

Remarks on the Energy and the Minimum Dominating Energy of a Graph

Igor Ž. Milovanović, Emina I. Milovanović

*Faculty of Electronic Engineering,
Beogradska 14, P. O. Box 73, 18000 Niš, Serbia
igor@elfak.ni.ac.rs, ema@elfak.ni.ac.rs*

(Received October 23, 2015)

Abstract

Upper bounds for energy and minimum dominating energy of graph are established. Also upper and lower bounds for minimum dominating eigenvalues of a given graph are determined.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$, $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, be a simple graph with n vertices, m edges, and the sequence of vertex degrees $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n > 0$, $d_i = d(i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If i -th and j -th vertices of graph G are adjacent, we denote it as $i \sim j$. Well known properties of the sequence of vertex degrees are (see [4])

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2m \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 = M_1$$

where M_1 is the first Zagreb index [10].

The adjacency matrix, $A = (a_{ij})$, of graph G is defined as

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \sim j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The eigenvalues of adjacency matrix A , $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, represent ordinary eigenvalues of graph G . Well known properties of these are [4]

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2m.$$

Denote by $|\lambda_1^*| \geq |\lambda_2^*| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n^*|$, $\lambda_1 = |\lambda_1^*|$, a non increasing sequence of absolute values of the eigenvalues of G . The graph invariant called energy, $E(G)$, of G is defined to be the sum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of G [7] (see also [6, 8–10, 16]), i.e.

$$E(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*|.$$

A subset D of V is called a dominating set of G if every vertex of $V - D$ is adjacent to some vertex in D . Any dominating set with minimum cardinality is called a minimum dominating set. Let D , $|D| = k$, be a minimum dominating set of a graph G . The minimum dominating adjacency matrix of G , $A_D = (a_{ij}^D)$, is the $n \times n$ matrix defined by

$$a_{ij}^D = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \sim j \\ 1, & \text{if } i = j, i \in D \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The minimum dominating eigenvalues of the graph G , $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_n$ are eigenvalues of A_D . The following equalities are valid for γ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ [23]

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i = k \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^2 = 2m + k.$$

Let $|\gamma_1^*| \geq |\gamma_2^*| \geq \dots \geq |\gamma_n^*|$, $\gamma_1 = |\gamma_1^*|$, be a non increasing sequence of absolute values of the minimum dominating eigenvalues of G . The minimum dominating energy of graph G , $E_D(G) = E_D$, is defined as [23, 24]

$$E_D = \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma_i^*|.$$

In this paper we consider upper bounds for graph invariants E and E_D , as well as upper and lower bounds for the minimum dominating eigenvalues.

2 Preliminaries

In the text that follows we recall some inequalities that establish upper bounds for graph invariants E and E_D , that are of interest for our work.

In [19] the following inequality for graph energy E was proven

$$E \leq \sqrt{2mn}. \tag{1}$$

For E_D the following inequalities were proven in [23]

$$E_D \leq \sqrt{n(2m+k)} \tag{2}$$

and

$$E_D \leq \frac{2m+k}{n} + \sqrt{(n-1) \left[(2m+k) - \left(\frac{2m+k}{n} \right)^2 \right]}. \tag{3}$$

3 Main results

In the following theorem we prove an inequality that is stronger than (1).

Theorem 1. *Let G be a simple graph with n , $n \geq 2$, vertices and m edges. Then*

$$E \leq \sqrt{2mn - \frac{n}{2} (|\lambda_1^*| - |\lambda_n^*|)^2}. \tag{4}$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong \overline{K}_n$ or $G \cong \frac{n}{2} K_2$ if n is even.

