MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry

Comparing the Multiplicative Zagreb Indices

Mehdi Eliasi^{a,1}, Damir Vukičević^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Khansar, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran

^bDepartment of Mathematics, University of Split, Nikole Tesle 12, HR-21000 Split, Croatia

(Recived July 11, 2012)

Abstract

Let G = (V, E) be a simple graph with n = |V| vertices and m = |E| edges. The first and the second Zagreb indices of G are defined as $M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V} d_u^2 = \sum_{uv \in E} [d_u + d_v]$ and $M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E} d_u d_v$, respectively, where d_u denotes the degree of vertex u. We compare the multiplicative versions of these indices.

1 Introduction

The first and the second Zagreb indices are among the oldest topological indices [2, 8, 12, 17–19], defined in 1972 by Gutman [10]. These indices have since been used to study molecular complexity, chirality, ZE-isomerism and hetero-systems. In this paper, we are concerned with finite graphs without loops, multiple, or directed edges. Let G = (V, E) be a simple graph with n = |V| vertices and m = |E| edges. The degree of a vertex $v \in V$ is denoted as d_v . The first Zagreb index is defined as the sum of the squares of the degrees of the vertices:

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{v \in V} d_v^2$$

¹Corresponding author; e-mail: eliasi@math.iut.ac.ir

-766-

and the second Zagreb index is defined as the sum of the product of the degrees of adjacent vertices:

$$M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E} d_u \, d_v$$

For the sake of simplicity, we often use M_1 and M_2 instead of $M_1(G)$ and $M_2(G)$, respectively. The first Zagreb index can also be expressed as a sum over the edges of G [5,10]:

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E} [d_u + d_v] .$$
 (1)

Gutman [7, 9] has recently proposed to consider the multiplicative variants of Zagreb indices as:

$$\Pi_1 = \Pi_1(G) = \prod_{u \in V} d_u^2$$

$$\Pi_2 = \Pi_2(G) = \prod_{uv \in E} d_u d_v .$$
(2)

Bearing in mind the identity (1), Eliasi and et. al. [6] considered a new multiplicative version of the first Zagreb index, namely:

$$\Pi_1^* = \Pi_1^*(G) = \prod_{uv \in E(G)} [d_u + d_v] .$$
(3)

It should be noted that in the general case, the indices $\Pi_1(G)$ and $\Pi_1^*(G)$ assume different values. In this paper we show that these indices have the same value only for cycles.

Comparing the values of these indices on the same graph was one very natural aim, which gave, and still gives, very interesting results and we do it here. For example the next conjecture was proposed [3]:

Conjecture 1. For all simple graphs G with n vertices and m edges,

$$\frac{M_1(G)}{n} \le \frac{M_2(G)}{m} \ . \tag{4}$$

As in [1] is maintained, the inequality (4) holds for trees [15], unicycles graphs [14], and graphs of maximum degree four, so called molecular graphs [11], graphs with only two distinct vertex degrees, but does not hold in general ([1, 11, 13]).

We give a multiplicative version of this conjuncture and solve it.

2 Preliminaries

As usual, the cycle of order n is denoted by C_n , the star of order n is denoted by S_n and the complete graph of order n by K_n . We denote for the sake of simplicity by $m_{i,j}$ the number of edges that connect vertices of degrees i and j in the graph G and by n_k the number of vertices in G of degree k. We have

$$n_i = m_{ii} + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} m_{ij} \tag{5}$$

$$|V(G)| = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} n_i \tag{6}$$

$$|E(G)| = \sum_{i \le j \in \mathbb{N}} m_{ij} .$$

$$\tag{7}$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $x, y \in N$ such that $x \neq y$. Then,

$$\frac{\ln x}{x} + \frac{\ln y}{y} < \frac{\ln x}{y} + \frac{\ln y}{x} . \tag{8}$$

Proof: Without loss of generality, we may assume that x < y. Note that $x^{y-x} < y^{y-x}$, hence by multiplying both sides by $(xy)^x$, we get $x^yy^x < x^xy^y$ and by applying logarithm, $y \ln x + x \ln y < x \ln x + y \ln x$. Dividing the last inequality by xy, we get (8).

