n,M)} = 2x^3 Af(H_{n-2}, x)$ . Finally, we have

$$\sum_{M \in Y_3} x^{af(H_n,M)} = \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{M \in Y_{3,j}} x^{af(H_n,M)} = (2x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2) Af(H_{n-2}, x).$$
(13)

Subcase 2.2. If  $M \in Y_4$ , then the common vertical edge d of  $h_{1,2}$  and  $h_{2,1}$  belongs to M, and the restrictions  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  of M on the leftmost pyrene fragment  $H_1$  and the rightmost subsystem  $H_{n-1}$  are perfect matchings of  $H_1$  and  $H_{n-1}$  respectively (see Fig. 1(a)). We divide  $\mathcal{M}(H_1)$  in two subsets:  $\mathcal{M}_d(H_1) = \{M_1 \in \mathcal{M}(H_1) | d \in M_1\}, \mathcal{M}_d(H_1) =$  $\{M_1 \in \mathcal{M}(H_1) | d \notin M_1\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{d}}(H_1)$  contains only one perfect matching  $M'_1$  of  $H_1$ , and  $h_{1,2}$  is the unique  $M'_1$ -alternating hexagon in  $H_1$ , so  $af(H_1, M'_1) = 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{M_1 \in \mathcal{M}_d(H_1)} x^{af(H_1,M_1)} = \sum_{M_1 \in \mathcal{M}(H_1)} x^{af(H_1,M_1)} - \sum_{M'_1 \in \mathcal{M}_d(H_1)} x^{af(H_1,M'_1)}$$
$$= Af(H_1,x) - x = 2x^3 + 2x^2 + x .$$
(14)

We also divide  $\mathcal{M}(H_{n-1})$  in two subsets:  $\mathcal{M}_d(H_{n-1}) = \{M_2 \in \mathcal{M}(H_{n-1}) | d \in M_2\}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{d}}(H_{n-1}) = \{M_2 \in \mathcal{M}(H_{n-1}) | d \notin M_2\}$ . Suppose  $M_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{d}}(H_{n-1})$ , then  $e_{2,1}, f_{2,1} \in M_2$ and  $h_{2,1}$  is an  $M_2$ -alternating hexagon, and the restriction  $M'_2$  of  $M_2$  on the rightmost subsystem  $H_{n-2}$  is a perfect matching of  $H_{n-2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be a maximum non-crossing compatible  $M'_2$ -alternating set of  $H_{n-2}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}' \cup \{h_{2,1}\}$  is a maximum non-crossing compatible  $M_2$ -alternating set of  $H_{n-1}$ . Thus  $af(H_{n-1,M_2}) = 1 + af(H_{n-2}, M'_2)$ , we have

$$\sum_{M_{2}\in\mathcal{M}_{d}(H_{n-1})} x^{af(H_{n-1},M_{2})} = \sum_{M_{2}\in\mathcal{M}(H_{n-1})} x^{af(H_{n-1},M_{2})} - \sum_{M_{2}\in\mathcal{M}_{d}(H_{n-1})} x^{af(H_{n-1},M_{2})}$$
$$= Af(H_{n-1},x) - \sum_{M_{2}'\in\mathcal{M}(H_{n-2})} x^{1+af(H_{n-2},M_{2}')}$$
$$= Af(H_{n-1},x) - xAf(H_{n-2},x).$$
(15)

Recall that d is the common edge of  $h_{1,2}$  and  $h_{2,1}$ , for any  $M \in Y_4$ , then  $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ , where  $M_1$  is a perfect matching of the first pyrene fragment  $H_1$  and  $M_2$  is a perfect matching of the rightmost subsystem  $H_{n-1}$ , and  $\{d\} = M_1 \cap M_2$ . By Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.6, we have  $af(H_n, M) = af(H_1, M_1) + af(H_{n-1}, M_2)$ . According to Eqs. (14) and (15), we have

$$\sum_{M \in Y_4} x^{af(H_n,M)} = \sum_{M_1 \in \mathcal{M}_d(H_1), M_2 \in \mathcal{M}_d(H_{n-1})} x^{af(H_1,M_1) + af(H_{n-1},M_2)} = \left(\sum_{M_1 \in \mathcal{M}_d(H_1)} x^{af(H_1,M_1)}\right) \left(\sum_{M_2 \in \mathcal{M}_d(H_{n-1})} x^{af(H_{n-1},M_2)}\right) = (2x^3 + 2x^2 + x)(Af(H_{n-1},x) - xAf(H_{n-2},x)) = (2x^3 + 2x^2 + x)Af(H_{n-1},x) - (2x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2)Af(H_{n-2},x).$$
(16)

