MATCH

Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry

# Energy of Graphs and Remote Graphs in Hypercubes, Rhombellanes and Fullerenes

# Mircea V. Diudea<sup>a\*</sup>, Atena Pîrvan–Moldovan<sup>a</sup>, Raluca Pop<sup>b</sup>, Mihai Medeleanu<sup>c\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Babes-Bolyai University, 400028 Cluj, Romania, diudea@chem.ubbcluj.ro <sup>b</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Medicine and Pharmacy, "Victor Babes" E. Murgu Square 2, 300041 Timisoara, Romania <sup>c</sup>University Politehnica of Timisoara, Faculty of Industrial Chemistry and

Environmental Engineering, C. Telbisz Str. No. 6, 300001, Timisoara, Romania mihai.medeleanu@upt.ro

(Received April 7, 2017)

#### Abstract

Energy of a graph is defined as the sum of absolute values of the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. Remote graphs are the graphs drawn on the remote adjacency matrices, built on the corresponding distance matrix by making unity a chosen distance r and zero the remaining entries. Energy of hypercubes, their remote graphs and hypercube derivatives made on the tetrahedron were computed; results were rationalized and analytical formulas derived. Rhombellanes form a class of multi-shell rhombic polytopes, of which vertices are k-partite. This property facilitated identification of partitions as polyhedra and evaluation of their graph energy. A stabilization energy was calculated for the parent graphs with respect to their independent partitions, by analogy with the quantum computations in molecular graphs. Energy of C<sub>40</sub> fullerenes and their remote graphs was computed and used in a QSPR study to predict the total energy per atom, computed at the Hartree-Fock level of theory. Ability of graph energy to approach the quantum computed energy is discussed in connection with other topological descriptors.

#### **1** Introduction

A graph G(V,E) is a pair of two sets, V and E, V=V(G) being a finite nonempty set and E=E(G) a binary relation defined on V [1]. Any graph can be expressed in terms of pertinent order, real, symmetric matrices, the simplest one being the adjacency A matrix; its entries are either 1 if  $i \neq j$  and  $(i, j) \in E(G)$ , or 0 if i = j or  $(i, j) \notin E(G)$ .

Remote graphs are the graphs drawn on the remote adjacency matrices, built on the corresponding distance matrix by making unity a chosen distance r and zero the remaining entries [2,3]. The half sum of entries in A<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>3</sub> matrices represents the well-known Gordon-Scantlebury [4] or Bertz [5] index and Polarity number [6], respectively. In the above, A<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>3</sub> represent the adjacency at two edge and three edge distance, respectively.

Cartesian product  $G \times H$  of two graphs G and H, is a new graph having the vertex set  $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$ , that is, every vertex of  $G \times H$  is an ordered pair (u,v), where  $u \in V(G)$  and  $v \in V(H)$ ; two distinct vertices (u,v) and (x,y) are adjacent in  $G \times H$  if either: u = x and  $vy \in E(H)$ , or v = y and  $ux \in E(G)$ .

Spectral graph theory is a field where graph theory and matrix theory meet with the Hückel approach of molecular  $\pi$ -energy. It is focused on the set of eigenvalues (and eigenvectors), called the spectrum of a (chosen) graph matrix.

Energy of a graph is defined as the sum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of the chosen matrix [7]. The most studied is the adjacency matrix A and the related characteristic polynomial [8,9]

Hückel molecular orbital (HMO) method [10] is a simple Linear Combination of Atomic Orbitals LCAO for the calculation of energies in  $\pi$ -electron conjugated hydrocarbon systems, such as ethylene, butadiene or benzene. It is the theoretical basis for the Hückel's rule.

The energies of HMOs are just the eigenvalues of A(G), or the roots of the characteristic polynomial:

$$Ch(G, \lambda) = \det[\lambda I - A] = \sum_{k \neq k} (G) \lambda^{n-k}$$

The solutions  $\lambda_i$ : i = 1, 2, ..., n of  $Ch(G, \lambda)$  represent the spectrum of the  $\pi$ - MO energies:

$$E_i = \alpha + \beta \lambda_i$$

Taking  $\alpha = 0$  (the reference energy), and  $\beta = 1$  (the unit energy), the energy of  $i^{\text{th}}$  MO

$$E_i = \lambda_i$$

In Hückel theory, the *total*  $\pi$ -*electron energy*  $E_{\pi}$  (*i.e.*, the sum of energies of orbitals populated in the ground state) is calculated as:

$$E_{\pi} = \sum g_i \lambda_i$$

where  $g_i$  is the occupation number of the *i*<sup>th</sup> MO while  $\lambda_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n are the eigenvalues of the molecular graph.

Spectrum of a graph, Spec(*G*), is the set of all roots  $\lambda_i$ , i = 1, 2,.., n of its characteristic polynomial or the eigenvalues of *A*(*G*); they can be obtained, *e.g.*, by a diagonalization procedure. From the above, it is clear that the Hückel theory has a topological basis; HMOs are called sometimes "topological orbitals".