3 Comparing the multiplicative versions of Zagreb indices

In the following theorem we compare between Π_1 and Π_1^* , the two multiplicative versions of the first Zagreb index.

Theorem 3.1. Let G be a simple connected graphs G of order n. Then

$$\Pi_1(G) \le \Pi_1^*(G) . \tag{9}$$

The equality holds if and only if $G \cong C_n$.

Proof: By notations in the earlier section we have

$$\ln \Pi_{1} = 2 \sum_{u \in V(G)} \ln d_{u} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} n_{i} \ln i$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{m_{ii} + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} m_{ij}}{i} \right) \ln i$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(m_{ii} + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} m_{ij} \right) \frac{\ln i}{i}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i \leq j \in \mathbb{N}} m_{ij} \left(\frac{\ln i}{i} + \frac{\ln j}{j} \right).$$
(10)

Also

$$\ln \Pi_1^*(G) = \sum_{i \le j \in \mathbb{N}} m_{ij} \ln(i+j).$$
(11)

Therefore, by (10) and (11) we obtain

$$\ln \Pi_1^*(G) - \ln \Pi_1(G) = \sum_{i \le j \in \mathbb{N}} m_{ij} \left[\ln(i+j) - 2\left(\frac{\ln i}{i} + \frac{\ln j}{j}\right) \right].$$
(12)

Let

$$f(i,j) = \ln(i+j) - 2\left(\frac{\ln i}{i} + \frac{\ln j}{j}\right).$$
(13)

If $i \ge 2$ and $j \ge 2$, then $\frac{1}{2}\ln(i+j) \ge \frac{\ln i}{i}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\ln(i+j) \ge \frac{\ln j}{j}$. Hence $f(i,j) \ge 0$ and by considering (12) we obtain $\Pi_1^*(G) \ge \Pi_1(G)$.

If $j \ge 2$ and i = 1, then $\frac{1}{2}\ln(1+j) \ge \frac{\ln j}{j}$. Hence $f(i,j) = f(1,j) \ge 0$ and therefore by (12), $\Pi_1^*(G) \ge \Pi_1(G)$. Note that f(i,j) = 0 if and only if i = j = 2 or equivalently $G \cong C_n$.

Theorem 3.2. Let G be a simple connected graph with minimum degree δ . Then

$$\Pi_2(G) \le \frac{\Pi_1(G)^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}}{\delta^{n(n-1) - 2m}}.$$

The equality holds if and only if there is a vertex $u \in V(G)$, such that for each $v \in V(G)$ if $vu \notin E(G)$, then $d_v = \delta$.

Proof: Let u be a vertex of G and $N(u) = \{v \in V(G) | uv \in E(G)\}$. Then

$$\sum_{v \in N(u)} \ln d_v + \sum_{v \notin N(u)} \ln d_v = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \ln d_v = \frac{1}{2} \ln \Pi_1(G)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sum_{v \in N(u)} \ln d_v &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \Pi_1(G) - \sum_{v \notin N(u)} \ln d_v \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \Pi_1(G) - \ln d_u - (n - 1 - d_u) \ln(\delta) \;. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in N(u)} \ln d_v \leq \sum_{u \in V(G)} \frac{1}{2} \ln \Pi_1(G) - \sum_{u \in V(G)} \ln d_u - \sum_{u \in V(G)} (n - 1 - d_u) \ln(\delta)$$
$$= \left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln \Pi_1 - n(n - 1) \ln(\delta) + 2m \ln(\delta) .$$
(14)

