Normalized Laplacian Energy Change and Edge Deletion^{*}

Luiz Emilio Allem[†], David P. Jacobs[‡], Vilmar Trevisan[†]

[†]Instituto de Matemática, UFRGS, Porto Alegre, RS, 91509–900, Brazil [‡]School of Computing, Clemson University Clemson, SC 29634, USA

dpj@clemson.edu, emilio.allem@ufrgs.br, trevisan@mat.ufrgs.br

(Received August 28, 2015)

Abstract

Let $E_{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ denote the normalized Laplacian energy of an isolate-free graph G, and let $E_{\mathcal{L}}(G-e)$ be the energy with edge e = uv removed. In [4] it is shown that if e is not incident to a pendant vertex, then $|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G-e)| \le 1.8366$. We show that if u and v also have no common neighbors, then the change in energy is less than 1.5404. If $d_u \ge 3$ and $d_v \ge 3$, then $|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G-e)| < .9916$. If $d_u \ge d$ and $d_v \ge d$ then the change is within $O(d^{-0.5})$.

1 Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph with vertex set V and edge set E. For each $v \in V$, N(v) denotes the set neighbors of v. The *degree* of v, denoted d_v , is the cardinality of N(v). We write e = uv to represent an edge $e \in E$ between u and v. We call v a *pendant* if $d_v = 1$. We let G - e denote the graph obtained by removing edge e. We say an edge e is a *bridge* if G - e has more components than G.

Throughout this paper, if M is a square matrix of order n, we let spec(M) denote its multi-set of eigenvalues which we also denote with

 $\lambda_1(M),\ldots,\lambda_n(M).$

^{*}Work supported by CNPq - Grant 400122/2014-6,Brazil

Spectral graph theory associates a matrix with a graph, and then ideally attempts to answer questions about the graph's structure using the eigenvalues of the matrix. Some matrices like the *adjacency* matrix A and the *combinatorial Laplacian* matrix L have been widely studied.

The normalized Laplacian matrix, introduced by Chung [6] in the 1990's to study random walks, has fewer known results. The normalized Laplacian matrix of a graph G = (V, E) is denoted by \mathcal{L}_G , and is the matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by V, and defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_G[u, v] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u = v \text{ and } d_v \neq 0 \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_u d_v}} & \text{if } uv \in E; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is well-known that $0 = \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}) \leq \lambda_2(\mathcal{L}) \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n(\mathcal{L}) \leq 2$.

Our paper deals with the *normalized Laplacian energy* of a graph, which we also call its \mathcal{L} -energy. It is defined as

$$E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i - 1| \tag{1}$$

where $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}), \ldots, \lambda_n(\mathcal{L})$ are the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L}_G . Cavers shows that $2 \leq E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) \leq 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and when G is connected $E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) < \sqrt{\frac{15}{28}}(n+1)$ (see [5]).

The energy of a matrix is intended to measure the deviation of the eigenvalues from their mean. While the matrix \mathcal{L}_G is defined when G has isolates, in such a graph its average eigenvalue would no longer be 1, and the above definition of $E_{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ would not be meaningful. For this reason, we will assume all graphs are isolate-free.

The Randić matrix $R = [r_{ij}]$ of a graph G is defined [2,7,10] as

$$r_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u d_v}} & \text{if } uv \in E\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Historically, it is related to a descriptor for molecular graphs used by Milan Randić in 1975 [12]. The *Randić energy* RE(G) of a graph G is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\rho_i|$ where $\operatorname{spec}(R) = \{\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n\}$. It is interesting that RE(G) and $E_{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ are equal in graphs without isolates [10]. Thus, results in this paper on normalized Laplacian energy apply also to Randić energy.

Energy change relating to a graph's adjacency matrix has been studied in several papers. Day and So [8] study the energy change when the edges in an induced subgraph are removed. In [9] they observe that when removing a single edge, the energy can increase, decrease, or remain the same. However when deleting a bridge the energy must decrease (Theorem 4.2). In [1] the authors study energy change in graphs where parallel edges are allowed. All these papers, including ours, utilize a classic inequality involving singular values. Beyond that, our techniques appear to be new.