After the introductory part, the section 2 gives a summary information on the energy of hypercubes; the third section speaks about the remote graphs of hypercubes; section 4 introduces the "spongy" hypercubes; in section 5 a new class of rhombic polyhedra is proposed; section 6 deals with the evaluation of  $C_{40}$  fullerene energy by the graph energy; conclusions and references will close this paper.

### 2 Energy of hypercube graphs

Hypercube  $Q_n$  (or *n*-cube) is the graph whose vertex set  $V_n$  consists of 2n *n*-tuples with coordinates 0 or 1, where two vertices are adjacent if their respective vectors differ in exactly one coordinate [1].

The hypercube can be defined recursively:  $Q_1$  is taken  $K_2$  (*i.e.*, the complete graph on two vertices) and, for n > 2,  $Q_n = Q_{n-1} \times K_2$ , the Cartesian product of  $Q_{n-1}$  with  $K_2$  [11]. It can be drawn as a Hasse diagram [12].

Hypercube is a regular polytope in the space of any number of dimensions [13]. The *n*-cube  $\{4,3^{n-2}\}$  (by Schläfli symbols) [14] has as its dual the *n*-orthoplex  $\{3^{n-2},4\}$ . The number of *k*-cubes contained in an *n*-cube  $Q_n(k)$  comes out from the binomial coefficients  $(2k+1)^n$ 

$$Q_n(k) = 2^{n-k} \binom{n}{k}; \quad k = 0, ..., n-1$$

Hypercube is a regular graph of degree *n*, according to Balinski [15] theorem. It is also bipartite, *i.e.*, the vertex set of the graph can be partitioned into two subsets, such that, within each set no vertices are adjacent; it is a vertex-transitive graph.

The adjacency matrix of  $Q_n$  can be written, considering the recursive nature of  $Q_n$  and commutativity of the submatrices, as

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{Q}_n} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}} & \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}} \\ \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}} & \mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $I_{Qn-1}$  is the  $2^{(n-1)} \times 2^{(n-1)}$  identity matrix (corresponding to  $Q_{n-1}$ ) [1]. Consequently, one can write a recursive formula for its *characteristic polynomial*.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A_{Q_{n}} - \lambda I_{Q_{n}}) &= \det((A_{Q_{n-1}} - \lambda I_{Q_{n-1}})^{2} - I_{Q_{n-1}}) \\ &= \det((A_{Q_{n-1}} - \lambda I_{Q_{n-1}}) - I_{Q_{n-1}}) \det((A_{Q_{n-1}} - \lambda I_{Q_{n-1}}) + I_{Q_{n-1}}) \\ &= \det(A_{Q_{n-1}} - (\lambda + 1)I_{Q_{n-1}}) \det(A_{Q_{n-1}} - (\lambda - 1)I_{Q_{n-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

The solutions of  $Q_n$  characteristic polynomial, *i.e.*, eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$ , forming a spectrum, are

$$Spec(Q_n) = \{-n, -n+2, -n+4, ..., n-4, n-2, n\}$$

where *n* is the vertex degree d(v) of  $Q_n$ . According to the Frobenius theorem, the eigenvalues of a graph ranges between  $-d(v)_{max}$  and  $+d(v)_{max}$ .

Since  $Q_n$  is the Cartesian product  $Q_{n-1} \times K_2$ , there is a theorem enabling the calculation of eigenvalues for a Cartesian product graph from the eigenvalues of its factors:

**Theorem 1** [16]: Let G and H be two graphs having the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$  and  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n$ , respectively. The *m*·*n* eigenvalues of the Cartesian product  $G \times H$  are the sums  $\lambda_i + \mu_j$ , for 1 < i < m and 1 < j < n.

As a consequence, the Cartesian product of two hypercubes is another hypercube:  $Q_i \times Q_j = Q_{i+j}$ . The multiplicities for the ordered eigenvalues of  $Q_n$  adjacency matrix are given by the binomial coefficients, as established by the theorem:

**Theorem 2** [17]: For the sequence of n + 1 distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \ldots < \lambda_n$ , of  $Q_n$  spectrum, the multiplicity  $M(\lambda_k)$  is  $\binom{n}{k}$ , where 0 < k < n.