Proof. From the Lagrange's identity (see for example [22]),

$$0 \leq 2mn - E^2 = n \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*|^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*| \right)^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (|\lambda_i^*| - |\lambda_j^*|)^2$$

the following inequality can be obtained

$$0 \leq 2mn - E^2 \geq \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} ((|\lambda_1^*| - |\lambda_i^*|)^2 + (|\lambda_i^*| - |\lambda_n^*|)^2) + (|\lambda_1^*| - |\lambda_n^*|)^2.$$

On the other hand, according to the Jemsen's inequality (see [21]), from the above inequality it follows that

$$0 \leq 2mn - E^2 \geq \frac{n-2}{2} (|\lambda_1^*| - |\lambda_n^*|)^2 + (|\lambda_1^*| - |\lambda_n^*|)^2 = \frac{n}{2} (|\lambda_1^*| - |\lambda_n^*|)^2. \tag{5}$$

After rearranging the above inequality, the inequality (4) is obtained. Equality in (5) holds if and only if $|\lambda_1^*| = |\lambda_2^*| = \dots = |\lambda_n^*|$, so the equality in (4) holds if and only if G is an empty graph, $G \cong \overline{K}_n$, or G is a union of $\frac{n}{2} K_2$ graphs, i.e., $G \cong \frac{n}{2} K_2$, if n is even. ■

Remark 2. Since $(|\lambda_1^*| - |\lambda_n^*|) \geq 0$, the inequality (4) is stronger than (1). Note that lower bounds analogous to (4) were proven in [5] and [20].

By a similar procedure as that for the proof of Theorem 1, the following result can be proven for the invariant E_D .

Theorem 3. Let G be a simple graph with n vertices and m edges and let D , $|D| = k$ be a minimum dominating set of G . Then

$$E_D \leq \sqrt{n(2m+k) - \frac{n}{2}(|\gamma_1^*| - |\gamma_n^*|)^2}. \tag{6}$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong \overline{K}_n$ or $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$ if n is even.

Remark 4. Since $|\gamma_1^*| - |\gamma_n^*| \geq 0$, the inequality (6) is stronger than (2).

Theorem 5. Let G be a simple graph with n , $n \geq 2$, vertices and m edges. Then, for each real R with the property $\lambda_1 \geq R \geq \sqrt{2mn}$, the following inequality is valid

$$E \leq R + \sqrt{(n-1)(2m-R^2)}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. In [18] a class of real polynomials $P_n(x) = x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + a_2x^{n-2} + b_3x^{n-3} + \dots + b_n$, denoted as $\mathcal{P}_n(a_1, a_2)$, where a_1 and a_2 are fixed real numbers, was considered. For the roots $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n$ of an arbitrary polynomial $P_n(x)$ from this class, the following values were introduced

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta = n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^2. \tag{8}$$

Then upper and lower bounds for the polynomial roots, x_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, were determined in terms of the introduced values

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{n-1}} &\leq x_1 \leq \bar{x} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{(n-1)\Delta} \\ \bar{x} - \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{i-1}{n-i+1}} \Delta &\leq x_i \leq \bar{x} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{n-i}{i}} \Delta, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ \bar{x} - \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{(n-1)\Delta} &\leq x_n \leq \bar{x} - \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{n-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Consider now the polynomial

$$P_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - |\lambda_i^*|) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + a_2 x^{n-2} + b_3 x^{n-3} + \dots + b_n.$$

Since

$$a_1 = -\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*| = -E$$

and

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*| \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*|^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2} E^2 - m$$

the above polynomial belongs to a class of real polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(-E, \frac{1}{2}E^2 - m)$. Bearing in mind (8), we have that

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*| = \frac{E}{n} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\Delta = n \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*|^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*| \right)^2 = 2mn - E^2. \tag{11}$$

For $x_1 = \lambda_1 = |\lambda_1^*|$, according to (10), (11), and the right-hand side of the first inequality in (9), we get

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{E}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{(n-1)(2mn - E^2)}. \tag{12}$$

Now, for each real R with the property $\lambda_1 \geq R \geq \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n}}$, from (12) it follows that

$$R \leq \frac{E}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{(n-1)(2mn - E^2)}.$$

After rearranging the above inequality, the inequality (7) is obtained. ■

Remark 6. *By the appropriate choice of parameter R , from the inequality (7) various inequalities from the literature for the graph energy E can be obtained (see for example [1, 11–15, 17, 25–27]). We will illustrate this through three examples.*

- 1) Let $R = \frac{2m}{n}$. Since $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{2m}{n} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n}}$ (see [3] and [14]), the conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied. For $R = \frac{2m}{n}$ the inequality (7) becomes

$$E \leq \frac{2m}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{2m(n-1)(n^2 - 2m)}.$$

This inequality was proven in [14] (see also [15]).