This note is motivated by results in [4, 5] involving the effect of edge deletion on \mathcal{L} -energy. It is shown that deleting an edge may either increase, decrease or leave the \mathcal{L} energy unchanged. Cavers, Fallat and Kirkland show [4, Thr. 19] that if G is isolate-free
and e = uv not incident to a pendant vertex, then $|\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G-e)|$ is at most 1.8366.

We strengthen the above bound if we also assume $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$. In that case, $|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G-e)| < 1.5404$. If d_u and d_v are at least 3, the energy change is strictly less than 1. More generally, if $d = \min\{d_u, d_v\}$, then $|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G-e)| < \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{d}}$, and so $|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G-e)|$ is in $O(d^{-0.5})$. As an application, we show how energies of certain trees conjectured to have largest \mathcal{L} -energy among connected graphs, are related when nis large.

The condition $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$ is equivalent to e not being in a cycle C_3 . If e = uv is a bridge, it satisfies the condition. In triangle-free graphs, such as a bipartite graphs, all edges satisfy the condition.



Figure 1. Edge uv with $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$.

Before proceeding, it will be useful to consider the graph G and edge e = uv in Figure 1, and the matrices \mathcal{L}_G and \mathcal{L}_{G-e} that are given respectively below. Whenever a non-pendant edge is removed in *any* isolate-free graph, \mathcal{L}_G and \mathcal{L}_{G-e} must be identical except in two rows and two columns, the differing entries being precisely the entries corresponding to edges incident to u or v. Note that the condition $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$ also implies that for $w \neq u, v$ we can *not* have both $\mathcal{L}_G[u, w] \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_G[v, w] \neq 0$.

	u	v	u_1	u_2	v_1	v_2		u	v	u_1	u_2	v_1	v_2
u	(1	$\frac{-1}{3}$	$\frac{-1}{3}$	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	0	0	u	$\left(\begin{array}{c}1\end{array}\right)$	0	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{-1}{2}$	0	0
v	$\frac{-1}{3}$	1	0	0	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}$	v	0	1	0	0	$\frac{-1}{2}$	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}$
u_1	$\frac{-1}{3}$	0	1	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	0	u_1	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	0	1	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	0
u_2	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	0	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	1	0	0	u_2	$2 \frac{-1}{2}$	0	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	1	0	0
v_1	0	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	0	1	0	v_1	0	$\frac{-1}{2}$	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}}$	0	1	0
v_2	0	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}$	0	0	0	1)	v_2	0 / 2	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}$	0	0	0	1)

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some useful facts about the singular values of a matrix. Our main results are obtained Section 3. In Section 4 we give some applications.

2 Singular Values and Energy

The singular values of a rectangular matrix N with complex entries, are defined to be the square roots of the eigenvalues of the positive semi-definite matrix N^*N , where N^* is the conjugate transpose of N. From here on, we denote singular values by $\sigma_i(N), i = 1, ..., n$. Lemma 1 is straightforward, Lemma 2 is in [11, Cor. 3.4.3], and Lemma 3 appears in [5].

Lemma 1 The singular values of a real symmetric matrix M are the absolute values of the eigenvalues of M.

Lemma 2 Let A and B be square matrices of order n. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(A+B) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(B).$$

Using (1) and Lemma 1, we can express \mathcal{L} -energy as:

$$E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i - 1| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i (I - \mathcal{L}_G)| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i (I - \mathcal{L}_G)$$
(2)

Lemma 3 (Cavers) Let G and H be graphs of order n, and $M = \mathcal{L}_G - \mathcal{L}_H$. Then $|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(H)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(M).$

Proof: Since $I - \mathcal{L}_H = M + (I - \mathcal{L}_G)$, from Lemma 2 we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i (I - \mathcal{L}_H) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i (M) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i (I - \mathcal{L}_H)$$

and so from (2) we have $E_{\mathcal{L}}(H) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(M)$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show $E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(H) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(M)$. Applying Lemma 2 to the equation $I - \mathcal{L}_G = (-M) + (I - \mathcal{L}_H)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i (I - \mathcal{L}_G) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i (-M) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i (I - \mathcal{L}_H)$$

Using (2) and $\sigma_i(M) = \sigma_i(-M)$, we have

$$E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(H) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(-M) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(M)$$

completing the proof.