The multiplicities of the eigenvalues are identical to rows of Pascal's triangle. A compact formula for the graph energy of  $Q_n$  energy was given in ref. [18]:

$$E(Q_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n+1}{2} \binom{n+1}{\frac{n+1}{2}}; \text{ for } n = \text{odd} \\ n \binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}}; \text{ for } n = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

# 3 Remote graphs of hypercubes $Q_n$

Remote graphs of hypercubes  $Q_n$  were generated from their remote adjacency matrices  $A_r$ , for n=3 to 8; r takes values from 1 (adjacency at distance 1, the usual adjacency) to n. The vertex degree d equals n [15] in  $Q_n$  and r = 1; for higher r-values, d varies, as shown in Table 1. If  $Q_n$  are regular n-dimensional polytopes, their remote graphs turn to semiregular (as in case r = 2, the objects being n-demicubes) and then to uniform polytopes. n-Demicubes are n-polytopes formed by alternation of n-hypercubes (resulting two copies of the halved n-cube graph). The demicube is identical to the regular tetrahedron; the demitesseract is identical to the regular 16-cell; the demipenteract is semiregular; higher terms are all uniform polytopes (*i.e.*, vertex-transitive). More about this subject the reader can find in refs. [13,19]. The last remote graph (*i.e.*, the graph built on the largest distances in the parent graph) is a collection of P2 disconnected graphs; there are exactly  $2^{(n-1)}$  such disjoint edges. In case n = even and r = -1, the remote graph is a copy of the parent graph, with a mirror "mr" spectrum; Table 1 includes the energy computed for both the parent hypercubes and their remote graphs. Fig. 1 illustrates the Penteract and two of its remote graphs. In Fig. 2 (left), the demicube is illustrated.



п		8		7		6		5		4		3
r	$E_r$	type	$E_r$	type	$E_r$	type	$E_r$	type	$E_r$	type	$E_r$	type
1	560	$Q_8$ mr	280	$Q_7 mr$	120	$Q_6 mr$	60	$Q_5 mr$	24	$Q_4$ mr	12	$Q_3$ mr
2	1008	2×d28	420	2×d21	180	2×d15	80	2×d10	24	2×d6	12	$2 \times d3$
3	1232	d56mr	560	d35mr	160	d20mr	80	d10mr	24	$Q_4$ mr	8	$4 \times e$
4	1120	2×d70	560	2×d35	180	2×d15	60	$2 \times d5$	16	$8 \times e$		
5	1232	d 6mr	420	d21mr	120	$Q_6$ mr	32	16×e				
6	1008	2×d28	280	2×d7	64	$32 \times e$						
7	560	$Q_8$ mr	128	$64 \times e$								
8	256	128×e										
v	256		128		64		32		16		8	

**Table 1.**  $Q_n$  graph energy  $E_r$  of remote graphs ( $A_r$ ; r=1 to n; vertex degree d)



# 4 Spongy Hypercubes

Let us now take the graph G(d,v) of a *d*-connected polyhedron on *v*-vertices and make *n*-times the Cartesian product with an edge; the operation results in a "spongy hypercube"  $G(d,v,Q_{n+1}) = G(d,v) \square^n K_2$  (the square being another symbol for the Cartesian product). On each edge of the original polyhedral graph, a local hypercube  $Q_n$  will evolve; these hypercubes are incident in a hypervertex, according to the original degree, *d*. In a spongy hypercube, the original 2-faces are not be counted. **Conjecture.** [20] The k-facets of a spongy hypercube  $G(d,v,Q_n)$ , built on a 3-polytope with vertices of degree d, are combinatorially counted from the previous rank facets

$$G(d, v, Q_n, k) = (v / n) \left[ d \cdot n - (d - 1)(n - k) \right] \cdot 2^{(n - k - 1)} \cdot \binom{n}{k}; n > 1; k = 0, 1, ... n$$

The above formula represents the "embedding" of the hypercube on any polyhedron of vertex degree d (see the factor in the front of the almost classical hypercube counting), that transforms a cell in a hyper-multi-torus.

The alternating summation of the above counted facets accounts for the genus of the embedded surface:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} f_{k} = \chi(M) = 2(1-g); n > 1; k = 0, 1, ..n; g = f_{2}(G) / 2$$

The "spongy" character of these structures comes from the genus g [1] of the hypersurface. Note that the summation ignores the (hyper) prisms evolved on each  $f_2$  facets of the original cage. Since  $f_2$  facets are not "seen", the dimension/rank [21-23] of spongy structures is counted from the rank of  $Q_n$  plus two: k=n+2.

Since the graph product is associative and commutative, we can write:

$$G(d, v, Q_{n+1}) = G(d, v) \square^n K_2 = G(d, v) \square Q_n = Q_n \square G(d, v)$$

Then, the eigenvalues of the spongy hypercubes can be calculated by summing the eigenvalues of  $Q_n$  and G(d,v), cf. Theorem 1.

Analysis of numerical data obtained for the "spongy"  $TQ_n$  graphs and comparison with the formula obtained by Florkowski [18], enabled us to write the following formula for the graph energy:

$$E(TQ_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n+3}{2} \binom{n+2}{\frac{n+3}{2}}; \text{ for } n = \text{ odd} \\ \frac{n+2}{2} \binom{n+2}{\frac{n+2}{2}}; \text{ for } n = \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

A detailed paper giving the way of finding of a general formula for the energy of  $TQ_n$  will be published elsewhere [24].

