MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry

ISSN 0340 - 6253

Two New Optimized Eight-Step Symmetric Methods for the Efficient Solution of the Schrödinger Equation and Related Problems

G.A. Panopoulos, Z.A. Anastassi and T.E. Simos *[†]

Department of Computer Science and Technology, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, University of Peloponnese GR-22 100 Tripolis, GREECE

(Received November 6, 2007)

Abstract

In this paper we construct two optimized eight-step symmetric methods with phase-lag order infinite and eight. The methods are constructed to solve numerically the radial time-independent Schrödinger equation during the resonance problem with use of the Woods-Saxon potential. They can also be used to integrate related IVPs with oscillating solutions such as orbital problems. We compare the two new methods to some recently constructed optimized methods from the literature. We measure the efficiency of the methods and conclude that the new method with infinite order of phase-lag is the most efficient of all the compared methods and for all the problems solved.

1 Introduction

The radial Schrödinger equation is given by:

$$y''(x) = \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + V(x) - E\right)y(x)$$
(1)

where $\frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}$ is the centrifugal potential, V(x) is the potential, E is the energy and $W(x) = \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + V(x)$ is the effective potential. It is valid that $\lim_{x \to \infty} V(x) = 0$

^{*}Active Member of the European Academy of Sciences and Arts

[†]Corresponding author. Please use the following address for all correspondence: Dr. T.E. Simos, 26 Menelaou Street, Amfithea - Paleon Faliron, GR-175 64 Athens, GREECE, Tel: 0030 210 94 20 091, E-mail: tsimos@mail.ariadne-t.gr

and therefore $\lim_{x \to \infty} W(x) = 0.$

We consider E > 0 and divide $[0, \infty)$ into subintervals $[a_i, b_i]$ so that W(x) is a constant with value \bar{W}_i . After this the problem (1) can be expressed by the approximation

$$y_i'' = (\tilde{W} - E) y_i, \quad \text{whose solution is} y_i(x) = A_i \exp\left(\sqrt{\tilde{W} - E} x\right) + B_i \exp\left(-\sqrt{\tilde{W} - E} x\right), \quad (2) A_i, B_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Many numerical methods have been developed for the efficient solution of the Schrödinger equation and related problems. For example Raptis and Allison have developed a two-step exponentially-fitted method of order four in [6]. More recently Kalogiratou and Simos have constructed a two-step P-stable exponentially-fitted method of order four in [7]. Also Anastassi and Simos have constructed exponentially-fitted Runge-Kutta methods of various orders in [8], [9] and [10].

Some other notable multistep methods for the numerical solution of oscillating IVPs have been developed by Chawla and Rao in [4], who produced a three-stage, two-Step P-stable method with minimal phase-lag and order six and by Henrici in [5], who produced a four-step symmetric method of order six.

Also some recent research work in numerical methods can be found in [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34] and [35].

2 Phase-lag analysis of symmetric multistep methods

For the numerical solution of the initial value problem

$$y'' = f(x, y) \tag{3}$$

multistep methods of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i y_{n+i} = h^2 \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i f(x_{n+i}, y_{n+i})$$
(4)

with m steps can be used over the equally spaced intervals $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^m \in [a, b]$ and $h = |x_{i+1} - x_i|, i = 0(1)m - 1.$

If the method is symmetric then $a_i = a_{m-i}$ and $b_i = b_{m-i}$, $i = 0(1) \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$.

Method (4) is associated with the operator

- 775 -

$$L(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i u(x+ih) - h^2 \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i u''(x+ih)$$
(5)

where $u \in C^2$.

Definition 1 The multistep method (5) is called algebraic of order p if the associated linear operator L vanishes for any linear combination of the linearly independent functions $1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^{p+1}$.

When a symmetric 2k-step method, that is for i = -k(1)k, is applied to the scalar test equation

$$y'' = -\omega^2 y \tag{6}$$

a difference equation of the form

$$A_{k}(v)y_{n+k} + \dots + A_{1}(v)y_{n+1} + A_{0}(v)y_{n} + A_{1}(v)y_{n-1} + \dots + A_{k}(v)y_{n-k} = 0$$
(7)

is obtained, where $v = \omega h$, h is the step length and $A_0(v)$, $A_1(v)$, ..., $A_k(v)$ are polynomials of v.