By Eqs. (11), (12), (13) and (16), we obtain a recursive relation as below:

$$Af(H_n, x) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}(H_n)} x^{af(H_n, M)}$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{M \in Y_1} x^{af(H_n, M)} + \sum_{M \in Y_2} x^{af(H_n, M)} + \sum_{M \in Y_3} x^{af(H_n, M)} + \sum_{M \in Y_4} x^{af(H_n, M)}$$
  
= 
$$(2x^3 + 2x^2 + x)Af(H_{n-1}, x) + (x^4 - x^3)Af(H_{n-2}, x) + xAf(G_{n-1}, x).$$
(17)

Similar as above, we can prove the following recursive formula for the auxiliary graph  $G_n$  (see Fig. 1(b)),

$$Af(G_n, x) = (x^3 + 3x^2)Af(H_{n-1}, x) + (x^4 - x^3)Af(H_{n-2}, x) + xAf(G_{n-1}, x).$$
(18)

Eq. (17) subtracts Eq. (18), we have

$$Af(G_n, x) = Af(H_n, x) - (x^3 - x^2 + x)Af(H_{n-1}, x),$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$Af(G_{n-1}, x) = Af(H_{n-1}, x) - (x^3 - x^2 + x)Af(H_{n-2}, x).$$

Substituting this expression into Eq. (17), we can obtain the Eq. (10), the proof is completed.  $\hfill\blacksquare$ 

By theorem 4.1, we can obtain an explicit expression as below.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $H_n$  be the pyrene system with n pyrene fragments. Then

$$Af(H_n, x) = x^n \sum_{l=0}^{2n} \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{l+2n}{4}\rceil}^n \sum_{j=\lceil \frac{l}{2}\rceil}^l (-1)^{n-i} 2^{2i-n} \binom{i}{2i-n} \binom{2i-n}{j} \binom{j}{l-j} x^l.$$
(19)

*Proof.* Let  $A_n := Af(H_n, x)$ , then the generating function of sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n t^n = 1 + (2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x)t + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n t^n \\ &= 1 + (2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x)t + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ((2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x)A_{n-1} - x^2A_{n-2})t^n \\ &= 1 + (2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x)t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n t^n - x^2 t^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n t^n \\ &= 1 + (2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x)tG(t) - x^2 t^2 G(t). \end{aligned}$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$\begin{split} G(t) &= \frac{1}{1 - ((2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x)t - x^2t^2)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ((2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x)t - x^2t^2)^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{i}{j} (2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x)^j t^j (-x^2t^2)^{i-j} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=i}^{2i} (-1)^{n-i} 2^{2i-n} \binom{i}{2i-n} (x^2 + x + 1)^{2i-n} x^n t^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil}^n (-1)^{n-i} 2^{2i-n} \binom{i}{2i-n} (x^2 + x + 1)^{2i-n} x^n t^n, \end{split}$$

we have

$$\begin{split} Af(H_n,x) &= x^n \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n (-1)^{n-i} 2^{2i-n} \binom{i}{2i-n} (x^2+x+1)^{2i-n} \\ &= x^n \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n (-1)^{n-i} 2^{2i-n} \binom{i}{2i-n} \sum_{j=0}^{2i-n} \binom{2i-n}{j} x^j \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} x^k \\ &= x^n \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^n (-1)^{n-i} 2^{2i-n} \binom{i}{2i-n} \sum_{j=0}^{2i-n} \sum_{l=j}^{2j} \binom{2i-n}{j} \binom{j}{l-j} x^l \\ &= x^n \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{l+2n}{4} \rceil}^n \sum_{j=\lceil \frac{l}{2} \rceil}^l (-1)^{n-i} 2^{2i-n} \binom{i}{2i-n} \binom{2i-n}{j} \binom{2i-n}{l-j} \binom{j}{l-j} x^l. \end{split}$$

According to Theorem 4.2, the following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $H_n$  be a pyrene system with n pyrene fragments. Then

1.  $af(H_n) = n;$ 2.  $Af(H_n) = 3n;$  3.  $\operatorname{Spec}_{af}(H_n) = [n, 3n].$ 

In the following, we will calculate the sum over the anti-forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of  $H_n$ , and investigate its asymptotic behavior.

**Theorem 4.4.** The sum over the anti-forcing numbers of all perfect matchings of  $H_n$  is

$$\frac{d}{dx}Af(H_n,x)\Big|_{x=1} = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{64}(3-2\sqrt{2})^n + \frac{17-12\sqrt{2}}{16}n(3-2\sqrt{2})^n - \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{64}(3+2\sqrt{2})^n + \frac{17+12\sqrt{2}}{16}n(3+2\sqrt{2})^n.$$
(20)

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.1,

$$\frac{d}{dx}Af(H_n, x) = (6x^2 + 4x + 2)Af(H_{n-1}, x) + (2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x)\frac{d}{dx}Af(H_{n-1}, x) - 2xAf(H_{n-2}, x) - x^2\frac{d}{dx}Af(H_{n-2}, x).$$
(21)