For the last remote adjacency  $A_n$ ; n= diameter of G, a very simple formula was found:

$$E_n=3\times 2^n$$

coming out from the fact that the last remote graphs of  $TQ_n$  are (disjoint) 3-cubes, of which number is  $2^{(n-2)}$ . Data in this respect are given in Tables 2 and 3. Fig. 2 illustrates  $TQ_2$ .8 and a remote graph  $TQ_{3r_2}$ .16 (consisting in two interlaced twisted 3-cubes); it is a vertex transitive graph.

**Table 2.**  $TQ_n$  graph energy  $E_r$  at remote graphs ( $A_r$ ; r = 1 to n); vertex degree d; no. disjoint  $Q_3 = 2^{n}(n-2)$ 

A <sub>r</sub>	T	$Q_6$	$TQ_5$		1	$TQ_4$		$TQ_3$		$TQ_2$		$TQ_1$	
	$E_r$	type	$E_r$	type	$E_r$	type	$E_r$	type	$E_r$	type	$E_r$	type	
$A_1$	280	d=8	140	d=7	60	d=6	30	d=5	12	d=4	6	d=3	
$A_2$	450	d=25	204	d=18	72	d=12	32	d=7	12	$1Q_{3}$			
A <sub>3</sub>	480	d = 40	208	d=22	72	d=10	24	$2Q_3$					
$A_4$	510	d=35	168	d=13	48	$4Q_{3}$							
$A_5$	360	d = 16	96	$8Q_3$									
$A_6$	192	$16Q_{3}$											
v	120		64		32		16		8		4		

**Table 3.** Energy of the last remote graphs (disjoint  $Q_3$ ) of  $TQ_n$  (cf.  $A_r$ ; r = diam; m=multiplicity);  $E_n=3\times 2^n$ .

$TQ_n$	$TQ_6$	$TQ_5$	$TQ_4$	$TQ_3$	$TQ_2$	$TQ_1$
v	128	64	32	16	8	4
λ	3	3	3	3	3	3
	1	1	1	1	1	-1
	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
	-3	-3	-3	-3	-3	-1
m	16	8	4	2	1	2^(n-2)
	48	24	12	6	3	3×2^(n-2)
	48	24	12	6	3	3×2^(n-2)
	16	8	4	2	1	2^(n-2)
$E_n$	192	96	48	24	12	

# **5** Rhombellanes

Rhombic polyhedra [25,26] represent aesthetic appeal objects, of mathematical interest. The best known is the Triacontahedron, a dual of the Archimedean Icosidodecahedron, denoted here Rh<sub>30</sub>.32 (Fig. 3); the subscript is the number of rhombic faces while the last number counts the vertices in the graph.

A new class of multi-shell rhombic polytopes, called Rhombellanes, was proposed by Diudea [20]; they are tessellated by [1,1,1] Propellane, an organic molecule, first synthesized by Wiberg and Walker [27].





Figure 4. Rhombellanes of the 1st generation.

Rhombellanes are built up by a procedure, we called "rhombellation", achieved as follows. Join by a new point the vertices lying opposite diagonal in each rhomb of a Rh-cage to get rbl<sub>1</sub> generation (possible A and B isomers, as there are two diagonals – see Fig. 4). In a second step, put a new point opposite to a vertex of degree higher than 2 and join the new point with the vertices of d = 2 surrounding that vertex of d > 2, thus local Rh-cells being formed in the new structures rbl<sub>2</sub> (of generation 2 –see Fig. 5). The process can continue, in this way new shells/ generations being added to the parent object Since the two diagonals may be topologically different, each generation may consist of two isomers (denoted here as A and B, respectively).

All the rings in rhombellanes are rhombs. As a general property, all the vertex classes represent non-connected sets, thus the chromatic number equals the number of vertex classes. This property facilitates identification of vertex partitions as polyhedra and evaluation of their graph energy. A "binding" energy  $E_{bind}$  (in Beta units) can be calculated (see Table 4) for the parent graphs with respect to their independent partitions (*i.e.*, energy of composition,  $E_{compos}$ ), by analogy with the quantum computations in molecular graphs.





Figure 5. Rhombellanes of the 2<sup>nd</sup> (top) and 3<sup>rd</sup> (bottom) generation.