2) Let $R = \sqrt{\frac{M_1}{n}}$. Since $\lambda_1 \geq \sqrt{\frac{M_1}{n}} \geq \frac{2m}{n} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n}}$ (see [27]), the conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied. Now from (7), the following inequality can be obtained

$$E \leq \sqrt{\frac{M_1}{n}} + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(2m - \frac{M_1}{n}\right)}.$$

This inequality was proven in [27].

3) Let $R = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2}}$, where t_i is a 2-degree of vertex i . Since (see [25, 26])

$$\lambda_1 \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{M_1}{n}} \geq \frac{2m}{n} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n}}$$

the conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied. From the inequality (7) we get

$$E \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2}} + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(2m - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2}\right)}.$$

This inequality was proven in [27] (see also [1, 11–13, 17, 25]).

Remark 7. Let

$$\varphi_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + a_2 x^{n-2} + b_3 x^{n-3} + \dots + b_n$$

be the characteristic polynomial of a graph G . Since

$$a_1 = -\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0$$

and

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \right] = -m$$

the polynomial $\varphi_n(x)$ belongs to a class of real polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(0, -m)$. From the equalities

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0$$

and

$$\Delta = n \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right)^2 = 2mn$$

and (9), we obtain that for the eigenvalues λ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the following is valid

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n(n-1)}} &\leq \lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2m(n-1)}{n}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2(i-1)m}{n(n-i+1)}} &\leq \lambda_i \leq \sqrt{\frac{2m(n-i)}{in}}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2m(n-1)}{n}} &\leq \lambda_n \leq -\sqrt{\frac{2m}{n(n-1)}} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

With the exception of the left-hand side part of the first and the right-hand side part of the last inequality, the above inequalities were proven in [2].

Let

$$f_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \gamma_i) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + a_2 x^{n-2} + b_3 x^{n-3} + \dots + b_n$$

be the characteristic polynomial of minimum dominating adjacency matrix A_D of G . Since $a_1 = -k$ and $a_2 = \binom{k}{2} - m$, it belongs to a class of real polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(-k, \binom{k}{2} - m)$. Based on the equalities

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i = \frac{k}{n}$$

and

$$\Delta = n \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \right)^2 = 2mn + k(n-k)$$

and inequality (9), we establish the following result.

Theorem 8. *Let G be a simple graph with n , $n \geq 2$, vertices and m edges and let D , $|D| = k$, be its minimum dominating set. Then*

$$\frac{k}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{2mn + k(n-k)}{n-1}} \leq \gamma_1 \leq \frac{k}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{(n-1)(2mn + k(n-k))}$$

$$\frac{k}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{i-1}{n-i+1} (2mn + k(n-k))} \leq \gamma_i \leq \frac{k}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{n-i}{i} (2mn + k(n-k))}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, and

$$\frac{k}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{(n-1)(2mn + k(n-k))} \leq \gamma_n \leq \frac{k}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{2mn + k(n-k)}{n-1}}.$$

Consider the polynomial

$$\psi_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - |\gamma_i^*|)^2 = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + a_2 x^{n-2} + b_3 x^{n-3} + \dots + b_n.$$

Since

$$a_1 = - \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma_i^*| = -E_D$$

and

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma_i^*| \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma_i^*|^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2} [E_D^2 - (2m + k)]$$

the polynomial $\psi_n(x)$ belongs to a class of real polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(-E_D, \frac{1}{2}(E_D^2 - (2m + k)))$.

By the same procedure as with Theorem 5, the following result can be proven.

