

Applications of a theorem by Ky Fan in the theory of Laplacian energy of graphs

María Robbiano* and Raúl Jiménez†

Universidad Católica del Norte, Avenida Angamos 0610,
Antofagasta, Chile

(Received December 19, 2008)

Abstract

The Laplacian energy of a graph G is equal to the sum of distances of the Laplacian eigenvalues to their average, which in turn is equal to the sum of singular values of a shift of Laplacian matrix of G . Let X, Y , and Z be matrices, such that $Z = X + Y$. Ky Fan has established an inequality between the sum of singular values of Z and the sum of the sum of singular values of X and Y respectively. We apply this inequality to obtain new results in the theory of Laplacian energy of a graph.

1 Preliminaries

Let $G = (V, \bar{E})$ be a simple graph, with nonempty vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $\bar{E} = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$. That is to say, G is a simple (n, m) -graph. For any of these graphs $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ corresponds to its vertex degree sequence. In particular $\Delta(G)$ stands for the largest vertex degree of G . The diagonal matrix of order n whose (i, i) -entry is d_i is the diagonal

*E-mail address: mariarobbiano@gmail.com

†The authors were partially supported by Project Mecesp 2 UCN0605.

vertex degree matrix of G and is denoted by $D(G)$. The $(0, 1)$ -adjacency matrix $A(G) = (a_{ij})$ is defined by $a_{ij} = 1$ if, and only if, vertices i and j are connected. Its eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ form the spectrum of G . The matrix $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ is the Laplacian matrix of G . The Laplacian spectrum of G corresponds to eigenvalues $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ of $L(G)$. It is well known that for bipartite graphs, Laplacian matrix and the signless Laplacian matrix $Q(G) = A(G) + D(G)$ have equal spectra [2].

The notion of the energy $E(G)$ of an (n, m) -graph G was introduced by Gutman in connection with the π -molecular energy (cf. [8, 9, 11, 14]). It is defined by

$$E(G) = \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|$$

whereas the Laplacian energy $LE(G)$ of an (n, m) -graph G (cf. [1, 4, 10, 12, 21]) is defined by

$$LE(G) = \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j - (2m/n)| . \tag{1}$$

The concept of matrix energy [16] was established by analogy with graph energy. For a matrix C , with singular values $s_1(C), s_2(C), \dots$ its energy $\mathcal{E}(C)$ is equal to $s_1(C) + s_2(C) + \dots$. Consequently, if $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is symmetric with eigenvalues $\lambda_1(C), \lambda_2(C), \dots, \lambda_n(C)$ its energy is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i(C)| .$$

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by I_s the corresponding identity matrix of order s . Evidently the energy of any graph G is the energy of its adjacency matrix

and its Laplacian energy is provided by

$$LE(G) = \mathcal{E} \left(L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right). \quad (2)$$

The following results are already known.

Theorem 1 *Let A and B be two real square matrices of order n and let $C = A + B$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(C) \leq \mathcal{E}(A) + \mathcal{E}(B). \quad (3)$$

Moreover equality holds if, and only if, there exists an orthogonal matrix P such that PA and PB are both positive semidefinite matrices.

Lemma 2 ([3]) *If $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ is a positive semidefinite matrix and $a_{ii} = 0$ for some i , then $a_{ij} = 0 = a_{ji}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Theorem 1 was obtained by Ky Fan [5] using a variational principle. It also appears in Gohberg and Krein [7] and in Horn and Johnson [13]. No equality case is discussed in these references. Thompson [19, 20] employs polar decomposition theorem and inequalities due to Fan and Hoffman [6] to obtain its equality case. Day and So [3] give the details of a proof for the inequality and the case of equality.

For a matrix A , define $|A| \triangleq (A^T A)^{1/2}$. Here we present the following version of the polar decomposition theorem.

Theorem 3 ([15]) *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then there exist positive semidefinite matrices $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and orthogonal matrices $P, F \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $A = PX = YF$. Moreover, the matrices X, Y are unique, $X = |A|$, $Y = (AA^T)^{1/2}$. The matrices P and F are uniquely determined if and only if A is nonsingular.*

The aim of this paper is to study cases of equality.