3 Main Results

Our results give upper bounds on the change in \mathcal{L} -energy when we remove an edge e = uv, not incident to a pendant, for which $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$. Our strategy in bounding the change in normalized Laplacian energy is to use the singular values of the difference matrix $M = \mathcal{L}_G - \mathcal{L}_{G-e}$. By Lemma 3 we are guaranteed that

$$|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G - e)| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(M).$$
(3)

We partition $V - \{u, v\}$ into $V_u = \{u_1, \ldots, u_{n_1-1}\}$ and $V_v = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{n_2-1}\}$ such that $N(u) - \{v\} \subseteq V_u$ and $N(v) - \{u\} \subseteq V_v$, where $n = n_1 + n_2$ is the order of G. This partition is possible because $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$.

We examine the structure of M. M is symmetric with diagonal values of zero. If we order the vertices as

 $u, v, u_1, \ldots, u_{n_1-1}, v_1, \ldots, v_{n_2-1}$

then only entries in the first two rows or first two columns of M can be nonzero:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & x_1 & \dots & x_{n_1-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & y_1 & \dots & y_{n_2-1} \\ x_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n_1-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & y_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & y_{n_2-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

-348-

The entries of M are defined as follows. Clearly

$$M[u,v] = M[v,u] = x = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_u d_v}}.$$
(5)

In the first row,

$$M[u, u_i] = x_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u_i \notin N(u) \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_u d_{u_i}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d_u - 1)d_{u_i}}} & \text{if } u_i \in N(u) - \{v\} \end{cases}$$
(6)

and in the second row

$$M[v, v_i] = y_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v_i \notin N(v) \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_v d_{v_i}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d_v - 1)d_{v_i}}} & \text{if } v_i \in N(v) - \{u\} \end{cases}$$
(7)

Note the expressions in (6) and (7) make sense only if $d_u \ge 2$ and $d_v \ge 2$. We denote with **x** and **y** the vectors $[x_1, \ldots, x_{n_1-1}]^T$ and $[y_1, \ldots, y_{n_2-1}]^T$ respectively. Both **x** and **y** have nonzero entries, and $x \ne 0$. Our arguments depend heavily on the quantities $||\mathbf{x}||$ and $||\mathbf{y}||$, where $||\cdot||$ denotes the Euclidean norm.

Lemma 4 For some λ_1 and λ_2 , $spec(M) = \{0^{n-4}, -\lambda_2, -\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$.

Proof: Since \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} each have a nonzero entry, it is easy to see that rank(M) = 4, and therefore M has exactly four nonzero eigenvalues. Now suppose $\lambda \neq 0$ is an eigenvalue of M. Let $[\alpha, \beta, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}]^T$ be an eigenvector for λ , where α , $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1-1}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2-1}$. Then

$$M\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\\beta\\\mathbf{v}\\\mathbf{u}\end{bmatrix} = \lambda\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\\beta\\\mathbf{v}\\\mathbf{u}\end{bmatrix}$$

Using (4) we see that

$$\beta x + \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{v} = \lambda \alpha \tag{8}$$

$$\alpha x + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{u} = \lambda \beta \tag{9}$$

$$\alpha \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{v}$$
 (10)

$$\beta \mathbf{y} = \lambda \mathbf{u} \tag{11}$$

Since $\lambda \neq 0$, (10) and (11) give $\mathbf{v} = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{u} = \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \mathbf{y}$. Thus (8) and (9) give us:

$$\beta x + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} ||\mathbf{x}||^2 = \lambda \alpha \tag{12}$$

$$\alpha x + \frac{\beta}{\lambda} ||\mathbf{y}||^2 = \lambda \beta \tag{13}$$

Isolating $\beta = \frac{1}{x}(\lambda \alpha - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}||\mathbf{x}||^2)$ from (12) and substituting in (13) we find

$$\alpha x + \frac{||\mathbf{y}||^2}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{1}{x} (\lambda \alpha - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} ||\mathbf{x}||^2) = \frac{\lambda}{x} (\lambda \alpha - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} ||\mathbf{x}||^2)$$