But

$$\begin{split} \ln \Pi_2(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} [\ln d_u + \ln d_v] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in N(U)} [\ln d_u + \ln d_v] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in N(U)} \ln d_u + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in N(U)} \ln d_v \; . \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\ln \Pi_2(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_u \ln d_u = \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in N(U)} \ln d_v .$$
(15)

By (14) and (15) we obtain

$$\Pi_2(G) \le \frac{\Pi_1(G)^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}}{\delta^{n(n-1) - 2m}} .$$

The equality holds if and only if there is a vertex $u \in V(G)$, such that for each $v \in V(G)$ if $vu \notin E(G)$, then $d_v = \delta$.

Theorem 3.3. Let G be a graph with m edges. Then

$$\sqrt{\Pi_2(G)} \le \frac{\Pi_1^*(G)}{2^m}$$

Moreover, equality holds only for regular graphs.

Proof: We have

$$\frac{\sqrt{\Pi_2(G)}}{\Pi_1^*(G)} = \frac{\prod_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_u \, d_v}}{2^m \prod_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{d_u + d_v}{2}} = \frac{1}{2^m} \prod_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{\sqrt{d_u \, d_v}}{\frac{d_u + d_v}{2}} \le \frac{1}{2^m} \,. \tag{16}$$

In (16) the equality holds if and only if for each edge $uv \in E(G)$, $d_u = d_v$ and thus G is a regular graph.

-770-

Theorem 3.4. Let G be a graph with m edges, maximum degree Δ and minimum degree δ , respectively. Then

$$\sqrt{\Pi_2(G)} \ge \Pi_1^*(G) \left(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta\delta}}{\Delta+\delta}\right)^m.$$

The equality holds if and only if for every edge of G, its end vertices have degrees Δ and δ .

Proof: For any edge uv of G, assume that $d_u \leq d_v$. Then

$$\frac{\sqrt{\Pi_2(G)}}{\Pi_1^*(G)} = \frac{1}{2^m} \prod_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{2\sqrt{d_u \, d_v}}{[d_u + d_v]} = \frac{1}{2^m} \prod_{uv \in E(G)} f(d_u/d_v) \tag{17}$$

where $f(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{1+x}$. This function is increasing for $\frac{1}{n+1} \leq x \leq 1$. Note $f(\frac{d_u}{d_v}) \geq f(\frac{\delta}{\Delta}) = \frac{2\sqrt{\Delta\delta}}{\Delta+\delta}$, with equality if and only if $d_u = \delta$ and $d_v = \Delta$. This and equation (17) complete the proof.

Corollary 3.5. Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then

$$\sqrt{\Pi_2(G)} \ge \Pi_1^*(G) \left(\frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{n}\right)^m.$$

The equality holds if and only if G is the star.

Theorem 3.6. Let G be a molecular graph, i.e $\Delta(G) \leq 4$. Then

 $\Pi_1^*(G)^4 \ge \Pi_2(G)^3.$

Proof: Let $g(x, y) = (x+y)^4 - (xy)^3$. Then for each $x, y \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ we have $g(x, y) \ge 0$. Therefore $(x + y)^4 \ge (xy)^3$. Since G is a molecular graph, for each $u \in V(G)$ we have $d_u \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Hence

$$\prod_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u + d_v)^4 \ge \prod_{uv \in E(G)} (d_u \, d_v)^3$$

and thus

$$\Pi_1^*(G)^4 \ge \Pi_2(G)^3$$
.

