#### MATCH

Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry

ISSN 0340 - 6253

# The Connectivity Index \*

Mei LU1 Huiqing LIU2 Feng TIAN2§

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China <sup>2</sup>Institute of Systems Science, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China.

(Received November 13, 2003)

#### Abstract

Let G be a simple connected graph of order n. The connectivity index  $R_{\alpha}(G)$  of a graph G is the sum of the weights  $(d(u)d(v))^{\alpha}$  of all edges uv of G, where  $\alpha$  is a real number  $(\alpha \neq 0)$ , and d(u) denotes the degree of the vertex u. In this paper, we present some new bounds for the connectivity index of a graph G in terms of the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix or adjacency matrix of the graph G, from which we can get some known results.

#### 1. Introduction

The connectivity index of an organic molecule whose molecular graph is G is defined (see [5, 12]) as

$$R_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{u,v} (d(u)d(v))^{\alpha}$$

where d(u) denotes the degree of the vertex u of the molecular graph G, where the summation goes over all pairs of adjacent vertices of G and where  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha \neq 0$ ) is a pertinently chosen exponent. In 1975, Randić introduced the respective structure-descriptor in [12] for  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$  (which he called the *branching index*, and now also called the *Randić index*) in his study of alkanes. The Randić index has been closely correlated with many chemical properties (see [11]). However, other choices of  $\alpha$  were also considered, and the exponent  $\alpha$  was treated (see [2, 3, 13]) as an adjustable parameter, chosen so as to optimize the correlation between  $R_{\alpha}$  and some selected class of organic compounds. In particular, when ordering isometric alkanes with regard to their connectivity indices one needs to take into account that there exist pairs of isomers whose  $R_{\alpha}$ -values coincide for all  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha \neq 0$ ) (see [8]).

<sup>\*</sup>This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 60172005, 19831080).

<sup>&#</sup>x27;email: mlu@math.tsinghua.edu.cu

Tenrail: liuhuiqing@amss.ac.cn

bemail. Itian@staff.iss.ac.cu

Let G = (V, E) be a simple graph with m edges and vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . For any two vertices  $v_i, v_j \in V(G)$  with i < j, we will use the symbol  $i \sim j$  to denote the edge  $v_i v_j$ . For  $v_i \in V$ , the degree of  $v_i$ , written by  $d_i$ , is the number of edges incident with  $v_i$ .

Let A(G) be the adjacency matrix of G and  $D(G) = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$  be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees. The Laplacian matrix of G is L(G) = D(G) - A(G). Clearly, L(G) is a real symmetric matrix. From this fact and Geršgorin's Theorem, it follows that its eigenvalues are nonnegative real numbers. The largest eigenvalue of a matrix M is denoted by  $\lambda_1(M)$ , while for a graph G, we will use  $\lambda_i(G)$  to denote  $\lambda_i(L(G))$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,n$  and assume that  $\lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n-1}(G) \geq \lambda_n(G) = 0$ . We also use  $\rho(G)$  to denote the largest eigenvalue of A(G). When G is connected, A(G) is irreducible and so by Perron-Frobenius Theorem,  $\rho(G)$  is simple.

Chemical applications of the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix are well known and are described in many textbooks and review articles (for a recent review, containing an extensive bibliography, see [4]). Laplacian eigenvalues found chemical applications only relatively recently; for details and further references see [6, 7, 15]. The main chemical applications of Laplacian eigenvalues are in the theory of the Wiener and Kirchhoff indices, and resistance distance [7, 15] and in the theory of photoelectron spectra and ionization potentials of alkanes [6]. So far, no connection between Laplacian eigenvalues and the connectivity index has been reported.

The purpose of this work is to find bounds for the values of  $R_{\alpha}(G)$ . These bounds involve the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix or adjacency matrix of a graph G.

## 2. Bounds Involving the Eigenvalues of the Laplacian Matrix

Let G be a simple connected graph and L(G)=D(G)-A(G) be the Laplacian matrix of G. It is well known that  $\lambda_n(G)=0$  with eigenvector  $e=(1,1,\cdots,1)^T$  and  $\lambda_{n-1}(G)>0$  by G being connected. Since L(G) is symmetric, by the Rayleigh-Ritz Theorem (see for example [10]), we have

$$\lambda_{n-1}(G) = \inf_{\substack{x \mid 1 \in x \neq 0}} \frac{x^T L(G)x}{x^T x} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\lambda_1(G) = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^T L(G)x}{x^T x}.$$
 (2)

Now we introduce the graph invariant k as

$$k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{2\alpha} - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{\alpha}\right)^2}{n}.$$
 (3)

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,  $k \ge 0$  and k = 0 if and only if  $d_i = d_j$  for  $1 \le i, j \le n$ .