Since $\alpha \neq 0$ (for otherwise, we have a zero eigenvector) we obtain

$$\lambda^{4} + \lambda^{2} (-x^{2} - ||\mathbf{y}||^{2} - ||\mathbf{x}||^{2}) + ||\mathbf{x}||^{2} ||\mathbf{y}||^{2} = 0.$$
(14)

Letting $B = x^2 + ||\mathbf{y}||^2 + ||\mathbf{x}||^2$ and $C = ||\mathbf{x}||^2 ||\mathbf{y}||^2$, we see that the discriminant $B^2 - 4C \ge (||\mathbf{y}||^2 + ||\mathbf{x}||^2)^2 - 4C = (||\mathbf{y}||^2 - ||\mathbf{x}||^2)^2 \ge 0$ so the roots of (14) are

$$\pm \sqrt{\frac{B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4C}}{2}}$$

since both $\frac{B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4C}}{2}$ are positive. This completes the proof.

Lemma 5 $\lambda_1(M) + \lambda_2(M) = \sqrt{x^2 + ||\mathbf{y}||^2 + ||\mathbf{x}||^2 + 2||\mathbf{x}|| \cdot ||\mathbf{y}||}$

Proof: Using B and C from Lemma 4, we have

$$\lambda_1(M) + \lambda_2(M) = \left(\frac{B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4C}}{2}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{B - \sqrt{B^2 - 4C}}{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (15)

Squaring both sides of (15) shows $(\lambda_1(M) + \lambda_2(M))^2 = B + 2\sqrt{C}$. So

$$\lambda_1(M) + \lambda_2(M) = \sqrt{B + 2\sqrt{C}} = \sqrt{x^2 + ||\mathbf{x}||^2 + ||\mathbf{y}||^2 + 2||\mathbf{x}|| \cdot ||\mathbf{y}||}$$

Theorem 1 Let G be an isolate-free graph, and e = uv an edge with $d_u, d_v \ge 2$ and $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$. Then $|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G - e)| < 1.5404$.

Proof: By (3) and Lemma 1 we know

$$|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G - e)| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i(M)|$$

Applying Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 this sum equals

$$2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = 2\sqrt{x^2 + ||Y||^2 + ||\mathbf{x}||^2 + 2||\mathbf{x}|| \cdot ||\mathbf{y}||}$$
(16)

We complete the proof by bounding each term on the right side of (16). From (5) we know $x^2 = \frac{1}{d_u d_v} \leq \frac{1}{4}$. From (6) and (7) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathbf{x}||^2 &= \sum_{u_i \in N(u) - \{v\}} \left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_u d_{u_i}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d_u - 1)d_{u_i}}} \right)^2 \\ ||\mathbf{y}||^2 &= \sum_{v_i \in N(v) - \{u\}} \left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_v d_{v_i}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d_v - 1)d_{v_i}}} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

-350-

Since $\frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_u d_{u_i}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d_u - 1)d_{u_i}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{u_i}}} \left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_u}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u - 1}}\right) \leq \frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_u}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u - 1}}$, and applying a similar observation to $\frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_v d_{v_i}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d_v - 1)d_{v_i}}}$, we see that

$$||\mathbf{x}||^2 \le (d_u - 1)\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_u}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_u - 1}}\right)^2 \tag{17}$$

$$||\mathbf{y}||^2 \le (d_v - 1)\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_v}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_v - 1}}\right)^2 \tag{18}$$