2 Graphs G for which $LE(G) = E(G) + \mathcal{E}(D(G) - (2m/n)I_n)$

Theorem 4 *Let G be a connected (n, m) -graph. Then*

$$E(G) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| d_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right| \geq LE(G). \quad (4)$$

Moreover equality in (4) holds if, and only if, G is a regular graph.

Proof. The inequality in (4) is proved in [17]. If G is a regular graph then the equality in (4) holds (see [12]). Conversely, suppose the equality in (4) holds. In order to obtain a contradiction, we suppose that G is not regular. Therefore

$$\Delta(G) = d_1 > \frac{2m}{n}. \quad (5)$$

For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $a_i \triangleq d_i - (2m/n)$. We have $a_1 > 0$, via (5). Bearing in mind that $L(G) - (2m/n)I_n = D(G) - (2m/n)I_n - A(G)$ and the equality in (4), we see that Theorem 1 asserts that there exists an orthogonal matrix P such that $X = P(D - (2m/n)I_n)$ and $Y = P(-A(G))$ are both positive semidefinite. Hence $P^T X$ and $P^T Y$ are polar decompositions of the matrices $D - \frac{2m}{n}I_n$ and $-A(G)$, respectively. Here, using Theorem 3 we obtain $X = |D - \frac{2m}{n}I_n|$ and $Y = |A(G)|$. Therefore $X =$

$diag(|a_1|, |a_2|, \dots, |a_n|)$. Setting

$$P^T = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & \cdots & q_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_{n1} & \cdots & q_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A(G) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{12} & 0 & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$P^T X = D - (2m/n)I_n$, implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & \cdots & q_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_{n1} & \cdots & q_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |a_1| & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & |a_n| \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} |a_1| q_{11} & |a_2| q_{12} & \cdots & |a_n| q_{1n} \\ |a_1| q_{21} & |a_2| q_{22} & & |a_n| q_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ |a_1| q_{n1} & |a_2| q_{n2} & \cdots & |a_n| q_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & \\ & a_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Equality at first column imposes $q_{11} = 1$ and, $q_{i1} = 0$, $i = 2, \dots, n$. It follows that

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ q_{12} & \cdots & & q_{n2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_{1n} & \cdots & & q_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We must then have

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ q_{12} & \cdots & & q_{n2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_{1n} & \cdots & & q_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{12} & 0 & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ * & \cdots & & * \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & \cdots & & * \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The previous matrix is positive semidefinite and by Lemma 2, we obtain $a_{1j} = 0$, $j = 2, \dots, n$. This contradicts our assumption that G is a connected graph and the result follows. ■

3 Graphs G for which $LE(G) = E(G)$

Gutman and Zhou [12] showed that if G is a regular graph then

$$LE(G) = E(G) . \tag{6}$$

In particular, if G is bipartite and regular, then the equality (6) holds. In this section we give conditions for the converse:

Theorem 5 *Let G be a bipartite graph. Then the equality (6) holds if, and only if, G is a regular graph.*

Proof. Let G be a regular graph. We must then have (6) [12]. Conversely, suppose the equality (6) holds. From definition of Laplacian and signless Laplacian matrices it is clear that

$$\left(Q(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right) - \left(L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right) = 2A(G) . \tag{7}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} \left(Q(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n - \left(L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right) \right) &= 2\mathcal{E} (A(G)) \\ &= E(G) + E(G) \\ &= LE(G) + LE(G) . \end{aligned}$$

Bearing in mind that G is bipartite we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E} \left(Q(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n - \left(L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right) \right) \\ &= \mathcal{E} \left(Q(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right) + \mathcal{E} \left(- \left(L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Therefore, Theorem 1 asserts that there exists an orthogonal matrix P , such that

$$X = P \left(Q(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = P \left(- \left(L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right) \right) \quad (9)$$

are both positive semidefinite matrices. Hence $P^T X$ and $P^T Y$ are polar decompositions of

$$Q(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \quad \text{and} \quad - \left(L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right)$$

respectively. By Theorem 3 we obtain

$$X = \left| Q(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right| \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \left| L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right|.$$

