

Comparison between Atom–Bond Connectivity Indices of Graphs

Kinkar Ch. Das¹, Mohanad A. Mohammed^{2,3},
Ivan Gutman⁴, Kamel A. Atan²

¹*Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University,
Suwon 440-746, Republic of Korea
kinkardas2003@gmail.com*

²*Institute for Mathematical Research, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia
mohanadalim@gmail.com , kamel@upm.edu.my*

³*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Computer Science and Mathematics,
University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq*

⁴*Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac,
Kragujevac, Serbia, and
State University of Novi Pazar, Novi Pazar, Serbia
gutman@kg.ac.rs*

(Received August 17, 2015)

Abstract

The atom–bond connectivity index ABC is a much studied degree–based graph invariant with noteworthy applications in chemistry. Few years ago, a new distance–based variant of this index, ABC_{GG} , was introduced. We establish relations between the two ABC -indices. In particular, we determine classes of graph for which ABC is greater than (resp. equal to or less than) ABC_{GG} .

1 Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with finite, simple, undirected, and connected graphs, bearing in mind that all molecular graphs are of this type [21]. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$

such a graph, with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$, where $|V(G)| = n \geq 2$ and $|E(G)| = m \geq 1$. The edge whose end-vertices are v_i and v_j will be denoted by $v_i v_j$.

The degree of the vertex $v_i \in V(G)$ is denoted by d_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. A vertex is said to be pendent if its neighborhood contains exactly one vertex. An edge of a graph is said to be pendent if one of its end-vertices is pendent.

The original atom–bond connectivity index (ABC) was introduced in the 1990s and is defined as [11]:

$$ABC = ABC(G) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{d_i + d_j - 2}{d_i d_j}}. \quad (1)$$

This molecular structure descriptor proved to have outstanding predictive power, especially with regard to thermodynamic properties of saturated hydrocarbons [10, 11, 13, 22, 23]. Its mathematical properties were also extensively investigated, see the recent articles [1, 3–5, 7, 8, 12, 14, 20, 25, 26, 29] and the references cited therein.

Let G be a connected graph and $e = v_i v_j \in E(G)$. The number of vertices of G whose distance to the vertex v_i is smaller than the distance to the vertex v_j is denoted by $n_i = n_i(e|G)$. Analogously, $n_j = n_j(e|G)$ is the number of vertices of G whose distance to the vertex v_j is smaller than to v_i .

Motivated by the success of the original ABC -index, Eq. (1), Graovac and Ghorbani [15] put forward its new variant, defined as

$$ABC_{GG} = ABC_{GG}(G) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{n_i + n_j - 2}{n_i n_j}}. \quad (2)$$

This distance–based variant of the atom–bond connectivity index was until now studied only to a limited extent [6, 15, 27, 28].

Throughout this paper, by K_n , $K_{p,q}$ ($p + q = n$), $K_{1,n-1}$, P_n , and C_n , we denote, respectively, the complete graph, complete bipartite graph, star, path, and cycle on n vertices [2].

If $V(G)$ is the disjoint union of two nonempty sets $V_1(G)$ and $V_2(G)$, such that every vertex in $V_1(G)$ has degree r and every vertex in $V_2(G)$ has degree s , then G is said to be (r, s) -semiregular.

The double star $DS_{p,q}$ ($p + q = n$), is the tree of order n , constructed by joining the central vertices of two stars $K_{1,p-1}$ and $K_{1,q-1}$.

For other undefined notations and terminology from graph theory, the readers are referred to [2].