Theorem 9. *Let G be a graph with n , $n \geq 2$, vertices and m edges and let D , $|D| = k$, be its minimum dominating set. Then for each T with the property $\gamma_1 \geq T \geq \sqrt{\frac{2m+k}{n}}$, the following is valid*

$$E_D \leq T + \sqrt{(n-1)(2m+k-T^2)}. \quad (13)$$

Remark 10. *By an appropriate choice of the parameter T , from (13) some well known inequalities for the invariant E_D could be obtained. Thus, for example, for $T = \sqrt{\frac{2m+k}{n}}$, from (13) the inequality (2) is obtained. For $T = \frac{2m+k}{n}$, from (13) the inequality (3) is obtained.*

References

- [1] Ş. B. Bozkurt, D. Bozkurt, Sharp bounds for energy and Randić energy, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **70** (2013) 669–680.
- [2] R. C. Brigham, R. D. Dutton, Bounds of the graph spectra, *J. Comb. Theory* **37** (1984) 228–234.
- [3] L. Collatz, V. Sinogowitz, Spektren endlicher Graphen, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **21** (1957) 63–67.
- [4] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs – Theory and Application*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

- [5] G. H. Fath-Tabar, R. Ashrafi, Some remarks on Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy of graphs, *Math. Commun.* **5** (2010) 443–451.
- [6] I. Gutman, Total π -electron energy of benzenoid hydrocarbons, *Topics Curr. Chem.* **162** (1992) 29–63.
- [7] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph, *Ber. Math. Statist. Sect. Forschungszentrum Graz* **103** (1978) 1–22.
- [8] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph: old and new results, in: A. Betten, A. Kohnert, R. Lame, A. Wassermann (Eds.), *Algebraic Combinators and Applications*, Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 196–211.
- [9] I. Gutman, Comparative studies of graph energies, *Bul. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts* **144** (2012) 1–17.
- [10] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbits. Total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535–538.
- [11] M. Hofmester, Spectral radius and degree sequences, *Math. Nachr.* **139** (1988) 37–44.
- [12] Y. Hou, Z. Tang, C. Woo, On the spectral radius, k -degree and the upper bound of energy in a graph, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **57** (2007) 341–350.
- [13] S. Hu, A sharp bound of the spectral radius of simple graphs, *Appl. Anal. Discr. Math.* **3** (2009) 379–385.
- [14] J. H. Koolen, V. Moulton, Maximal energy graphs, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **26** (2001) 213–216.
- [15] J. H. Koolen, V. Moulton, I. Gutman, Improving the McClelland inequality for total π -electron energy, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **320** (2000) 213–216.
- [16] X. Li, Y. Shi, I. Gutman, *Graph Energy*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [17] H. Liu, M. Lu, F. Tian, Some upper bounds for the energy of graphs, *J. Math. Chem.* **41** (2007) 45–57.
- [18] A. Lupas, Inequalities for the roots of a class of polynomials, *Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Math. Fiz.* **594** (1977) 79–85.
- [19] B. J. McClelland, Properties of the latent roots of a matrix: the estimation of π -electron energy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **54** (1971) 640–643.
- [20] I. Ž. Milovanović, E. I. Milovanović, A. Zakić, A short note on graph energy, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **72** (2014) 179–182.

- [21] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, A. M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [22] D. S. Mitrinović, P. M. Vasić, *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [23] M. R. Rajesh Kanna, B. N. Dharmendra, G. Sridhara, The minimum dominating energy of a graph, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **85** (2013) 707–718.
- [24] G. Sridhara, M. R. Rajesh Kanna, B. N. Dharmendra, Milovanović bounds for minimum dominating energy of a graph, *Malaya J. Mat.* **3** (2015) 211–215.
- [25] A. Yu, M. Lu, F. Tian, On the spectral radius of graphs, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **387** (2004) 41–49.
- [26] A. Yu, M. Lu, F. Tian, New upper bounds for the energy of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **53** (2005) 441–448.
- [27] B. Zhou, Energy of a graph, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **51** (2004) 111–118.