In view of the fact that G is bipartite, we conclude that $X = Y$. By using Eq. (9) we arrive at

$$Q(G) + L(G) = \frac{4m}{n} I_n$$

which implies the result. ■

4 A new upper bound on $LE(G)$

We shall be considering G with nonempty edge set \bar{E} . Let u, v be two vertices of G . The Laplacian matrix of the graph $G(u, v)$ with n vertices and just one

edge between vertices u and v , is determined via

$$L(G(u, v))_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, j) = (u, u) \text{ or } (i, j) = (v, v) \\ -1 & \text{if } (i, j) = (u, v) \text{ or } (i, j) = (v, u) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Spielman [18] expresses the Laplacian matrix of G in terms of $L(G(u, v))$ by

$$L(G) = \sum_{(u,v) \in \overline{E}} L(G(u, v)) . \quad (10)$$

We consider $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The energy $\mathcal{E}(L(G(u, v)) - \alpha I_n)$ can be computed directly as:

$$\mathcal{E}(L(G(u, v)) - \alpha I_n) = (n - 1) |\alpha| + |2 - \alpha| . \quad (11)$$

On the other hand, for $0 < a < 1$, let A , Q , P , and D be the following matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & -1 \\ -1 & a \end{bmatrix}, Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} a - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a + 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The proof of the next result is a matter of straightforward computation, and depends on the spectrum of A .

Lemma 6 *Let A , Q , P and D be as above. Then $A = QDQ^{-1}$. Moreover $A = P|A|$.*

As an immediate consequence we have $|A| = Q|D|Q^{-1}$.

Theorem 7 *Let G be an (n, m) -graph. Then*

$$LE(G) \leq 4m \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (12)$$

Equality holds if, and only if, $\overline{E} = \emptyset$ or G is the union of one edge and $n - 2$ isolated vertices.

Proof. The description of $L(G)$ in (10) makes the next equality evident, that is:

$$L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n = \sum_{(u,v) \in \bar{E}(G)} \left(L(G(u,v)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right). \quad (13)$$

The inequality in (12) is a consequence of Eqs. (2), (13), Theorem 1 and Eq. (11), by changing α to $2/n$. On the equality case in (12), it is easily checked that (12) is an equality in the cases considered in the statement. Conversely, suppose that we have equality in (12), $\bar{E} \neq \emptyset$ and $m \geq 2$. Consider $\bar{E} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ where $e_i = \{u_i, v_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Thus, the next equality is implied by equality in (12), (13) and (11).

$$\mathcal{E} \left(L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{E} \left(L(G(e_i)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right). \quad (14)$$

Using (13) and Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} \left(L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n \right) &\leq \mathcal{E} \left(L(G(e_1)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right) \\ &+ \mathcal{E} \left(\sum_{i=2}^m \left(L(G(e_i)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Replacing (14) into (15) and apply Theorem 1 to obtain

$$\mathcal{E} \left(\sum_{i=2}^m \left(L(G(e_i)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right) \right) = \sum_{i=2}^m \mathcal{E} \left(L(G(e_i)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right). \quad (16)$$

By the same kind of reasoning, but this time considering (16) rather than (14), we obtain

$$\mathcal{E} \left(\sum_{i=3}^m \left(L(G(e_i)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right) \right) = \sum_{i=3}^m \mathcal{E} \left(L(G(e_i)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right).$$

Using a reasoning analogous to that above, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E} \left(\left(L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right) + \left(L(G(e_{m-1})) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right) \right) \\ &= \mathcal{E} \left(L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right) + \mathcal{E} \left(L(G(e_{m-1})) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Invoking again Theorem 1, there exists an orthogonal matrix P such that

$$X = P \left(L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = P \left(L(G(e_{m-1})) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right) \quad (18)$$

are positive semidefinite matrices. Hence $P^T X$ and $P^T Y$ are polar decompositions of nonsingular matrices $L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n$ and $L(G(e_{m-1})) - \frac{2}{n} I_n$ respectively. By Theorem 3 we conclude that

$$X = \left| L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right| \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \left| L(G(e_{m-1})) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right| .$$