In this paper, we are concerned with the comparison of the two ABC -indices. In particular, we determine classes of graph for which one of the relations $ABC > ABC_{GG}$, $ABC = ABC_{GG}$, or $ABC < ABC_{GG}$ holds. Directly from the definitions of the two ABC -indices, Eqs. (1) and (2) we obtain the following elementary results:

Example 1.

$$ABC(K_n) = \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{2n-4} \quad \text{and} \quad ABC_{GG}(K_n) = 0$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} ABC(K_n) &= ABC_{GG}(K_n) && \text{for } n = 2, \\ ABC(K_n) &> ABC_{GG}(K_n) && \text{for } n \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.

$$ABC(K_{p,q}) = ABC_{GG}(K_{p,q}) = pq \sqrt{\frac{p+q-2}{pq}}.$$

Example 3. Let $n \geq 3$. Then,

$$ABC(P_n) = \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad ABC_{GG}(P_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{i(n-i)}}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} ABC(P_n) &= ABC_{GG}(P_n) && \text{for } n = 2, 3, \\ ABC(P_n) &< ABC_{GG}(P_n) && \text{for } 4 \leq n \leq 9, \\ ABC(P_n) &> ABC_{GG}(P_n) && \text{for } n \geq 10. \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.

$$ABC(C_n) = \frac{n}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad ABC_{GG}(C_n) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{n-2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{2n}{n-1} \sqrt{n-3} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} ABC(C_n) &= ABC_{GG}(C_n) && \text{for } n = 4, 5, \\ ABC(C_n) &> ABC_{GG}(C_n) && \text{for } n = 3 \text{ and } n \geq 6. \end{aligned}$$

Example 5. For $p \geq 2$, the double star $DS_{p,p}$ has $2p$ vertices,

$$ABC(DS_{p,p}) = 2(p-1) \sqrt{\frac{p-1}{p}} + \frac{\sqrt{2(p-1)}}{p}$$

$$ABC_{GG}(DS_{p,p}) = 2(p-1) \sqrt{\frac{2(p-1)}{2p-1}} + \frac{\sqrt{2(p-1)}}{p}$$

and therefore

$$ABC(DS_{p,p}) < ABC_{GG}(DS_{p,p}).$$

From the above examples we see that all the three cases $ABC < ABC_{GG}$, $ABC = ABC_{GG}$, and $ABC > ABC_{GG}$ may occur. In what follows we show how ABC and ABC_{GG} can be compared in the case of several special classes of graphs.

2 Comparing ABC indices of graphs

Theorem 6. Let G be a connected bipartite (r, s) -semiregular graph. Then

$$ABC(G) \geq ABC_{GG}(G) \tag{3}$$

with equality holding if and only if $G \cong K_{r,s}$ for $r, s \geq 1$.

Proof. If $G \cong K_{r,s}$, then in Example 2 we have seen that the equality holds in (3). Consider therefore the case $G \not\cong K_{r,s}$. Then we have

$$ABC(G) = m \sqrt{\frac{r+s-2}{rs}} \quad \text{and} \quad ABC_{GG}(G) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{r+s+a_i+b_j-2}{(r+a_i)(s+b_j)}}$$

where $n_i = r + a_i$ and $n_j = s + b_j$. Since G is a connected bipartite (r, s) -semiregular graph and $G \not\cong K_{r,s}$, we have $a_i, b_j \geq 1$. Moreover, $r \geq s \geq 2$.

First we have to show that

$$\sqrt{\frac{r+s-2}{rs}} > \sqrt{\frac{r+s+a_i+b_j-2}{(r+a_i)(s+b_j)}} \tag{4}$$

that is,

$$\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r+a_i}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s+b_j}\right) > \frac{2}{rs} - \frac{2}{(r+a_i)(s+b_j)}$$

that is,

$$s a_i (s + b_j - 2) + r b_j (r + a_i - 2) > 2 a_i b_j$$

which is true, because $a_i, b_j \geq 1$ and $r \geq s \geq 2$.

By (4), we have

$$ABC(G) - ABC_{GG}(G) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left[\sqrt{\frac{r+s-2}{rs}} - \sqrt{\frac{r+s+a_i+b_j-2}{(r+a_i)(s+b_j)}} \right] > 0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

A tree is said to be starlike if exactly one of its vertices has degree greater than two. By $S(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ we denote the starlike tree which has a vertex v_1 of degree $k \geq 3$ and which has the property $S(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \setminus \{v_1\} = P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup P_{n_k}$.