	Cluster	Composition	v	Е	E compos	$E_{\ bind}$	$\lambda$ max	$\lambda \min$
1	Icosahedron		12	23.416			5	-2.236
2	Dodecahedron		20	29.416			3	-2.236
3	Icosidodecahedron		30	55.416			4	-2
4	Rh <sub>30</sub>	1+2	32	47.896	52.832	-4.936	3.873	-3.873
5	rbl1A(Rh30).62	1+2+3	62	71.872	108.248	-36.376	5	-5
6	rbl1B(Rh30).62	1+2+3	62	87.314	108.248	-20.934	4.583	-4.583
7	rbl2A(Rh30).82	1+2x2+3	82	129.43	137.664	-8.234	5.269	-5.269
8	rbl2B(Rh30).74	2x1+2+3	74	132.828	131.664	1.164	5	-5
9	rbl3A(Rh30).94	2x1+2x2+3	94	154.906	161.08	-6.174	5.568	-5.568
10	rbl3B(Rh30).94	2x1+2x2+3	94	162.292	161.08	1.212	5.349	-5.349

Table 4. Rhombellanes related to Rh<sub>30</sub>.32; Graph energy; Ebind = E - Ecompos.

### 6 Graph energy in fullerene energy evaluation

Ordering of C<sub>40</sub> fullerene graphs according to the molecular total energy was reported earlier, with respect to semiempirical [28] or higher theoretical level [29]. Pentagon fusion (calculated as the number of fused pentagonal faces  $n_p$ ) was found the major destabilizing factor in the small classical fullerenes. The maximum value occurs for the hemidodecahedral capped isomer 40:1, and the minimum for the two isomers 40: 38 and 40: 39 (see Table 5). The parameter  $n_p$  represents just the coefficient in Omega polynomial [30] of the term at exponent unity.



Figure 6. Plots of total energy/atom (in au - left) and strain energy/atom (in kcal/mol - right) vs the number of fused pentagons  $n_p$ .

The linear dependency of Hartree-Fock (6-31G\*) energies of C<sub>40</sub> isomers (in au - Table 5) vs. the parameter  $n_p$  was plotted in Figure 6 (left); it explains about 90 % of energy variance. A better dependence on  $n_p$  was shown by the strain energy (POAV1) [31,32], Figure 6 (right).

QSPR models can be derived in a variety of combinations; it is not the aim of this study to perform the best model to describe the quantum energy of C<sub>40</sub>. Our goal was to show that the graph energy of these fullerenes (and others) can be used to evaluate the quantum calculated molecular energy.

**Table 5.** Total energy  $E_{tot}$  (HF(6-31G\*; au)), strain (POAV1; kcal/mol) and topological parameters of  $C_{40}$  fullerenes (No. of fused pentagons  $n_p$ ; Euclidean distance D3D; topological distance D; centrality index C(Sh(D)); energy of the parent graph  $E_1$ ; sum of remote graphs energy per remote distance  $E_{rsq}$ ; last eigenvalue LEig and the polarity number D3)

C <sub>40</sub>	Sym	E <sub>tot</sub> /atom	Strain /atom	$n_p$	D3D	D	C(Sh(D))	$E_1$	$E_r$	$E_{rsq}$	LEig	$D_3$
		-		20		303		61.6	193.5			29.5
1	$D_{5d}$	37.83	15.72	20	3178	5	3.89	1	8	105.08	-2.80	1
		-		16		300		61.7	193.8			30.6
2	$C_2$	37.84	14.59	10	3128	8	4.02	5	0	105.27	-2.73	3
		-		19		301		61.5	192.9			30.4
3	$D_2$	37.84	15.12	10	3146	8	4.05	7	4	105.00	-2.76	3
		-		15		300		61.6	193.6			30.7
4	$C_{I}$	37.84	14.31	15	3111	1	3.59	8	9	105.24	-2.68	8
		-		14		299		61.8	193.6			30.8
5	$C_s$	37.84	14.11	14	3108	7	3.60	2	5	105.44	-2.69	5
		-		14		299		61.7	193.3			30.9
6	$C_{I}$	37.84	14.06	14	3102	9	4.10	4	1	105.21	-2.67	7
		-		15		299		61.7	193.4			30.9
7	$C_s$	37.84	14.33	15	3102	8	3.57	9	8	105.29	-2.68	7
		-		15		300		61.6	191.3			30.7
8	$C_{2v}$	37.84	14.63	15	3107	2	4.13	4	9	104.65	-2.67	0
		-		13		299		61.6	193.5			30.7
9	$C_2$	37.84	13.77	15	3092	5	3.54	3	6	105.10	-2.66	8
		-		13		299		61.7	193.8			31.0
10	$C_I$	37.84	13.73	15	3092	5	3.57	6	8	105.37	-2.69	0
		-		15		299		61.6	193.3			30.8
11	$C_2$	37.84	14.25	15	3102	7	3.60	0	4	105.07	-2.71	2
		-		13		299		61.7	194.9			30.9
12	$C_{I}$	37.84	13.68	15	3090	7	3.47	4	2	105.48	-2.67	8
		-		12		299		61.8	194.4			31.3
13	$C_s$	37.84	13.75	15	3092	8	4.04	0	8	105.46	-2.66	4
		-		12		299		61.8	195.3			31.0
14	$C_s$	37.84	13.56	12	3082	5	3.43	2	2	105.60	-2.67	1
		-		12		300		61.7	195.3			31.1
15	$C_2$	37.84	13.49	12	3084	1	3.36	3	9	105.45	-2.69	1
		-		13		300		61.6	194.6			30.9
16	$C_2$	37.84	13.69	15	3083	1	3.39	2	0	105.16	-2.70	2
		-		13		300		61.7	195.2			30.9
17	$C_I$	37.84	13.64	15	3082	0	3.38	0	7	105.44	-2.67	3
		-		14		299		61.6	194.9			31.1
18	$C_2$	37.84	13.94	17	3092	9	3.38	9	0	105.39	-2.70	7
		-		13		299		61.7	195.2			31.1
19	$C_2$	37.84	13.90	15	3082	8	3.45	9	4	105.55	-2.69	6