The characteristic equation associated with (7) is

$$A_k(v)s^k + \dots + A_1(v)s + A_0(v) + A_1(v)s^{-1} + \dots + A_k(v)s^{-k} = 0$$
(8)

Theorem 1 [1] The symmetric 2k-step method with characteristic equation given by (8) has phase-lag order q and phase-lag constant c given by

$$-cv^{q+2} + O(v^{q+4}) = \frac{2A_k(v)\cos(kv) + \dots + 2A_j(v)\cos(jv) + \dots + A_0(v)}{2k^2A_k(v) + \dots + 2j^2A_j(v) + \dots + 2A_1(v)}$$
(9)

The formula proposed from the above theorem gives us a direct method to calculate the phase-lag of any symmetric 2k- step method.

3 Construction of the new optimized multistep methods

We consider the multistep symmetric method of Quinlan-Tremaine [16], with eight steps and eighth algebraic order:

$$y_4 = -y_{-4} - a_3(y_3 + y_{-3}) - a_2(y_2 + y_{-2}) - a_1(y_1 + y_{-1}) + h^2 (b_3(f_3 + f_{-3}) + b_2(f_2 + f_{-2}) + b_1(f_1 + f_{-1}) + b_0f_0)$$
(10)

where $a_3 = -2$, $a_2 = 2$, $a_1 = -1$,

$$b_3 = \frac{17671}{12096},$$
 $b_2 = -\frac{23622}{12096},$ $b_1 = \frac{61449}{12096},$ $b_0 = -\frac{50516}{12096},$
 $y_i = y(x+ih)$ and $f_i = f(x+ih, y(x+ih))$

Based on the a_i coefficients of this method, we will construct two new optimized methods.

3.1 First optimized method with infinite order of phaselag

We want the first method to have infinite order of phase-lag, that is the phase-lag will be nullified using b_3 coefficient.

First we satisfy as many algebraic equations as possible, but leaving b_3 free. After achieving 8th algebraic order, the coefficients depend now on b_3 :

$$b_0 = -20 b_3 + \frac{601}{24}, \qquad b_2 = -6 b_3 + \frac{109}{16}, \qquad b_1 = 15 b_3 - \frac{101}{6}$$

and the phase-lag becomes:

$$PL = \frac{1}{10} \frac{A}{B}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$A = 192 \ (\cos(v))^4 - 192 \ (\cos(v))^3 + 96 \ (\cos(v))^3 v^2 b_3$$

$$-288 \ (\cos(v))^2 v^2 b_3 - 96 \ (\cos(v))^2 + 327 \ (\cos(v))^2 v^2 + 120 \ \cos(v)$$

$$-404 \ \cos(v) v^2 + 288 \ \cos(v) v^2 b_3 + 137 v^2 - 96 v^2 b_3 - 24 \quad \text{and}$$

$$B = 12 + 25 v^2$$

so by satisfying PL = 0, we derive

$$b_{3} = \frac{1}{96} \frac{C}{D}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$C = -192 \ (\cos(v))^{4} + 192 \ (\cos(v))^{3} + (96 - 327 \ v^{2}) \ (\cos(v))^{2} + (-120 + 404 \ v^{2}) \ \cos(v) - 137 \ v^{2} + 24$$

$$D = v^{2} \ (\cos(v) - 1)^{3} \qquad (11)$$

where $v = \omega h$, ω is the frequency and h is the step length used.

3.2 Second optimized method with eighth order of phase-lag

For this method we use all b_i coefficients for achieving maximum algebraic order or maximum phase-lag order. After achieving maximum algebraic order, that is eighth, the coefficients become:

$$b_0 = -\frac{12629}{3024}, \qquad b_1 = \frac{20483}{4032}, \qquad b_2 = -\frac{3937}{2016}, \qquad b_3 = \frac{17671}{12096}$$
(12)

If we repeat the procedure of the previous section and expand phase-lag using the Taylor series, we can nullify the leading term (that is the coefficient of h^8). However we obtain the same method as (12). The same method will be produced if we attempt any combination of algebraic order and phase-lag order. This happens due to the symmetry of the specific a_i chosen.

4 Numerical results

4.1 The problems

The efficiency of the two newly constructed methods will be measured through the integration of four initial value problems with oscillating solution.