This tree has $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k + 1 = n$ vertices and it will be assumed that $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1$.

Lemma 7. For positive integer $n \geq 13$,

$$\sqrt{n-2} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(n-3)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{4(n-4)}} \right] < \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$f(n) = \sqrt{n-2} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(n-3)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{4(n-4)}} \right].$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(n+1) - f(n) &= \sqrt{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(n-2)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{4(n-3)}} \right] \\ &- \sqrt{n-2} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(n-3)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{4(n-4)}} \right] \\ &= \left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \right) + \left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{3(n-2)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3(n-3)}} \right) + \left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{4(n-3)}} - \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{4(n-4)}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)} \left(n-1 + \sqrt{n^2-2n} \right)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(n-2)(n-3)} \left(n-2 + \sqrt{(n-2)^2-1} \right)} \\
 &- \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n-3)(n-4)} \left(\sqrt{n^2-5n+4} + \sqrt{n^2-5n+6} \right)} < 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as

$$\sqrt{(n-3)(n-4)} \left(\sqrt{n^2-5n+4} + \sqrt{n^2-5n+6} \right) < \sqrt{n(n-1)} \left(n-1 + \sqrt{n^2-2n} \right).$$

Therefore

$$f(n+1) < f(n) < f(n-1) < \dots < f(14) < f(13) = \sqrt{11} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} + \frac{1}{6} \right] < \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

□

Theorem 8. For $k \geq 2$,

$$ABC(S(\underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_k)) < ABC_{GG}(S(\underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_k)) \tag{5}$$

$$ABC(S(\underbrace{3, 3, \dots, 3}_k)) < ABC_{GG}(S(\underbrace{3, 3, \dots, 3}_k)). \tag{6}$$

Proof. Consider first the starlike tree $S(\underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_k)$, which has $n = 2k + 1$ vertices

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 ABC(S(2, 2, \dots, 2)) &= \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{2k}{\sqrt{2}} \\
 ABC_{GG}(S(2, 2, \dots, 2)) &= k \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{1 \cdot (n-1)}} + k \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{2 \cdot (n-2)}} = k \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} + \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $k \geq 2$, then $n \geq 5$ and

$$\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

and therefore

$$k \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} + \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} > \frac{2k}{\sqrt{2}}$$

implying inequality (5).

The starlike tree $S(\underbrace{3, 3, \dots, 3}_k)$ has $n = 3k + 1$ vertices and

$$ABC(S(3, 3, \dots, 3)) = \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{3k}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ABC_{GG}(S(3, 3, \dots, 3)) &= k\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{1 \cdot (n-1)}} + k\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{2 \cdot (n-2)}} + k\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3 \cdot (n-3)}} \\ &= k\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} + \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3(n-3)}}\right) + \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note now that since $n \geq 7$,

$$\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{7-2}{7-1}} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}}$$

whereas

$$\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3(n-3)}} > \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3(n-3)}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Therefore

$$\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} + \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3(n-3)}} > \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} > \sqrt{2}$$

and therefore

$$k\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} + \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3(n-3)}}\right) + \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} > \frac{3k}{\sqrt{3}}$$

from which inequality (6) follows. □

In contrast to Theorem 8, we have the following result:

Theorem 9. *Let $S(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ be a starlike tree of order n , such that $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 4$. Then*

$$ABC(S) > ABC_{GG}(S).$$

Proof. For a starlike tree S , we have either $d_i = 2$ or $d_j = 2$ for any edge $v_i v_j \in E(S)$.