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		10		300		62.0	196.3			31.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	$C_{3v}$	37.84	13.66	12	3087	0	3.37	1	1	105.97	-2.69	3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		10		299		61.8	194.2			31.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	$C_2$	37.84	13.55	12	3087	2	3.57	3	3	105.54	-2.68	4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		10		299		61.9	195.4			31.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	$C_{I}$	37.84	13.46	12	3086	5	3.48	3	6	105.82	-2.67	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		12		299		61.7	194.4			31.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	$C_2$	37.84	13.77	15	3092	3	3.55	4	7	105.44	-2.67	8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		11		299		61.8	195.8			31.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	$C_s$	37.84	13.26	11	3078	4	3.43	4	2	105.75	-2.63	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		12		299		61.7	195.3			31.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	$C_2$	37.84	13.48	12	3083	6	3.40	6	4	105.61	-2.68	5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		11		299		61.7	195.9			31.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	$C_I$	37.84	13.21	11	3077	6	3.37	2	7	105.66	-2.64	1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		12		299		61.8	196.0			31.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27	$C_2$	37.84	13.45	12	3079	6	3.43	3	7	105.84	-2.66	8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		12		299		61.7	194.5			31.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28	$C_s$	37.84	13.46	12	3086	3	3.44	1	2	105.41	-2.66	9
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		11		299		61.7	195.3			31.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29	$C_2$	37.84	13.21		3074	3	3.40	5	6	105.55	-2.65	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		12		299		61.8	195.6			31.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30	$C_3$	37.84	13.54		3077	4	3.43	5	5	105.72	-2.67	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		~	-		11		299		61.7	195.3			30.9
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	$C_s$	37.84	13.07		3074	5	3.39	3	2	105.50	-2.63	3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		14		300		61.8	195.9			31.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32	$D_2$	37.84	13.96		3095	4	3.37	1	7	105.64	-2.70	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	n	-	14.00	14	2005	300	2 70	61.8	195.1	105.46		31.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33	$D_{2h}$	37.84	14.33		3095	200	3.79	(17	105.9	105.46	-2.70	21.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	C	27.04	12.20	12	2002	299	2 27	01.7	195.8	105 71	2.00	31.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	54	$C_I$	37.84	15.59		3082	200	3.37	617	106.0	105.71	-2.00	21.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	C	27.04	12.27	11	2079	299	2.26	01.7	190.0	105 60	2 65	51.2
$36 C_2 37.84 13.12 $ <sup>11</sup> $3076 7 3.37 8 9 105.80 -2.64 9$	55	$C_2$	57.64	15.27		3078	200	5.50	617	106.2	105.09	-2.03	20.0
$50$ $C_2$ $57.04$ $15.12$ $5070$ 7 $5.57$ $6$ $7$ $105.00$ $-2.04$ $7$	26	C.	27.84	12 12	11	2076	299	2 27	01.7	190.5	105.80	2.64	50.9
	30	$C_2$	57.04	13.12		3070	200	5.57	617	105 4	105.80	-2.04	21.1
37 C $37.84$ $13.02$ $11$ $3078$ 5 $3.38$ $1$ 7 $105.57$ 2.64 0	37	C.	37.84	13.02	11	3078	5	3 38	1	195.4	105 57	2.64	51.1
200 61 6 105 6 $200$	51	$C_{2v}$	57.04	15.02		3078	200	5.50	61.6	105.6	105.57	-2.04	30.8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38	$D_2$	37.84	12.83	10	3071	299 4	3 37	01.0	195.0	105 48	-2 63	50.8
	50	$D_2$	57.04	12.05		5071	299	5.57	61.5	193.9	105.40	-2.05	31.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39	Det	37.84	13.00	10	3077	0	3 38	8	1)J.J. )	105 16	-2 58	51.1
-300 $618$ 1954 $308$	57	<b>1</b> 25a	57.04	15.00		5011	300	5.50	61.8	195 4	105.10	-2.50	30.8
$40  T_d  37.84  13.17  {}^{12}  3075  0  3.40  2  6  105.61  -2.60  6$	40	$T_d$	37.84	13.17	12	3075	0	3.40	2	6	105.61	-2.60	6