The result follows.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Let } G = K_{2,3}. \ \text{Then} \ ^{|V(G)|} \sqrt{\Pi_1^*(G)} = 6.898, \ ^{|V(G)|} \sqrt{\Pi_1(G)} = 5.53 \ \text{and} \ ^{|E(G)|} \sqrt{\Pi_2(G)} = 6.\\ \text{So} \ ^{|V(G)|} \sqrt{\Pi_1^*(G)} \geq \ ^{|E(G)|} \sqrt{\Pi_2(G)} \geq \ ^{|V(G)|} \sqrt{\Pi_1(G)}.\\ \text{Now let } H = P_5. \ \text{Then} \ ^{|V(H)|} \sqrt{\Pi_1^*(H)} = 2.7, \ ^{|V(H)|} \sqrt{\Pi_1(H)} = 2.29 \ \text{and} \ ^{|E(H)|} \sqrt{\Pi_2(H)} = 2.8.\\ \text{So} \ ^{|E(H)|} \sqrt{\Pi_2(H)} \geq \ ^{|V(H)|} \sqrt{\Pi_1^*(H)} \geq \ ^{|V(H)|} \sqrt{\Pi_1(H)}. \end{array}$

Now we consider the following theorem that is multiplicative version of Conjecture (1).

Theorem 3.7. Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then

$$\sqrt[n]{\prod_{u \in V} d_u^2} \leq \sqrt[m]{\prod_{uv \in E} d_u d_v}$$

Moreover, equality holds only for regular graphs.

Proof: Note that the following sequence of the relations is equivalent:

$$\begin{split} \sqrt[n]{\prod_{u \in V} d_u^2} &\leq \sqrt[n]{\prod_{u v \in E} d_u d_v} \\ &\ln \sqrt[n]{\prod_{u \in V} d_u^2} \leq \ln \sqrt[n]{\prod_{u v \in E} d_u d_v} \\ &\frac{\sum_{u \in V} 2 \ln d_u}{n} \leq \frac{\sum_{u v \in E} (\ln d_u + \ln d_v)}{m} \\ &\frac{\sum_{u v \in E} \left(\frac{2 \ln d_u}{d_u} + \frac{2 \ln d_v}{d_v}\right)}{\sum_{u v \in E} \left(\frac{1}{d_u} + \frac{1}{d_v}\right)} \leq \frac{\sum_{u v \in E} (\ln d_u + \ln d_v)}{\sum_{u v \in E} 1} \\ &\sum_{u v \in E} \left(\frac{2 \ln d_u}{d_u} + \frac{2 \ln d_v}{d_v}\right) \cdot \sum_{pq \in E} 1 - \sum_{u v \in E} \left(\frac{1}{d_u} + \frac{1}{d_v}\right) \sum_{pq \in E} (\ln d_p + \ln d_q) \leq 0 \\ &\sum_{u v \in E} p_{q \in E} \left(\frac{2 \ln d_u}{d_u} + \frac{2 \ln d_v}{d_v} - \left(\frac{1}{d_u} + \frac{1}{d_v}\right) (\ln d_p + \ln d_q)\right) \leq 0 \\ &\sum_{\{uv, pq\} \in \binom{E}{2}} \left[\frac{2 \ln d_u}{d_u} + \frac{2 \ln d_v}{d_v} - \left(\frac{1}{d_u} + \frac{1}{d_v}\right) (\ln d_p + \ln d_q) + \frac{2 \ln d_p}{d_p} \\ &+ \frac{2 \ln d_q}{d_q - (1/d_p + 1/d_q) (\ln d_u + \ln d_v)}\right] + \sum_{u v \in E} \left[\frac{2 \ln d_u}{d_u} + \frac{2 \ln d_v}{d_v} - \left(\frac{1}{d_u} + \frac{1}{d_v}\right) (\ln d_p + \frac{2 \ln d_v}{d_v} - \left(\frac{1}{d_u} + \frac{1}{d_v}\right) (\ln d_p + \ln d_q) + \frac{2 \ln d_p}{d_p} \right] \\ &- \left(\frac{1}{d_u} + \frac{1}{d_v}\right) (\ln d_u + \ln d_v)\right] \leq 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\{uv,pq\}\in \binom{E}{2}} \left[\left(\frac{\ln d_u}{d_u} + \frac{\ln d_p}{d_p} \right) - \left(\frac{\ln d_u}{d_p} + \frac{\ln d_p}{d_u} \right) \right] + \left[\left(\frac{\ln d_v}{d_v} + \frac{\ln d_p}{d_p} \right) - \left(\frac{\ln d_v}{d_p} + \frac{\ln d_p}{d_v} \right) \right] \\ &+ \left[\left(\frac{\ln d_u}{d_u} + \frac{\ln d_q}{d_q} \right) - \left(\frac{\ln d_u}{d_q} + \frac{\ln d_q}{d_u} \right) \right] + \left[\left(\frac{\ln d_v}{d_v} + \frac{\ln d_q}{d_q} \right) - \left(\frac{\ln d_v}{d_q} + \frac{\ln d_q}{d_v} \right) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{uv \in E} \left[\left(\frac{\ln d_u}{d_u} + \frac{\ln d_v}{d_v} \right) - \left(\frac{\ln d_u}{v} + \frac{\ln d_v}{u} \right) \right] \le 0 \; . \end{split}$$