4.1.1 The resonance problem

We will integrate problem (1) with l = 0 at the interval [0, 15] using the well known Woods-Saxon potential

$$V(x) = \frac{u_0}{1+q} + \frac{u_1 q}{(1+q)^2}, \qquad q = \exp\left(\frac{x-x_0}{a}\right), \quad \text{where}$$
(13)
$$u_0 = -50, \quad a = 0.6, \quad x_0 = 7 \quad \text{and} \quad u_1 = -\frac{u_0}{a}$$

and with boundary condition y(0) = 0.

The potential V(x) decays more quickly than $\frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}$, so for large x (asymptotic region) the Schrödinger equation (1) becomes

$$y''(x) = \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} - E\right)y(x)$$
(14)

The last equation has two linearly independent solutions $k x j_l(k x)$ and $k x n_l(k x)$, where j_l and n_l are the *spherical Bessel* and *Neumann* functions. When $x \to \infty$ the solution takes the asymptotic form

$$y(x) \approx A k x j_l(k x) - B k x n_l(k x)$$

$$\approx D[sin(k x - \pi l/2) + tan(\delta_l) \cos(k x - \pi l/2)],$$
(15)

where δ_l is called *scattering phase shift* and it is given by the following expression:

$$\tan\left(\delta_{l}\right) = \frac{y(x_{i}) S(x_{i+1}) - y(x_{i+1}) S(x_{i})}{y(x_{i+1}) C(x_{i}) - y(x_{i}) C(x_{i+1})},$$
(16)

where $S(x) = k x j_l(k x)$, $C(x) = k x n_l(k x)$ and $x_i < x_{i+1}$ and both belong to the asymptotic region. Given the energy we approximate the phase shift, the

accurate value of which is $\pi/2$ for the above problem.

We will use two different values for the energy: i) 989.701916 and ii) 341.495874. As for the frequency ω we will use the suggestion of Ixaru and Rizea [13]:

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{E - 50}, & x \in [0, 6.5] \\ \sqrt{E}, & x \in [6.5, 15] \end{cases}$$
(17)

4.1.2 Two-Body Problem

 $y'' = -\frac{y}{(y^2+z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \ z'' = -\frac{z}{(y^2+z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \ \text{with } y(0) = 1, \ y'(0) = 0, \ z(0) = 0, \ z'(0) = 1, t \in [0, 1000 \, \pi].$ Theoretical solution: $y(t) = \cos(t)$ and $z(t) = \sin(t)$. We used the estimation $w = \frac{1}{(y^2+z^2)^{\frac{3}{4}}}$ as frequency of the problem.

4.1.3 Inhomogeneous Equation

 $y'' = -100 y + 99 \sin(t)$, with $y(0) = 1, y'(0) = 11, t \in [0, 1000 \pi]$. Theoretical solution: $y(t) = \sin(t) + \sin(10 t) + \cos(10 t)$. Estimated frequency: w = 10.

4.1.4 Orbital Problem by Franco and Palacios

The "almost" periodic orbital problem studied by [17] can be described by

$$y'' + y = \epsilon e^{i\psi x}, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = i, \quad y \in \mathcal{C},$$
 (18)

or equivalently by

$$u'' + u = \epsilon \cos(\psi x), \quad u(0) = 1, \quad u'(0) = 0, v'' + v = \epsilon \sin(\psi x), \quad v(0) = 0, \quad v'(0) = 1,$$
(19)

where $\epsilon = 0.001$ and $\psi = 0.01$.

The theoretical solution of the problem (18) is given below:

$$y(x) = u(x) + iv(x), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{R}$$
$$u(x) = \frac{1-\epsilon-\psi^2}{1-\psi^2}\cos(x) + \frac{\epsilon}{1-\psi^2}\cos(\psi x)$$
$$v(x) = \frac{1-\epsilon\psi-\psi^2}{1-\psi^2}\sin(x) + \frac{\epsilon}{1-\psi^2}\sin(\psi x)$$

The system of equations (19) has been solved for $x \in [0, 1000 \, \pi]$. The estimated frequency is w = 1.