For convenience, let  $\Phi_n := \Phi(H_n)$  and  $AF_n := \frac{d}{dx} Af(H_n, x)|_{x=1}$ , by Eq. (21), we have

$$AF_n = 6AF_{n-1} - AF_{n-2} + 12\Phi_{n-1} - 2\Phi_{n-2}.$$
(22)

By Eq. (5),  $\Phi_n = 6\Phi_{n-1} - \Phi_{n-2}$ , so  $AF_n = 6AF_{n-1} - AF_{n-2} + 2\Phi_n$ , which implies  $2\Phi_n = AF_n - 6AF_{n-1} + AF_{n-2}$ . Therefore  $2\Phi_{n-1} = AF_{n-1} - 6AF_{n-2} + AF_{n-3}$  and  $2\Phi_{n-2} = AF_{n-2} - 6AF_{n-3} + AF_{n-4}$ , substituting them into Eq. (22), we obtain the following recurrence formula

$$AF_n = 12AF_{n-1} - 38AF_{n-2} + 12AF_{n-3} - AF_{n-4}.$$
(23)

Note that recurrence formulas (8) and (23) have the same homogeneous characteristics equation, so the general solution of Eq. (23) is  $AF_n = \lambda_1(3 - 2\sqrt{2})^n + \lambda_2n(3 - 2\sqrt{2})^n + \lambda_3(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^n + \lambda_4n(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^n$ . By the initial values  $AF_5 = 70956$ ,  $AF_6 = 496794$ ,  $AF_7 = 3380640$  and  $AF_8 = 22531256$ , we have  $\lambda_1 = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{64}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{17-12\sqrt{2}}{16}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{64}$  and  $\lambda_4 = \frac{17+12\sqrt{2}}{16}$ , so Eq. (20) holds for  $n \ge 5$ . We can check that Eq. (20) also holds for n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, the proof is completed.

By Eq. (6) and Eq. (20), we can prove the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $H_n$  be a pyrene system with n pyrene fragments. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{AF_n}{n\Phi_n} = 1 + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4}$$

## References

- P. Adams, M. Mahdian, E. S. Mahmoodian, On the forced matching numbers of bipartite graphs, *Discr. Math.* 281 (2004) 1–12.
- [2] P. Afshani, H. Hatami, E. S. Mahmoodian, On the spectrum of the forced matching number of graphs, Australas. J. Comb. 30 (2004) 147–160.
- [3] Z. Che, Z. Chen, Forcing on perfect matchings A survey, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 66 (2011) 93–136.
- [4] Z. Che, Z. Chen, Conjugated circuits and forcing edges, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 69 (2013) 721–731.
- [5] S. J. Cyvin, I. Gutman, Kekulé Structures in Benzenoid Hydrocarbons, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [6] H. Deng, The anti-forcing number of hexagonal chains, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 58 (2007) 675–682.
- [7] H. Deng, The anti-forcing number of double hexagonal chains, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 60 (2008) 183–192.
- [8] K. Deng, H. Zhang, Anti-forcing spectra of perfect matchings of graphs, J. Comb. Optim. 33 (2017) 660–680.
- [9] K. Deng, H. Zhang, Anti-forcing spectrum of any cata-condensed hexagonal system is continuous, *Front. Math. China* 12 (2017) 19–33.
- [10] K. Deng, H. Zhang, Extremal anti-forcing numbers of perfect matchings of graphs. Discr. Appl. Math. 224 (2017) 69–79.
- [11] P. Hansen, M. Zheng, Bonds fixed by fixing bonds, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 34 (1994) 297–304.
- [12] F. Harary, D. Klein, T. Živković, Graphical properties of polyhexes: perfect matching vector and forcing, J. Math. Chem. 6 (1991) 295–306.
- [13] W. He, W. He, P–V matrix and enumeration of Kekuklé structures, Theor. Chim. Acta 75 (1989) 389–400.
- [14] H. K. Wang, H. Lei, Y. Yeh, H. Zhang, Distribution of forcing and anti-forcing numbers of random perfect matchings on hexagonal chains and crowns (preprint, 2015). http://140.109.74.92/hk/?p=873
- [15] X. Jiang, H. Zhang, On forcing matching number of boron–nitrogen fullerene graphs, Discr. Appl. Math. 159 (2011) 1581–1593.
- [16] X. Jiang, H. Zhang, The maximum forcing number of cylindrical grid, toroidal 4-8 lattice and Klein bottle 4-8 lattice. J. Math. Chem. 54 (2016) 18–32.