Table 6. Best models in describing total energy  $E_{\mbox{\scriptsize tot}}/\mbox{atom}.$ 

$\mathbf{X}_1$	$X_2$	X <sub>3</sub>	$\mathbb{R}^2$	St error
$n_p$			0.900	0.000662
SD <sub>E/atom</sub>			0.849	0.000813
LEig			0.780	0.000981
D3D			0.777	0.000987
D			0.634	0.001266
C(Shell(D))			0.387	0.001638
$E_r$			0.309	0.001739
$E_{rsq}$			0.259	0.001801
$E_1$			0.026	0.002065

$n_p$	$E_1$		0.916	0.000616
	$D_3$		0.915	0.000617
	LEig		0.915	0.000617
	$E_{rsq}$		0.907	0.000645
	$E_r$		0.906	0.000650
$n_p$	$E_1$	LEig	0.924	0.000591
		$D_3$	0.921	0.000605
		C(Sh(D))	0.918	0.000614
SD <sub>E/atom</sub>	LEig		0.897	0.000680
	D3D		0.882	0.000727
	C(Sh(D))		0.880	0.000735
	$E_1$		0.862	0.000787
	$E_r$		0.853	0.000814
SD <sub>E/atom</sub>	LEig	C(Sh(D))	0.918	0.000615
		D3D	0.907	0.000655
		$E_r$	0.907	0.000656
		$E_1$	0.902	0.000673
		$E_{rsq}$	0.900	0.000678

$\mathbf{X}_1$	$X_2$	$\mathbf{X}_3$	$\mathbb{R}^2$	St error
$n_p$			0.965	0.112
D3D			0.879	0.209
SD <sub>Strain</sub>			0.757	0.296
LEig			0.750	0.300
D (distance)			0.654	0.353
C(Sh(D))			0.536	0.409
$n_p$	C(Sh(D))		0.970	0.106
	$E_1$		0.968	0.109
	$D_3$		0.968	0.110
	$E_r$		0.967	0.110
	LEig		0.966	0.112
	D3D		0.965	0.113
$n_p$	C(Sh(D))	LEig	0.973	0.102
		$E_1$	0.972	0.102
		D <sub>3</sub>	0.972	0.103
D3D	LEig		0.905	0.187
	$D_3$		0.891	0.200
	$E_r$		0.890	0.202
	$E_{rsq}$		0.887	0.204
	$\mathrm{SD}_{\mathrm{Strain}}$		0.885	0.2064
	$E_1$		0.884	0.2068
D3D	LEig	$E_r$	0.934	0.158
		$E_{rsa}$	0.926	0.168

Tables 6 and 7 list several combinations, including the energy of graphs and remote graphs, with and without the  $n_p$  parameter. A mention deserves the D3D descriptor as a steric descriptor that includes the  $n_p$  parameter in a hidden manner. A second mention is addressed to SD<sub>E</sub> and SD<sub>Strain</sub>, representing the "sum descriptors" computed as linear combinations of local descriptors in the "hypermolecule" algorithm developed by TOPO Group Cluj. This descriptor together with the last eigenvalue LEig and the other eigenvalues of graphs and/or remote graphs can satisfactory predict the quantum computed molecular energies of C<sub>40</sub> fullerenes.

# 7 Conclusions

In this work, the adjacency matrix eigenvalues and graph energies were computed on hypercubes, spongy hypercubes, rhombellanes and the set of  $C_{40}$  fullerenes.

Data obtained for the "spongy"  $TQ_n$  graphs enabled us to write an analytical formula for calculating the graph energy of this class of graphs. The remote graphs, derived on the remote adjacency matrices of spongy hypercubes, deserve more exploring to find eventual hidden distance-based relations with the parent graphs.

Rhombellanes represent a new class of rhombic polytopes. In rhombellanes, a binding energy was calculated with respect to the vertex class partitions representing polyhedral cells.

Total energy (HF(6-31G\*) and strain (POAV1) of the set of C40 fullerene was not particularly well described by the values of graph energy or remote graph energy. A better description was done by a topological parameter counting the fused pentagons within a fullerene isomer, eventually associated with other descriptors developed at Topo Group Cluj [33].

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation, CCCDI – UEFISCDI, project number 8/2015, acronym GEMNS (under the frame of the ERA-NET EuroNanoMed II European Innovative Research and Technological Development Projects in Nanomedicine).