As

$$L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \quad \text{and} \quad L(G(e_{m-1})) - \frac{2}{n} I_n$$

are invertible matrices, P is the unique orthogonal matrix for which (18) is true. Let $b = 1 - 2/n$. Then the matrix $L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{n} & 0 & \dots & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ 0 & b & \dots & 0 & -1 & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & -\frac{2}{n} & & \vdots & \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & 0 & \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & b & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ 0 & \dots & & & 0 & -\frac{2}{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

By relatively straightforward means one can show that

$$L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n = F_m B F_m^T \tag{19}$$

with F_m denoting a particular permutation matrix and B is the nonsingular matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} b & -1 & \dots & & 0 \\ -1 & b & \dots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & -\frac{2}{n} & & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & & \dots & 0 & -\frac{2}{n} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Now let Q be the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & & & & \\ -1 & 0 & & & & \\ & & -1 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

By Lemma 6 and Theorem 3 we conclude that Q is the unique orthogonal matrix for which

$$B = Q |B| . \tag{20}$$

Therefore, Eq. (19) implies

$$\left| L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right| = F_m |B| F_m^T = F_m Q^{-1} B F_m^T . \tag{21}$$

Now we can replace (19) and (21) in

$$L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n = P^T \left| L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right|$$

to obtain

$$F_m Q F_m^T = P^T .$$

Then $P = F_m Q F_m^T$ is the unique orthogonal matrix such that

$$P \left(L(G(e_m)) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right)$$

is positive definite and it is depending of edge e_m . We see that this contradicts to the requirement that

$$Y = P \left(L(G(e_{m-1})) - \frac{2}{n} I_n \right)$$

is a positive definite matrix. This proves the assertion. ■

5 An upper bound on the Laplacian energy for the union of graphs

Here and throughout this section, \oplus denotes the block matrix direct sum [13].

Theorem 8 *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a collection of $k, (n_i, m_i)$ -graphs, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Consider $G = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_k$ so that $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ is the order of G and $m = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$ is the size of G . Then*

$$LE(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k LE(G_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{2m_i}{n_i} - \frac{2m}{n} \right| n_i. \quad (22)$$

Equality holds if, and only if, $2m_i/n_i = 2m/n$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proof. The following equality follows immediately from the statement,

$$\frac{2m}{n} = \left(1 / \sum_{j=1}^k n_j \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k 2m_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{2m_i}{n_i} \left(n_i / \sum_{j=1}^k n_j \right). \quad (23)$$

In other words $2m/n$ is a convex combination of $2m_i/n_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

In order to simplify the writing and omit some subscripts, we take $I_{n_i} \equiv I_i$ and $2m_i/n_i - 2m/n \equiv b_i$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} L(G) - \frac{2m}{n} I_n &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \left(L(G_i) - \frac{2m}{n} I_i \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \left(L(G_i) - \frac{2m_i}{n_i} I_i \right) + \bigoplus_{i=1}^k b_i I_i . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as a consequence of Eq. (2) and Theorem 1, the inequality in (22) follows. On the equality case, the condition is sufficient [12]. Conversely we suppose the equality in (22) is true and suppose that, the equalities $2m_i/n_i = 2m/n$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, fail. Therefore, by (23) there exists ℓ such that $2m_\ell/n_\ell > 2m/n$. We can assume that $\ell = 1$. As a consequence of Theorem 1 and equality in (22), there exists an orthogonal matrix P such that

$$X = P \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \left(L(G_i) - \frac{2m_i}{n_i} I_i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = P \bigoplus_{i=1}^k b_i I_i$$

are both positive semidefinite. Hence $P^T X$ and $P^T Y$ are polar decompositions of the matrices

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \left(L(G_i) - \frac{2m_i}{n_i} I_i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^k b_i I_i$$

respectively. By Theorem 3, we arrive at $Y = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k |b_i| I_i$. Thus

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^k |b_i| I_i = P \bigoplus_{i=1}^k b_i I_i . \tag{24}$$