4.2 The methods

We have used several multistep methods for the integration of the Schrödinger equation. These are:

• The new method with infinite order of phase-lag shown in (11)

- The new method with eighth order of phase-lag shown in (12)
- The 8-step symmetric method of Quinlan-Tremaine of order eight [16]
- The 6-step symmetric method of Jenkins of order six [3]
- The P-stable method of Henrici with minimal phase-lag and order six [5]
- The exponentially-fitted method of Raptis and Allison of order four [6]
- The P-stable exponentially-fitted method of Kalogiratou and Simos of order four [7]
- The three-stage method of Chawla and Rao of order six [4]

4.3 Comparison

We are presenting the **accuracy** of the tested methods expressed by the $-\log_{10}(\max, \text{ error over interval})$ or $-\log_{10}(\text{error at the end point})$, depending on whether we know the theoretical solution or not, versus the $\log_{10}(\text{total function evaluations})$. The **function evaluations** per step are equal to the number of stages of the method multiplied by one, which is the dimension of the vector of the functions integrated for the problem. In Figures 1 and 2 we see the results for the Resonance problem for energies E = 989.701916 and E = 341.495874 respectively. In Figure 3 we see the results for the Franco-Palacios almost periodic problem, in Figure 4 the results for the Inhomogeneous equation and in Figure 5 the results for the Two-body problem.

Among all the methods used and especially compared to the original method of Quinlan-Tremaine, the new optimized method with infinite order of phase-lag was the most efficient. The difference from the other methods was about 1.5 decimal digits better for the Resonance problem for energy E = 989.701916 and about 1 d.d. for E = 341.495874. For the other three problems the difference was enormous, where there was an almost vertical increase in the accuracy compared to the other methods. There were no case where the efficiency dropped below the efficiency of the others.

An interesting remark is that the new optimized method with eighth order of phase-lag had almost identical results with the original method of Quinlan-Tremaine in all problems. This happens because of the special way of development of the specific classical method and its symmetry, where finite order of phase-lag does not give higher accuracy.

As regards to the other methods, the one of Henrici was the most efficient, with next the method of Jenkins, the method of Chawla and finally the methods of Raptis-Allison and Kalogiratou-Simos, with the exception of the Two-body problem, where the method of Chawla had the lowest efficiency.

5 Conclusions

We have constructed two optimized eight-step symmetric methods with phaselag order infinite and eight. We have applied the new methods along with a group of recently developed methods from the literature to the Schrödinger equation and related problems. We concluded that the new methods are highly efficient compared to other optimized methods which also reveals the importance of phase-lag when solving ordinary differential equations with oscillating solutions.



Resonance (E = 989.701916)

Figure 1: Efficiency for the Resonance Problem using E = 989.701916



Figure 2: Efficiency for the Resonance Problem using E = 341.495874



Franco-Palacios

Figure 3: Efficiency for the Franco-Palacios problem

Inhomogeneous



Figure 4: Efficiency for the Inhomogeneous equation



Figure 5: Efficiency for the Two-body problem

References

- T.E. Simos, Chemical Modelling Applications and Theory Vol.1, Specialist Periodical Reports, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge (2000)
- [2] T. Lyche, Chebyshevian multistep methods for Ordinary Differential Eqations, Num. Math. 19, 65-75 (1972)
- [3] http://www.burtleburtle.net/bob/math/multistep.html
- [4] M.M. Chawla and P.S. Rao, A Numerov-type method with minimal phaselag for the integration of second order periodic initial-value problems. II. Explicit method, J.Comput.Appl.Math., 15, 329 (1986)
- [5] P. Henrici, Discrete Variable Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations, John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA (1962)
- [6] D. Raptis and A.C. Allison, Exponential-fitting methods for the numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation, Computer Physics Communications, 14, 1 (1978)
- [7] Z. Kalogiratou and T.E. Simos, A P-stable exponentially-fitted method for the numerical integration of the Schrödinger equation, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 112, 99-112 (2000)
- [8] Z.A. Anastassi. and T.E. Simos, Trigonometrically-Fitted Runge-Kutta Methods for the Numerical Solution of the Schrödinger Equation, Journal of Mathematical Chemistry 3, 281-293 (2005)
- [9] Z.A. Anastassi. and T.E. Simos, Trigonometrically Fitted Fifth Order Runge-Kutta Methods for the Numerical Solution of the Schrödinger Equation, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 42 (7-8), 877-886 (2005)
- [10] Z.A. Anastassi. and T.E. Simos, A Family of Exponentially-Fitted Runge-Kutta Methods with Exponential Order up to Three for the Numerical Solution of the Schrödinger Equation, Journal of Mathematical Chemistry, Article in Press, Corrected Proof
- [11] H. Van de Vyver, An embedded exponentially fitted Runge-Kutta-Nyström method for the numerical solution of orbital problems, New Astronomy, 11, 8, 577-587 (2006)
- [12] H. Van de Vyver, A symplectic exponentially fitted modified Runge-Kutta-Nyström method for the numerical integration of orbital problems, New Astronomy, 10, 4, 261-269 (2005)
- [13] L.Gr. Ixaru, M. Rizea, A Numerov-like scheme for the numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation in the deep continuum spectrum of energies, Comp. Phys. Comm. 19, 23-27 (1980)