- [17] D. Klein, M. Randić, Innate degree of freedom of a graph, J. Comput. Chem. 8 (1987) 516–521.
- [18] S. Kleinerman, Bounds on the forcing numbers of bipartite graphs, Discr. Math. 306 (2006) 66–73.
- [19] F. Lam, L. Pachter, Forcing number for stop signs, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 303 (2003) 409–416.
- [20] H. Lei, Y. Yeh, H. Zhang, Anti-forcing numbers of perfect matchings of graphs, Discr. Appl. Math. 202 (2016) 95–105.
- [21] X. Li, Hexagonal systems with forcing single edges, Discr. Appl. Math. 72 (1997) 295–301.
- [22] C. L. Lucchesi, D. H. Younger, A minimax theorem for directed graphs, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 17 (1978) 369–374.
- [23] L. Pachter, P. Kim, Forcing matchings on square grids, Discr. Math. 190 (1998) 287–294.
- [24] M. Randić, D. J. Klein, Kekulé valence structures revisited. Innate degrees of freedom of π-electron couplings, in: N. Trinajstić (Ed.), Mathematical and Computational Concepts in Chemistry, Wiley, New York, 1985, pp. 274–282.
- [25] M. Randić, D. Vukičević, Kekulé structures of fullerene C<sub>70</sub>, Croat. Chem. Acta 79 (2006) 471–481.
- [26] M. E. Riddle, The minimum forcing number for the torus and hypercube, *Discr. Math.* 245 (2002) 283–292.
- [27] L. Shi, H. Zhang, Forcing and anti-forcing numbers of (3,6)-fullerenes, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 76 (2016) 597–614.
- [28] L. Shi, H. Wang, H. Zhang, On the maximum forcing and anti-forcing numbers of (4,6)-fullerenes, *Discr. Appl. Math.* 233 (2017) 187–194.
- [29] L. Shi, H. Zhang, Tight upper bound on the maximum anti-forcing numbers of graphs, Discr. Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 19 (2017) #9.
- [30] D. Vukičević, I. Gutman, M. Randić, On instability of fullerene C<sub>72</sub>, Croat. Chem. Acta 79 (2006) 429–436.
- [31] D. Vukičević, M. Randić, On Kekulé structures of buckminsterfullerene, Chem. Phys. Lett. 401 (2005) 446–450.
- [32] D. Vukičević, N. Trinajstić, On the anti-forcing number of benzenoids, J. Math. Chem. 42 (2007) 575–583.
- [33] D. Vukičević, N. Trinajstić, On the anti-Kekulé number and anti-forcing number of cata-condensed bezenoids, J. Math. Chem. 43 (2008) 719–726.

- [34] H. Wang, D. Ye, H. Zhang, The forcing number of toroidal polyhexes, J. Math. Chem. 43 (2008) 457–475.
- [35] L. Xu, H. Bian, F. Zhang, Maximum forcing number of hexagonal systems, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 70 (2013) 493–500.
- [36] Q. Yang, H. Zhang, Y. Lin, On the anti-forcing number of fullerene graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 74 (2015) 681–700.
- [37] F. Zhang, X. Guo, R. Chen, Z-transformation graphs of perfect matchings of hexagonal systems, *Discr. Math.* 72 (1988) 405–415.
- [38] F. Zhang, X. Li, Hexagonal systems with forcing edges, Discr. Math. 140 (1995) 253–263.
- [39] H. Zhang, K. Deng, Forcing spectrum of a hexagonal system with a forcing edge, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 73 (2015) 457–471.
- [40] H. Zhang, D. Ye, W. C. Shiu, Forcing matching numbers of fullerene graphs, *Discr. Appl. Math.* **158** (2010) 573–582.
- [41] H. Zhang, F. Zhang, Plane elementary bipartite graphs, Discr. Appl. Math. 105 (2000) 291–311.
- [42] H. Zhang, S. Zhao, R. Lin, The forcing polynomial of catacondensed hexagonal systems, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 73 (2015) 473–490.
- [43] H. Zhang, X. Zhou, A maximum resonant set of polyomino graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 36 (2016) 323–337.
- [44] Q. Zhang, H. Bian, E. Vumar, On the anti-Kekulé and anti-forcing number of catacondensed phenylenes, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 65 (2011) 799–806.
- [45] S. Zhao, H. Zhang, Forcing polynomials of benzenoid parallelogram and its related benzenoids, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 284 (2016) 209–218.
- [46] S. Zhao, H. Zhang, Anti-forcing polynomials for benzenoid systems with forcing edges, *Discr. Appl. Math.* 250 (2018) 342–356.
- [47] S. Zhao, H. Zhang, Forcing and anti-forcing polynomials of perfect matchings for some rectangle grids, J. Math. Chem. 57 (2019) 202–225.
- [48] X. Zhou, H. Zhang, Clar sets and maximum forcing numbers of hexagonal systems, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 74 (2015) 161–174.
- [49] X. Zhou, H. Zhang, A minimax result for perfect matchings of a polyomino graph, Discr. Appl. Math. 206 (2016) 165–171.