MATCH

Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry

ISSN 0340 - 6253

Comparing Laplacian Energy and Kirchhoff Index

Kinkar Ch. Das^{1,*}, Ivan Gutman²

¹Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 440-746, Republic of Korea kinkardas2003@gmail.com

²Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, P.O.Box 60, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia gutman@kg.ac.rs

(Received May 28, 2018)

Abstract

Let G be a connected graph of order n and size m with Laplacian eigenvalues $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n = 0$. The Laplacian energy and the Kirchhoff index of G are defined as $LE = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}|$ and $Kf = n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu_i}$, respectively. We show that Kf < LE holds for one class of graphs, and find another class for which Kf > LE.

1 Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a simple connected graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and edge set E(G), where |V(G)| = n, |E(G)| = m. Let A(G) be the (0, 1)-adjacency matrix of G and D(G) be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees. The Laplacian matrix of G is L(G) = D(G) - A(G). Denote by $Spec(G) = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n)$ the spectrum of L(G), i.e., the Laplacian spectrum of G, and recall that $n \ge \mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_n = 0$.

The Laplacian energy of the graph G is defined as [16]

$$LE = LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right|.$$
 (1)

For its basic mathematical properties, including various lower and upper bounds, see [7,8,16,22,24] and especially the most recent works [4,9–11] and the book [15].

^{*}Corresponding author

-420-

Let σ $(1 \le \sigma \le n)$ be the largest positive integer such that $\mu_{\sigma} \ge \frac{2m}{n}$. Then from (1), we have

$$LE(G) = 2S_{\sigma}(G) - \frac{4m\sigma}{n}$$
⁽²⁾

where

$$S_{\sigma}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} \mu_i$$

In the 1990s, Klein and Randić [17] considered the *resistance distance* between two vertices of a (connected) graph, defined as the electric resistance in a network corresponding to that graph, in which the resistance between any two adjacent nodes is unity. Then, in analogy to the classical Wiener index, the sum of resistance distances between all pairs of vertices was considered [1,17] and was named *Kirchhoff index*.

The Kirchhoff index has a very nice connection with Laplacian eigenvalues, namely [14,25]

$$Kf = Kf(G) = n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu_k}.$$

The Kirchhoff index found noteworthy applications in chemistry, as a molecular structure descriptor [1, 5], and many of its mathematical properties have been established [2, 12, 19–21, 23].

Interestingly, until now the relation between the two Laplacian–spectrum based graph invariants LE and Kf did not attract much attention [6,13,18]. In this paper we prove that LE > Kf for some classes of graphs and LE < Kf for some other classes.[†]

2 Main Result

In this section we compare the Laplacian energy and the Kirchhoff index. For this we need the following two auxiliary lemmas:

Lemma 1. [3] Let G be a simple graph with at least one edge. Then $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_{n-1}$ if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Lemma 2. [6] Let G be a graph of order n with m edges. Then $\mu_1(G) \leq m+1$.

[†]The results presented here were originally part of Ref. [6]. After the paper [6] received positive referee reports, based on a suggestion of the Editor, the results on the comparison of LE and Kf were edited out. Namely, the Editor "did not want to promote more papers on this topic", maintaining that there already were too many publications on graph spectra and energy, whereas our results would stimulate the production of more such articles.

For $G \cong K_n$ $(n \ge 2)$, LE(G) = 2(n-1) > n-1 = Kf(G). For $G \cong K_{1,n-1}$ (n > 2), $LE(G) = 2n-4 + \frac{4}{n} < n(n-2) + 1 = Kf(G)$.

Therefore LE(G) and Kf(G) are incomparable on the class of general graphs. On the other hand, we have the following result:

Theorem 1. Let G be a graph obtained by deleting p edges from the complete graph K_n $(n \ge 11)$. If $0 \le p \le n/2$, then Kf(G) < LE(G).

Proof. Let \overline{G} denote the complement of the graph G. Let \overline{m} be the number of edges of \overline{G} . Then $0 \leq \overline{m} = p \leq n/2$.

Let k be the number of connected components of \overline{G} . Let n_i and m_i (i = 1, 2, ..., k) be the number of vertices and edges in the *i*-th connected component of \overline{G} . Then $m_i \ge n_i - 1$, i = 1, 2, ..., k. Thus

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} (n_i - 1) = n - k$$
, that is, $k \ge n - p$.

Since k is the number of connected components of G, it follows that n is a Laplacian eigenvalue of G with multiplicity k - 1, that is, n is a Laplacian eigenvalue of G with multiplicity at least n - p - 1.

By Lemma 2, $\mu_1(\overline{G}) \leq p+1$, that is, $\mu_{n-1}(G) \geq n-p-1 \geq n/2-1$. Using these results, we arrive at

$$Kf(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-p-1} \frac{n}{\mu_i(G)} + \sum_{i=n-p}^{n-1} \frac{n}{\mu_i(G)}$$

< $(n-p-1) + \sum_{i=n-p}^{n-1} \frac{n}{n-p-1} = n-p-1 + \frac{np}{n-p-1}.$

Since $2m + 2\overline{m} = n(n-1)$, we have 2m + 2p = n(n-1). Using this, we get

$$LE(G) = \mu_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right| = \mu_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left| \mu_i - (n-1) + \frac{2p}{n} \right|$$
$$= n + \left(1 + \frac{2p}{n} \right) (n - p - 2) + \sum_{i=n-p}^{n-1} \left| \mu_i - (n-1) + \frac{2p}{n} \right|$$
$$\geq n + \left(1 + \frac{2p}{n} \right) (n - p - 2).$$

Consider the function

$$h(p) = n - 1 + \frac{2p}{n}(n - p - 2) - \frac{np}{n - p - 1}, \ 1 \le p \le n/2.$$

Then

$$h''(p) = -\frac{4}{n} - \frac{2n(n-1)}{(n-p-1)^3} < 0$$

Therefore h(p) is a decreasing function on $1 \le p \le n/2$ and thus

$$h(p) \ge h(n/2) = \frac{n(n-6)}{2(n-2)} - 3 > 0$$
 as $n \ge 11$.