Therefore

$$\sqrt{\frac{d_i + d_j - 2}{d_i d_j}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{for any edge } v_i v_j \in E(S).$$

Therefore

$$ABC(S) = \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{7}$$

By the definition of ABC_{GG} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} ABC_{GG}(S) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{j(n-j)}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{j(n-j)}} + \frac{n_i-4}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left[\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{(n-1)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{3(n-3)}} + \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{4(n-4)}} \right] + \frac{n-4k-1}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 7 and Eq. (7), from the above, we get

$$ABC_{GG}(S) - ABC(S) = k \sqrt{n-2} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(n-3)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{4(n-4)}} \right] - \frac{3k}{\sqrt{2}} < 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let Γ be the class of (connected) graphs such that all neighbors of any vertex of degree greater than two are vertices of degree two. In particular, $S(\underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_k) \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 10. *Let $G \in \Gamma$. If G has no pendent vertex, then $ABC(G) > ABC_{GG}(G)$.*

Proof. From the definition of Γ , we have $d_i = 2$ or $d_j = 2$ for any edge $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, $G \in \Gamma$. Moreover, G has no pendent edge. Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j} - \frac{2}{n_i n_j} \leq \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{d_i} + \frac{1}{d_j} - \frac{2}{d_i d_j} \quad \text{for any edge } v_i v_j \in E(G), G \in \Gamma.$$

Hence the theorem. \square

3 Comparing ABC indices of hexagonal systems

Hexagonal systems provide the graph representation of benzenoid hydrocarbons. Their theory has been extensively studied; for details see [9, 16–18].

Theorem 11. *Let H be a hexagonal system. Then*

$$ABC(H) > ABC_{GG}(H).$$

Proof. Let H be a hexagonal system with n vertices and m edges. Then $n \geq 6$ and $m \geq 6$, with equality if and only if H possesses a single hexagon, i.e., if $H \cong C_6$.

From the definition of the hexagonal systems [17], one can easily see that $(d_i, d_j) = (2, 2)$ or $(2, 3)$ or $(3, 3)$ for any edge $v_i v_j \in E(H)$. Moreover, as shown in [19] (see also [9, 24]), $n_i \geq 3$ and $n_j \geq 3$ for any edge $v_i v_j \in E(H)$ and $n_i + n_j = n$ as H is bipartite. For any edge $v_i v_j \in E(H)$,

$$\frac{d_i + d_j - 2}{d_i d_j} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } d_i = 2 \text{ or } d_j = 2 \\ \frac{4}{9} & \text{if } d_i = 3 \text{ and } d_j = 3 \end{cases}$$

implying that

$$\min_{v_i v_j \in E(H)} \sqrt{\frac{d_i + d_j - 2}{d_i d_j}} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{9}} = \frac{2}{3}. \quad (8)$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{n_i + n_j - 2}{n_i n_j} \leq \frac{n - 2}{3(n - 3)} \quad (9)$$

for any edge $v_i v_j \in E(H)$. In fact,

$$\frac{n_i + n_j - 2}{n_i n_j} = \frac{n - 2}{3(n - 3)}$$

holds for all edges of H if and only if $H \cong C_6$. In all other cases, H possesses edges for which the inequality (9) is strict.

Hexagonal systems possess at least 6 vertices. For $n \geq 6$,

$$\frac{2}{3} > \sqrt{\frac{n - 2}{3(n - 3)}}. \quad (10)$$

In view of Eqs. (1) and (8), and since H has m edges,

$$ABC(H) > \frac{2m}{3}. \quad (11)$$

Inequality (11) is strict because any hexagonal system possesses (at least six) vertices of degree 2.

Combining relations (9), (10), and (11), we obtain

$$ABC(H) > \frac{2m}{3} > m \sqrt{\frac{n - 2}{3(n - 3)}} \geq \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{n_i + n_j - 2}{n_i n_j}} = ABC_{GG}(H).$$

□

Acknowledgement. This work is supported by the National Research Foundation funded by the Korean government with Grant no. 2013R1A1A2009341.

