

Trees with maximal second Zagreb index and prescribed number of vertices of the given degree

Damir Vukičević,^a Sarah Michele Rajtmajer^b and Nenad Trinajstić^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, The University of Split, Teslina 12, HR-21000 Split, Croatia

^bDepartment of Mathematics, The University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 50, HR-10001 Zagreb, Croatia

^cThe Rugjer Bošković Institute, Bijenička cesta 54, HR-10001 Zagreb, Croatia

(Received October 8, 2007)

Abstract

In this paper we present a simple algorithm for calculating the maximal value of the second Zagreb index for trees with prescribed number of vertices of given degree. The user needs only to input values $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_\Delta$ where n_i is the number of vertices of degree i . The algorithm outputs the edge connectivity values m_{ij} as well as the maximal value of the second Zagreb index. The complexity of the algorithm is proportional to Δ^3 , where Δ is maximal degree. Since complexity is independent of the number of vertices, for chemical trees that have $\Delta \leq 4$ the algorithm works in constant time no matter how large the molecule is.

Introduction

The Zagreb Indices [4, 5] belong to the earliest molecular descriptors. They have found many practical uses and their properties have been continuously studied (see [4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13] and references therein). The second Zagreb index, denoted by M_2 , is defined by:

$$M_2(T) = \sum_{uv \in E(T)} d(u) \cdot d(v),$$

where $E(T)$ is the set of edges of a tree T and $d(u)$ is the degree of vertex u . Various properties of this index have been extensively studied [1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16]. Here we report a simple approach to calculate the maximal value of M_2 for trees with a fixed number of vertices of a given degree.

Main results

Let T be any tree, i.e., any simple acyclic connected graph. By $E(T)$ we denote the set of edges of T , by $e(T)$ number of its edges and by $n(T)$ number of its vertices; and by Δ maximal degree. By m_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq j \leq \Delta$, we denote the number of edges that connect vertices of degrees i and j and by n_i , $i=1, \dots, \Delta$, number of vertices of degree i . Let us start with the auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 1. Let T be a tree with maximal Zagreb index with n_i vertices of degree i and maximal degree Δ and let T_k be a subgraph induced by vertices of degree $\geq k$. Then T_k is connected.

Proof: If $k=1$, the claim is obvious. Hence, suppose that $k \geq 2$. Suppose to the contrary, that T_k is not connected. Let $u, v \in V(T_k)$ be two vertices in different components of T_k that are on the smallest distance in T and let $uv_1 \dots v_p v$ be a path (in T) from u to v . Note that $v_1, \dots, v_p \notin V(T_k)$. Let $T(u)$ be the component of graph $T - uv_1$ that contains vertex u . Since, $T(u)$ is tree, it contains at least one vertex $w \neq u$ of degree 1. Let $uw_1 \dots w_{q-1} w_q (= w)$ be a path from u to w . Let w_r be the first vertex of degree $< k$ on this path (such vertex exists, because $d(w) < k$). Let us observe path $w_r w_{r-1} \dots w_1 u v_1 \dots v_p v$. Let T' be a graph defined by $T' = T - w_r w_{r-1} - v_p v + w_{r-1} v + v_p w_r$. Note that T' is tree and that all vertices in T' and T have the same degree. Hence,

$$M_2(T') - M_2(T) = d(w_{r-1}) \cdot d(v) + d(v_p) \cdot d(w_r) - d(w_r) \cdot d(w_{r-1}) - d(v_p) \cdot d(v).$$

Since, $d(w_{r-1}), d(v) \geq k > d(w_r), d(w_p)$, from the Chebishev inequality it follows that $M_2(T') - M_2(T) > 0$, which is in contradiction with maximality of T . ■

Now, we can prove our main result.

Theorem 2. Let T be a tree with maximal Zagreb index with n_i vertices of degree i and maximal degree Δ . Then,

1) $m_{\Delta\Delta} = n_{\Delta} - 1$;

2) $m_{ij} = \min \left\{ n_i - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\Delta} m_{ik}, j \cdot n_j - \sum_{k=i+1}^j m_{kj} - \sum_{k=j}^{\Delta} m_{jk} \right\}$ for each $1 \leq i < j \leq \Delta$;

3) $m_{ii} = n_i - \sum_{k=i+1}^{\Delta} m_{ik}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, \Delta - 1$.

Proof: Suppose to the contrary. Let T be a tree that contradicts the assumptions of the Theorem and let (i, j) be the largest pair according to lexicographical order such that m_{ij} contradicts the assumptions of the theorem. Distinguish five cases:

CASE 1: $i < j$ and $m_{ij} < \min \left\{ n_i - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\Delta} m_{ik}, j \cdot n_j - \sum_{k=i+1}^j m_{kj} - \sum_{k=j}^{\Delta} m_{jk} \right\}$.

It follows that there is a vertex u_j of degree j adjacent to vertex u_q of degree $q < i$. Also, it follows that there is a vertex u_i' of degree i that is not adjacent to any vertex of degree $\geq j$. Lemma 1 implies that T_i and T_j are trees. Note that $T_i - T_j$ is a forest and each component has exactly one vertex incident to T_j . Note that component of $T_i - T_j$ that contains u_i' is not an isolated vertex and hence it has at least two leaves. Let u_i be a leaf that is not adjacent to any vertex in T_j . Hence, u_i is a leaf in T_i without neighbors of degree $\geq j$. Denote by u_i'' its only neighbor in T_i (which is in $T_i - T_j$, hence of degree $< j$). Note that $T_i - u_i$ is connected

and it contains vertices u_j and u_i . Hence, $T' = T - u_i u_j + u_i u_q + u_j u_i$ is also a tree and has all degrees equal as T , but

$$M_2(T') - M_2(T) = d(u_i) \cdot d(u_q) + d(u_j) \cdot d(u_i) - d(u_i) \cdot d(u_j) - d(u_i) \cdot d(u_q).$$

Since, $d(u_q) < d(u_i)$ and $d(u_i) < d(u_j)$, from Cebishev inequality it follows that $M_2(T') - M_2(T) > 0$, which is in contradiction with maximality of T .