We claim that the right sides of (17) and (18) are each maximized by the minimum integer values $d_u = d_v = 2$. To see this, one can show

$$f(d) = (d-1)\left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{d}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d-1}}\right)^2 = 2 - \frac{1}{d} - 2\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{d}}$$
(19)

and then observe f'(d) < 0, for d > 1. Hence $||\mathbf{x}||^2$ and $||\mathbf{y}||^2$ are each bounded above by $(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^2$. Thus $|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G - e)|$ is at most

$$2\sqrt{x^2 + ||\mathbf{x}||^2 + ||\mathbf{y}||^2 + 2||\mathbf{x}|| \cdot ||\mathbf{y}||} \le 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + 4(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^2} < 1.5404$$

completing the proof.

Theorem 2 Let G be an isolate-free graph, and e = uv an edge with $d_u, d_v \ge 3$ and $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$. Then $|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G-e)| < .9916$.

Proof: Here $||\mathbf{x}||^2 \le 2(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^2$, and $x^2 = \frac{1}{9}$ in the previous computation.

The following theorem shows that the change in normalized Laplacian energy is in $O(d^{-1/2})$.

Theorem 3 Let G be an isolate-free graph, and e = uv an edge with $d_u, d_v \ge d$ and $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$. Then $|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G - e)| < \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{d}}$.

Proof: Using the right side of (19), we have $4||\mathbf{x}||^2 \le 4(2 - \frac{1}{d} - \frac{2}{d}\sqrt{d(d-1)})$, and so $2\sqrt{x^2 + 4||\mathbf{x}||^2}$ becomes

$$2\sqrt{\frac{1}{d^2} + 8 - \frac{4}{d} - \frac{8\sqrt{d(d-1)}}{d}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{1 + 8d^2 - 4d - 8d\sqrt{d(d-1)}}{d^2}}$$
$$\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{1 + 8d^2 - 4d - 8d(d-1)}{d^2}}$$
$$= 2\frac{\sqrt{1 + 4d}}{d} < 2\frac{\sqrt{5d}}{d} = \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{d}}$$

4 Applications

Suppose we have a sequence of graphs G_k , each with an edge $e_k = u_k v_k$ and $N(u_k) \cap N(v_k) = \emptyset$. Suppose also that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \min\{d_{u_k}, d_{v_k}\} = \infty$. Then by Theorem 3,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (E_{\mathcal{L}}(G_k) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G_k - e_k)) = \lim_{k \to \infty} |E_{\mathcal{L}}(G_k) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G_k - e_k)| = 0$$

We give two illustrations involving trees. As noted earlier, all edges of bipartite graphs satisfy the disjoint neighborhood condition. In our first example, $\lim_{k\to\infty} E_{\mathcal{L}}(G_k)$ converges and in the second example it does not.

Diameter 3 trees: As a simple application consider an infinite sequence

$$T_1, T_2, T_3, \ldots$$

of trees, each with diameter 3. Each tree T_k of diameter 3 can be represented as two stars S_{k_1} and S_{k_2} with an edge e_k between their centers. Let $m_k = \min\{k_1, k_2\}$, and suppose $\lim_{k\to\infty} m_k = \infty$. Then we must have

$$0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} (E_{\mathcal{L}}(T_k) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(T_k - e_k))$$

It is well-known that the normalized Laplacian energy of a star is 2, and so $E_{\mathcal{L}}(T_k - e_k) = 4$. Therefore

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} E_{\mathcal{L}}(T_k) = 4$$

Interestingly, a diameter 3 tree T of order n is known to have eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity n-4, and therefore it will have four eigenvalues that contribute to its \mathcal{L} -energy (see [3, Thr. 3.2]).