From the above results, it follows LE(G) - Kf(G) > h(p) > 0, that is, Kf(G) < LE(G).

Theorem 2. Let G be a connected graph of order n. If $m \leq n \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{8}}$, then Kf(G) > LE(G).

Proof. Recalling the definition of S_{σ} , observe that

$$S_{\sigma} = \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} \mu_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i = 2m.$$

By (2) and $\sigma \geq 1$, the above result yields

$$LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right| = 2S_{\sigma} - \frac{4m\sigma}{n} \le \frac{4m(n-1)}{n}$$

By the arithmetic–harmonic–mean inequality, for $m \leq n \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{8}}$ we have

$$Kf(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{n}{\mu_i} \ge \frac{n(n-1)^2}{2m}$$

$$\ge \frac{4m}{n}(n-1) \ge LE(G).$$
(3)

By the arithmetic-harmonic-mean inequality, the equality holds in (3) if and only if $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_{n-1}$, that is, if $G \cong K_n$, by Lemma 1. Since $m \le n \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{8}}$, we conclude that Kf(G) > LE(G).

Acknowledgement. The first author was supported by the Sungkyun research fund, Sungkyunkwan University, 2017, and National Research Foundation of the Korean government with grant No. 2017R1D1A1B03028642.

References

- D. Bonchev, A. T. Balaban, X. Liu, D. J. Klein, Molecular cyclicity and centricity of polycyclic graphs. I. Cyclicity based on resistance distances or reciprocal distances, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 50 (1994) 1–20.
- [2] Z. Cinkir, Contraction formulas for the Kirchhoff and Wiener indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 75 (2016) 169–198.
- [3] K. C. Das, A sharp upper bound for the number of spanning trees of a graph, Graphs Comb. 23 (2007) 625–632.
- [4] K. C. Das, E. Fritscher, L. K. Pinheiro, V. Trevisan, Maximum Laplacian energy of unicyclic graphs, *Discr. Appl. Math.* **218** (2017) 71–81.
- [5] K. C. Das, A. D. Güngör, A. S. Çevik, On the Kirchhoff index and the resistance– distance energy of a graph, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 67 (2012) 541–556.
- [6] K. C. Das, I. Gutman, On Laplacian energy, Laplacian-energy-like invariant and Kirchhoff index of graphs, *Lin. Algebra Appl.*, in press.
- [7] K. C. Das, I. Gutman, A. S. Çevik, B. Zhou, On Laplacian energy, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 70 (2013) 689–696.
- [8] K. C. Das, S. A. Mojallal, On Laplacian energy of graphs, Discr. Math. 325 (2014) 52–64.
- [9] K. C. Das, S. A. Mojallal, I. Gutman, On energy and Laplacian energy of bipartite graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 273 (2016) 759–766.
- [10] K. C. Das, S. A. Mojallal, V. Trevisan, Distribution of Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **508** (2016) 48–61.
- [11] K. C. Das, K. Xu, On relation between Kirchhoff index, Laplacian-energy-like invariant and Laplacian energy of graphs, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **39** (2016) 59–75.
- [12] X. Gao, Y. Luo, W. Liu, Resistance distances and the Kirchhoff index in Cayley graphs, Discr. Appl. Math. 159 (2011) 2050–2057.
- [13] I. Gutman, On two Laplacian–spectrum–based graph invariants and their relation. A review, Appl. Comput. Math. 16 (2017) 3–11.
- [14] I. Gutman, B. Mohar, The quasi-Wiener and the Kirchhoff indices coincide, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 36 (1996) 982–985.

- [15] I. Gutman, X. Li (Eds.), Graph Energies Theory and Applications, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2016.
- [16] I. Gutman, B. Zhou, Laplacian energy of a graph, Lin. Algebra Appl. 414 (2006) 29–37.
- [17] D. J. Klein, M. Randić, Resistance distance, J. Math. Chem. 12 (1993) 81–95.
- [18] I. Ž. Milovanović, E. I. Milovanović, E. R. Glogić, M. M. Matejić, On Kirchhoff index, Laplacian energy and their relations, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 81 (2019), this issue.
- [19] J. L. Palacios, Closed-form formulas for Kirchhoff index, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 81 (2001) 135–140.
- [20] J. L. Palacios, Some additional bounds for the Kirchhoff Index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 75 (2016) 365–372.
- [21] J. L. Palacios, Some Inequalities for Laplacian descriptors via majorization, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 77 (2017) 189–194.
- [22] W. So, M. Robbiano, N. M. M. de Abreu, I. Gutman, Application of a theorem by Ky Fan in the theory of graph energy, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **432** (2010) 2163–2169.
- [23] H. P. Zhang, Y. J. Yang, C. W. Li, Kirchhoff index of composite graphs, *Discr. Appl. Math.* 107 (2009) 2918–2927.
- [24] B. Zhou, More on energy and Laplacian energy, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 64 (2010) 75–84.
- [25] H. Y. Zhu, D. J. Klein, I. Lukovits, Extensions of the Wiener number, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 36 (1996) 420–428.