- [14] J.D. Lambert and I.A. Watson, Symmetric multistep methods for periodic initial values problems, J. Inst. Math. Appl. 18 189202 (1976)
- [15] J.P. Coleman, L.Gr. Ixaru, P-stability and exponential-fitting methods for y'' = f(x, y), IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis 16, 179-199 (1996)
- [16] D.G. Quinlan and S. Tremaine, Symmetric Multistep Methods for the Numerical Integration of Planetary Orbits, The Astronomical Journal, 100, 5, 1694-1700 (1990)
- [17] J.M. Franco, M. Palacios, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 30, 1 (1990)
- [18] T.E. Simos, P-stable Four-Step Exponentially-Fitted Method for the Numerical Integration of the Schrödinger Equation, CoLe, 1, 1, 37-45 (2005)
- [19] T.E. Simos, Closed Newton-Cotes Trigonometrically-Fitted Formulae for Numerical Integration of the Schrödinger Equation, CoLe, 1, 3, 45-57 (2007)
- [20] G. Psihoyios, A Block Implicit Advanced Step-point (BIAS) Algorithm for Stiff Differential Systems, CoLe, 1-2, 2, 51-58 (2006)
- [21] L. Aceto, R. Pandolfi, D. Trigiante, Stability Analysis of Linear Multistep Methods via Polynomial Type Variation, JNAIAM, 2, 1-2, 1-9 (2007)
- [22] S. D. Capper, J. R. Cash and D. R. Moore, Lobatto-Obrechkoff Formulae for 2nd Order Two-Point Boundary Value Problems, JNAIAM, 1, 1, 13-25 (2006)
- [23] S. D. Capper and D. R. Moore, On High Order MIRK Schemes and Hermite-Birkhoff Interpolants, JNAIAM, 1, 1, 27-47 (2006)
- [24] J. R. Cash, N. Sumarti, T. J. Abdulla and I. Vieira, The Derivation of Interpolants for Nonlinear Two-Point Boundary Value Problems, JNAIAM, 1, 1, 49-58 (2006)
- [25] J. R. Cash and S. Girdlestone, Variable Step Runge-Kutta-Nyström Methods for the Numerical Solution of Reversible Systems, JNAIAM, 1, 1, 59-80 (2006)
- [26] J. R. Cash and F. Mazzia, Hybrid Mesh Selection Algorithms Based on Conditioning for Two-Point Boundary Value Problems, JNAIAM, 1, 1, 81-90 (2006)
- [27] F. Iavernaro, F. Mazzia and D. Trigiante, Stability and Conditioning in Numerical Analysis, JNAIAM, 1, 1, 91-112 (2006)
- [28] F. Iavernaro and D. Trigiante, Discrete Conservative Vector Fields Induced by the Trapezoidal Method, JNAIAM, 1, 1, 113-130 (2006)
- [29] F. Mazzia, A. Sestini and D. Trigiante, BS Linear Multistep Methods on Non-uniform Meshes, JNAIAM, 1, 1, 131-144 (2006)

- [30] G. Vanden Berghe and M. Van Daele, Exponentially-fitted Stormer/Verlet methods, JNAIAM, 1, 3, 241-255 (2006)
- [31] T.E. Simos and P.S. Williams, A new Runge-Kutta-Nyström method with phase-lag of order infinity for the numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 45, 123-137 (2002)
- [32] T.E. Simos, Multiderivative methods for the numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 50, 7-26 (2004)
- [33] G. Psihoyios and T.E. Simos, A family of fifth algebraic order trigonometrically fitted P-C schemes for the numerical solution of the radial Schrödinger equation, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 53, 321-344 (2005)
- [34] D.P. Sakas and T.E. Simos, Trigonometrically-fitted multiderivative methods for the numerical solution of the radial Schrödinger equation, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 53, 299-320 (2005)
- [35] T.E. Simos and I. Gutman, Papers presented on the International Conference on Computational Methods in Sciences and Engineering (Castoria, Greece, September 12-16, 2003), MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 53 (2): A3-A4 (2005)