We can write the orthogonal matrix P as

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & \dots & P_{1k} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} & \dots & P_{2k} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{k1} & \dots & & P_{kk} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{25}$$

with the diagonal matrices P_{jj} , $j = 1, \dots, k$, of order n_j respectively. From

(24) we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} |b_1| I_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & |b_2| I_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & |b_k| I_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & \dots & P_{1k} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} & \dots & P_{2k} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{k1} & \dots & & P_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 I_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 I_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & b_k I_k \end{pmatrix}$$

and then

$$\begin{pmatrix} |b_1| I_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & |b_2| I_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & |b_k| I_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 P_{11} & P_{12} & \dots & P_{1k} \\ b_1 P_{21} & P_{22} & \dots & P_{2k} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1 P_{k1} & \dots & & P_{kk} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

As $b_1 = 2m_1/n_1 - 2m/n > 0$, via (26) we obtain $P_{11} = I_1$ and $P_{j1} = 0$, $j = 2, \dots, k$. Substituting these P_{j1} into (25) and then replacing the matrix P in the equality $X = P \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (L(G_i) - (2m_i/n_i)I_i)$, we conclude that $L(G_1) - (2m_1/n_1)I_1$ is a positive semidefinite matrix. Now we have the required contradiction since

$$-\frac{2m_1}{n_1} \in \sigma \left(L(G_1) - \frac{2m_1}{n_1} I_1 \right).$$

Hence the assertion follows. ■

References

- [1] T. Aleksić, Upper bounds for Laplacian energy of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **60** (2008) 435–439.
- [2] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs – Theory and Application*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [3] J. Day, W. So, Singular value inequality and graph energy change, *El. J. Lin. Algebra* **16** (2007) 291–297.

- [4] N. N. M. de Abreu, C.T. M. Vinagre, A. S. Bonifácio, I. Gutman, The Laplacian energy of some Laplacian integral graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **60** (2008) 447–460.
- [5] K. Fan, Maximum properties and inequalities for the eigenvalues of completely continuous operators, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **37** (1951) 760–766.
- [6] K. Fan, A.J. Hoffman, Some metric inequalities in the space of matrices, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1955) 111–116.
- [7] I. Gohber, M. Krein, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1969.
- [8] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph, *Ber. Math.-Statist. Sect. Forschungsz. Graz* **103** (1978) 1–22.
- [9] I. Gutman, The energy of a Graph: Old and New Results, in: A. Betten, A. Kohnert, R. Laue, A. Wassermann (Eds.), *Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 2001, pp. 196–211.
- [10] I. Gutman, N. M. M. de Abreu, C. T. M. Vinagre, A. S. Bonifacio, S. Radenković, Relation between energy and Laplacian energy, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **59** (2008) 343–354.
- [11] I. Gutman, S. Zare Firoozabadi, J. A. de la Peña, J. Rada, On the energy of regular graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **57** (2007) 435–442.
- [12] I. Gutman, B. Zhou, Laplacian energy of a graph, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* **414** (2006) 29–37.
- [13] R. Horn, C. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.

- [14] G. Indulal, A. Vijayakumar, A note on energy of some graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **59** (2008) 269–274.
- [15] M. Marcus, H. Minc, *A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities*, Allyn & Bacon, Boston, 1964.
- [16] V. Nikiforov, The energy of graphs and matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **326** (2007) 1472–1475.
- [17] W. So, M. Robbiano, N. M. M. de Abreu, I. Gutman, Applications of the Ky Fan theorem in the theory of graph energy, *Lin. Algebra Appl.*, in press.
- [18] D. A. Spielman, *Spectral Graph Theory and Its Applications*, Lecture 2 (September 7, 2004).
- [19] R. C. Thompson, Convex and concave functions of singular values of matrix sums, *Pacific J. Math.* **66** (1976) 285–290.
- [20] R. C. Thompson, The case of equality in the matrix-valued triangle inequality, *Pacific J. Math.* **82** (1979) 279–280.
- [21] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, T. Aleksić, A note on Laplacian energy of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **60** (2008) 441–446.