CASE 2: $i = j < \Delta$ and $m_{ii} \neq n_i - \sum_{k=i+1}^{\Delta} m_{ik}$.

From Lemma 1, it follows that T_i is tree and T_{i+1} is its subtree. Hence,

$$m_{ii} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{\Delta} m_{ik} = e(T_i) - e(T_{i+1}) = n(T_i) - n(T_{i+1}) = n_i \text{ which is a contradiction.}$$

CASE 3: $i = j = \Delta$ and $m_{\Delta\Delta} \neq n_{\Delta-1}$.

This is in contradiction with the fact that T_{Δ} is a tree.

CASE 4: $i < j$ and $m_{ij} > j \cdot n_j - \sum_{k=i+1}^j m_{kj} - \sum_{k=j}^{\Delta} m_{jk}$.

In this case, we have $\sum_{k=1}^i m_{kj} + \sum_{k=i+1}^j m_{kj} + \sum_{k=j}^{\Delta} m_{jk} = j \cdot n_j < m_{ij} + \sum_{k=i+1}^j m_{kj} + \sum_{k=j}^{\Delta} m_{jk}$ which is an obvious contradiction.

CASE 5: $i < j$ and $m_{ij} > n_i - \sum_{k=j+1}^{\Delta} m_{ik}$.

Let T' be a subgraph of T induced by vertices of degree i and vertices of degree $\geq j$. Note that T' is acyclic and contains tree T_j . Hence, $m_{ij} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\Delta} m_{ik} = e(T_i) - e(T_{i+1}) \leq n(T') - n(T_j) = n_i$, which is a contradiction.

All the cases are exhausted and the Theorem is proved. ■

Theorem 2 gives explicit formulas for calculation of m_{ij} for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq \Delta$ by the following algorithm:

Put $i = j = \Delta$

Calculate $m_{\Delta\Delta}$

While $i \geq 1$

 While $j \geq i$

 Calculate m_{ij}

 End While

End While

This double loop is executed $\Delta \cdot (\Delta + 1) / 2$ times and in each calculation there are at most 3Δ operations that gives the algorithm of the complexity $\square \Delta^3$. After that $M_2(T)$ can be easily calculated as: $M_2(T) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq \Delta} m_{ij} \cdot i \cdot j$, which can be done in $\square \Delta^2$ operations. Note that the algorithm gives not only value of M_2 but also all connectivities m_{ij} . By small modifications, one could easily generate the algorithm that also produces one tree with the maximal Zagreb index. Of course, it may be the case that more than one graph obtain the maximal Zagreb index (moreover, it can be shown that their number is non-polynomial in the number of vertices), hence generation of all graphs with the maximal Zagreb index is a much more complex task.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported in part by the Ministry of Science, Education and Sports of Croatia (Grants no. 177-0000000-0884 and 098-1770495-2919).

References

1. J. Braun, A. Kerber, M. Meringer, C. Rücker, Similarity of molecular indices: The equivalence of Zagreb indices and walk counts, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **54** (2005) 163-176.
2. K.C. Das, I. Gutman, Some properties of the second Zagreb index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **52** (2004) 103-112.
3. H. Deng, A unified approach to the extremal Zagreb indices for trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **57** (2007) 597-616.
4. I. Gutman, B. Ruščić, N. Trinajstić, C.F. Wilcox, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. XII. Acyclic polyenes, *J. Chem. Phys.* **62** (1975) 3399-3405.
5. I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535-538.
6. P. Hansen, D. Vukičević, Comparing Zagreb indices, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **80** (2007) 165-168.
7. D. Janežič, A. Miličević, S. Nikolić, N. Trinajstić, *Graph-Theoretical Matrices in Chemistry*, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2007, pp. 34-38.
8. B. Liu, I. Gutman, Upper bounds for Zagreb indices of connected graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **55** (2006) 439-446.
9. S. Nikolić, G. Kovačević, A. Miličević, N. Trinajstić, The Zagreb indices 30 years after, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **76** (2003) 113-124.
10. R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, *Handbook of Molecular Descriptors*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000.
11. N. Trinajstić, *Chemical Graph Theory*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992, 2nd revised edition.
12. D. Vukičević, J. Sedlar, S.M. Rajtmajer, A graph theoretical method for partial ordering of alkanes, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **80** (2007) 169-179.
13. D. Vukičević, N. Trinajstić, Modified Zagreb M_2 index – Comparison with the Randić connectivity index for benzenoid systems, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **76** (2003) 183-187.
14. D. Vukičević, N. Trinajstić, On the discriminatory power of the Zagreb indices for molecular graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **53** (2005) 113-118.
15. B. Zhou, Zagreb indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **52** (2004) 113-118.
16. B. Zhou, I. Gutman, Further properties of Zagreb indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **54** (2005) 233-239.