References

- [1] M. B. Ahmadi, D. Dimitrov, I. Gutman, S. A. Hosseini, Disproving a conjecture on trees with minimal atom–bond connectivity index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **72** (2014) 685–698.
- [2] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, MacMillan, New York, 1976.
- [3] K. C. Das, Atom–bond connectivity index of graphs, *Discr. Appl. Math.* **158** (2010) 1181–1188.
- [4] K. C. Das, I. Gutman, B. Furtula, On atom–bond connectivity index, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **511** (2011) 452–454.
- [5] K. C. Das, I. Gutman, B. Furtula, On atom bond connectivity index, *Filomat* **26** (2012) 733–738.
- [6] K. C. Das, K. Xu, A. Graovac, Maximal unicyclic graphs with respect to new atom–bond connectivity index, *Acta Chem. Slov.* **60** (2013) 34–42.
- [7] T. Dehghan-Zadeh, A. R. Ashrafi, N. Habibi, Maximum values of atom–bond connectivity index in the class of tetracyclic graphs, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **46** (2015) 17–31.
- [8] D. Dimitrov, On structural properties of trees with minimal atom–bond connectivity index, *Discr. Appl. Math.* **172** (2014) 28–44.
- [9] A. A. Dobrynin, I. Gutman, S. Klavžar, P. Žigert, Wiener index of hexagonal systems, *Acta Appl. Math.* **72** (2002) 247–294.
- [10] E. Estrada, Atom–bond connectivity and the energetic of branched alkanes, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **463** (2008) 422–425.
- [11] E. Estrada, L. Torres, L. Rodríguez, I. Gutman, An atom–bond connectivity index: modelling the enthalpy of formation of alkanes, *Indian J. Chem.* **37A** (1998) 849–855.
- [12] B. Furtula, A. Graovac, D. Vukičević, Atom–bond connectivity index of trees, *Discr. Appl. Math.* **157** (2009) 2828–2835.

- [13] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, M. Dehmer, On structure–sensitivity of degree–based topological indices, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219** (2013) 8973–8978.
- [14] M. Goubko, C. Magnant, P. Salehi Nowbandegani, I. Gutman, *ABC* index of trees with fixed number of leaves, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **74** (2015) 000–000.
- [15] A. Graovac, M. Ghorbani, A new version of atom–bond connectivity index, *Acta Chim. Slov.* **57** (2010) 609–612.
- [16] I. Gutman (Ed.), *Advances in the Theory of Benzenoid Hydrocarbons II*, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [17] I. Gutman, S. J. Cyvin, *Introduction to the Theory of Benzenoid Hydrocarbons*, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [18] I. Gutman, S. J. Cyvin (Eds.), *Advances in the Theory of Benzenoid Hydrocarbons*, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [19] I. Gutman, S. J. Cyvin, Elementary edge–cuts in the theory of benzenoid hydrocarbons, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **36** (1997) 177–184.
- [20] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, M. B. Ahmadi, S. A. Hosseini, P. Salehi Nowbandegani, M. Zarrinderakht, The *ABC* index conundrum, *Filomat* **27** (2013) 1075–1083.
- [21] I. Gutman, O. E. Polansky, *Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry*, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [22] I. Gutman, J. Tošović, Testing the quality of molecular structure descriptors. Vertex–degree–based topological indices, *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* **78** (2013) 805–810.
- [23] I. Gutman, J. Tošović, S. Radenković, S. Marković, On atom–bond connectivity index and its chemical applicability, *Indian J. Chem.* **51A** (2012) 690–694.
- [24] S. Klavžar, A bird’s eye view of the cut method and a survey of its applications in chemical graph theory, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **60** (2008) 255–274.
- [25] C. Magnant, P. Salehi Nowbandegani, I. Gutman, Which tree has the smallest *ABC* index among trees with k leaves?, *Discr. Appl. Math.*, in press.
- [26] J. L. Palacios, A resistive upper bound for the *ABC* index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **72** (2014) 709–713.

- [27] M. Rostami, M. Sohrabi–Haghighat, Further results on new version of atom–bond connectivity index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **71** (2014) 21–32.
- [28] M. Rostami, M. Sohrabi–Haghighat, M. Ghorbani, On second atom–bond connectivity index, *Iran. J. Math. Chem.* **4** (2013) 265–270.
- [29] L. Zhong, Q. Cui, On a relation between the atom–bond connectivity and the first geometric–arithmetic indices, *Discr. Appl. Math.* **185** (2015) 249–253.