The last inequality follows form Lemma (2.1) and equality holds only when u = v = p = q for all summands in the first sum and u = v for all summands in the second sum, i. e., when the graph is regular.

References

- V. Andova, S. Bogoev, D. Dimitrov, M. Pilipczuk, R. Škrekovski, On the Zagreb index inequality of graphs with prescribed vertex degrees, *Discr. Appl. Math.* 159 (2011) 852–858.
- [2] J. Braun, A. Kerber, M. Meringer, C. Rucker, Similarity of molecular descriptors: The equivalence of Zagreb indices and walk counts, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 54 (2005) 163–176.
- [3] G. Caporossi, P. Hansen, Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs. 1. The Auto-GraphiX system, *Discr. Math.* **212** (2000) 29–44.
- [4] K. C. Das, Maximizing the sum of the squares of the degrees of a graph, *Discr. Math.* 285 (2004) 57–66.
- [5] T. Došlić, B. Furtula, A. Graovac, I. Gutman, S. Moradi, Z. Yarahmadi, On vertexdegree-based molecular structure descriptors, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 66 (2011) 613–626.
- [6] M. Eliasi, I. Gutman, A. Iranmanesh, Multiplicative Versions of first Zagreb index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 68 (2012) 217–230.
- [7] I. Gutman, Multiplicative Zagreb indices of trees, Bull. Int. Math. Virt. Inst. 1 (2011) 13–19.

- [8] I. Gutman, K. C. Das, The first Zagreb index 30 years after, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 50 (2004) 83–92.
- [9] I. Gutman, M. Ghorbani, Some properties of the Narumi–Katayama index, Appl. Math. Lett., 25 (2012) 1435–1438.
- [10] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π-electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535–538.
- [11] P. Hansen. D. Vukičević, Comparing the Zagreb indices, Croat. Chem. Acta 80 (2007) 165–168.
- [12] S. Nikolić, G. Kovačević, A. Milicević, N. Trinajstić, The Zagreb indices 30 years after, Croat. Chem. Acta 76 (2003) 113–124.
- [13] D. Vukičević, I. Gutman, B. Furtula, V. Andova, D. Dimitrov, Some observations on comparing Zagreb indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 66 (2011) 627–645.
- [14] D. Vukičević, Comparing Zagreb indices, talk on the meeting of International Academy of Mathematical Chemistry, Dubrovnik, 2007.
- [15] D. Vukičević, A. Graovac, Comparing Zagreb M₁ and M₂ indices for acyclic molecules, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 57 (2007) 587–590.
- [16] B. Zhou, D. Stevanović A note on Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 56 (2006) 571–578.
- [17] B. Zhou, Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 52 (2004) 113– 118.
- [18] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, Relations between Wiener, hyper–Wiener and Zagreb indices, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **394** (2004) 93–95.
- [19] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, Further properties of Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 54 (2005) 233–239.