# References

- [1] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison–Wesely, Reading, 1969.
- [2] P. E. John, M. V. Diudea, The second distance matrix of the graph and its characteristic polynomial, *Carpath. J. Math.* 20 (2004) 235–239.
- [3] M. Rafailă, M. Medeleanu, C. Davidescu, M. V. Diudea, Laplacian and modified Laplacian matrices for quantification of chemical structures. *Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Chem.* 58 (2013) 51–61.
- [4] M. Gordon, G. R. Scantlebury, Nonrandom polycondensation: statistical theory of the substitution effect, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* 60 (1964) 604–621.
- [5] S. H. Bertz, Branching in graphs and molecules, *Discr. Appl. Math.* 19 (1988) 65–83.
- [6] H. Wiener, Structural determination of paraffin boiling points, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 69 (1947) 17–20.
- [7] I. Gutman, The energy of graph, Ber. Math. Statist. Sekt. Forschungsz. Graz 103 (1978) 1–22.
- [8] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs, Acad. Press, New York, 1980.
- [9] X. Li, Y. Shi, I. Gutman, Graph Energy, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [10] E. Hückel, Quantentheoretische Beiträge zum Benzolproblem. I. Die Elektronenkonfiguration des Benzols und verwandter Verbindungen, Z. Phys. 70 (1931) 204–286.
- [11] G. Chartrand, P. Zhang, Introduction to Graph Theory, McGraw-Hill, Boston, 2005.
- [12] K. A. Baker, P. Fishburn, F. S. Roberts, Partial orders of dimension 2, *Networks* 2 (1971) 11–28.
- [13] H. S. M. Coxeter, Regular Polytopes, Dover, New York, 1973.
- [14] L. Schläfli, Theorie der vielfachen Kontinuität Zürcher und Furrer, Zürich (Reprinted in: Ludwig Schläfli, 1814–1895, Gesammelte Mathematische Abhandlungen, Band 1, 167–387, Verlag Birkhäuser, Basel, 1950.
- [15] M. L. Balinski, On the graph structure of convex polyhedra in n-space, *Pacific J. Math.* 11 (1961) 431–434.

- [16] F. Harary, J. Hayes, H. Wu, A survey of the theory of hypercube graphs, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 15 (1988) 277–289.
- [17] M. Cvetković, Spectrum of the graph of *n*-tuples, *Pub. Electrotech. Fac., Univ. Belgrade* 288 (1969) 91–95.
- [18] S. F. Florkowski, Spectral graph theory of the hypercube; thesis, Naval postgraduate school, Monterey, California, http://hdl.handle.net/10945/3852, (2008–2012).
- [19] T. Gosset, On the Regular and Semi-Regular Figures in Space of n Dimensions, Messenger Mathematics, Macmillan, 1900.
- [20] M. V. Diudea, Multi-Shell Polyhedral Clusters, Springer, 2018.
- [21] E. Schulte, Regular incidence-polytopes with Euclidean or toroidal faces and vertexfigures, J. Comb. Theory A 40 (1985) 305–330.
- [22] E. Schulte, Symmetry of Polytopes and Polyhedra. Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry, Goodman & O'Rourke, 2004.
- [23] E. Schulte, Polyhedra, complexes, nets and symmetry, *Acta Cryst.* A70 (2014) 203–216.
- [24] M. Saheli, M. V. Diudea, Energy of *TQ<sub>n</sub>*, (2017), *in preparation*.
- [25] I. Hafner, T. Zitko, Introduction to golden rhombic polyhedral, *Vis. Math.* 4 (2002a) #2(3).
- [26] I. Hafner, T. Zitko, Relations among rhombic, Platonic and Archimedean solids, *Vis. Math.* 4 (2002b) #2(4).
- [27] K. B. Wiberg, F. H. Walker, [1.1.1]Propellane, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104 (1982) 5239– 5240.
- [28] M. Dinca, S. Ciger, M. Stefu, F. Gherman, K. Miklos, C.L. Nagy, O. Ursu, M.V. Diudea, Stability prediction in small fullerenes, *Carpath. J. Math.* 20 (2004) 211–221.
- [29] E. Albertazzi, C. Domene, P. W. Fowler, T. Heine, G. Seifert, C. Van Alsenoy, F. Zerbetto, Pentagon adjacency as a determinant of fullerene stability, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 1 (1999) 2913–2918.
- [30] M. V. Diudea, Omega polynomial, *Carpath. J. Math.* 22 (2006) 43–47.

- [31] R. C. Haddon, Rehybridization and π-orbital overlap in nonplanar conjugated organic molecules: π-Orbital axis vector (POAV) analysis and three-dimensional Hückel molecular orbital (3D-HMO) theory, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109 (1987) 1676–1685.
- [32] R. C. Haddon, Measure of nonplanarity in conjugated organic molecules: Which structurally characterized molecule displays the highest degree of pyramidalization? J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 3385–3389.
- [33] O. Ursu, M. V. Diudea, TOPOCLUJ software program, Babes-Bolyai Univ., Cluj, 2005.