Suns and double suns: For a second application we refer to the work of Gutman, Furtula and Bozkurt [10] on the energy of the Randić matrix, which for isolate-free graphs, equals \mathcal{L} -energy, as noted above. For each $p \geq 0$, the *p*-sun, which we denote with S^p , is the tree of order n = 2p+1 formed by taking the star on p+1 vertices and subdividing each edge. For $p, q \geq 0$ the (p, q)-double sun, denoted $D^{p,q}$, is the tree of order n = 2(p+q+1)obtained by connecting the centers of S^p and S^q with an edge. Without loss of generality we assume $p \geq q$. When $p - q \leq 1$ the double sun is called *balanced*. Figure 2 depicts the balanced double sun $D^{2,2}$, the sun S^5 , and the balanced double sun $D^{3,2}$. The authors [10] conjecture that among connected graphs of order n, the graph with largest \mathcal{L} -energy is the sun when n is odd, and balanced double sun when n is even. Note that when $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ the balanced double sun is constructed with two p-suns, and when $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$, it uses a p + 1-sun and a p-sun. In either case, $p = \left|\frac{n-2}{4}\right|$. Consider the balanced double sun $D^{p,p}$



Figure 2. double sun $D^{2,2}$, sun S^5 , and double sun $D^{3,2}$.

whose order is 4p + 2. It has an edge e = uv for which $d_u = d_v = p + 1$. Also, $D^{p,p} - e$ is two disjoint suns S^p . By Theorem 3,

$$|E_{\mathcal{L}}(D^{p,p}) - 2E_{\mathcal{L}}(S^p)| < \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{p+1}}.$$

Now consider the balanced double sun $D^{p+1,p}$ of order 4p + 4. It has an edge e = uv for which $d_u = p + 2$ and $d_v = p + 1$. Also, $D^{p+1,p} - e$ is disjoint suns S^{p+1} and S^p . Therefore,

$$|E_{\mathcal{L}}(D^{p+1,p}) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(S^{p+1}) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(S^{p})| < \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{p+1}}.$$

These observations show that for large n, the \mathcal{L} -energy of the balanced double suns can be approximated using smaller suns of about half the size.

5 Final Remarks

Our computational experiments suggest that the bound in Theorem 1 may be too high. In fact, we could find no example where the change in energy was more than 1. It is interesting that if $G = P_4$, the path on four vertices, and e is the middle edge, then $|E_{\mathcal{L}}(G) - E_{\mathcal{L}}(G - e)| = 1$. Can these results be improved by assuming all graphs are triangle-free? Finally, we note that Lemmas 4 and 5 depend only the pattern of the entries of M, not on the values, so we wonder if they might have other uses.

References

- S. Akbari, E. Ghorbani, M. R. Oboudi, Edge addition, singular values, and energy of graphs and matrices, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* 430 (2009) 2192–2199.
- [2] Ş. Burcu Bozkurt, A. Dilek Güngör, I. Gutman, A. Sinan Çevik, Randić matrix and Randić energy, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 64 (2010) 239–250.
- [3] R. O. Braga, R. R. Del-Vecchio, V. M. Rodrigues, V. Trevisan, Trees with 4 or 5 distinct normalized Laplacian eigenvalues, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* 471 (2015) 615–635.
- [4] M. Cavers, S. Fallat, S. Kirkland, On the normalized Laplacian energy and general Randić index R₋₁ of graphs, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **433** (2010) 172–190.
- [5] M. S. Cavers, The Normalized Laplacian Matrix and General Randić Index of Graphs, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, 2010, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Regina.
- [6] F. R. K. Chung, Spectral Graph Theory, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1997.
- [7] K. C. Das, S. Sorgun, K. Xu, On Randić energy of graphs, I. Gutman, X. Li (Eds.), Energies of Graphs – Theory and Applications, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2016, pp. 111–122.
- [8] J. Day, W. So, Singular value inequality and graph energy change, *El. J. Lin. Algebra* 16 (2007) 291–299.
- [9] J. Day, W. So, Graph energy change due to edge deletion, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* 428 (2008) 2070–2078.
- [10] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, S. Burcu Bozkurt, On Randić energy, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* 442 (2014) 50–57.
- [11] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [12] M. Randić, On characterization of molecular branching, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97 (1975) 6609–6615.