Theorem 1. Let G be a simple connected graph of order n. Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{n} d_r^{2\alpha+1} - \frac{k}{2} \lambda_1(G) \le R_\alpha(G) \le \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{n} d_r^{2\alpha+1} - \frac{k}{2} \lambda_{n+1}(G). \tag{1}$$

where k is the graph invariant. Moreover,  $R_{\alpha}(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{2\alpha+1}$  (and k = 0) if and only if G is a regular graph.

**Proof.** If G is an s-regular graph of order n, then  $R_{\alpha}(G) = \frac{n}{2}s^{2\alpha+1}$  and k = 0 by an elementary calculation. Therefore the inequalities (4) hold. Conversely, if  $R_{\alpha}(G) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}d_{i}^{2\alpha+1}$ , then k = 0 and thus G is a regular graph obviously.

So in the following we assume that G is not regular.

Set  $d = (d_1^{\alpha}, d_2^{\alpha}, \dots, d_n^{\alpha})^T$  and  $\theta = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^{\alpha}}{n}$ . Denote  $x = \theta e + d$ . Then  $x \neq 0$  by G being not regular and  $x \perp e$ . A simple calculation shows that  $x^T x = k > 0$ . On the other hand, since L(G)e = 0,  $x^T L(G)x = d^T L(G)d$ . Note that

$$d^{T}L(G)d = d^{T}(D-A)d = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2\alpha+1} - 2\sum_{i \sim j} d_{i}^{\alpha} d_{j}^{\alpha}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2\alpha+1} - 2R_{\alpha}(G),$$

where  $i \sim j$  runs over all the edges of G. Thus by (1) and (2), we have

$$\lambda_{n-1}(G) \le \frac{x^T L(G)x}{x^T x} \le \lambda_1(G)$$

and (4) follows immediately.

Since  $k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{2\alpha} - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{\alpha}\right)^2}{n} \ge 0$  and  $\lambda_{n-1}(G) > 0$  if G is connected, we have the following two corollaries.

Corollary 2. Let G be a simple connected graph of order n and  $\alpha$  be a real number with  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then

$$R_{\alpha}(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{2\alpha+1}$$

with equality if and only if G is a regular graph.

Corollary 3. Let G be a simple connected graph of order n. Then

$$R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

with equality if and only if G is a regular graph.

Corollary 4. Let G be a simple non-regular graph of order n and  $\alpha$  be a real number with  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then

$$R_{\alpha}(G) \ge \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2\alpha+1} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{\alpha} \right)^{2} - n \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2\alpha} \right)$$

with equality if G is the star.

**Proof.** Since  $\lambda_1 \leq n$ , by Theorem 1, we have  $R_{\alpha}(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{2\alpha+1} - \frac{1}{2}kn$ . Thus

$$R_{\alpha}(G) \ge \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2\alpha+1} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{\alpha} \right)^{2} - n \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2\alpha} \right)$$

If  $G=K_{1,n-1}$ , then we can assume that  $d_1=n-1,\ d_2=\cdots=d_n=1.$  Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2\alpha+1} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} - n \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2\alpha}$$

$$= (n-1+(n-1)^{2\alpha+1}) + (n-1+(n-1)^{\alpha})^2 - n(n-1+(n-1)^{2\alpha})$$
  
=  $2(n-1)^{\alpha+1} = 2R_{\alpha}(G)$ .

## 3. Bounds Involving the Eigenvalues of the Adjacency Matrix

Let A(G) be the adjacency matrix of graph G and  $\rho(G)$  the largest eigenvalue of A(G).

Theorem 5. Let G be a simple graph of order n. Then

$$R_{\alpha}(G) \le \frac{1}{2}\rho(G)\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{2\alpha}$$
 (5)

with equality if G is a regular graph.

**Proof.** Note that A(G) is symmetric. By the Rayleigh-Ritz Theorem (see for example [10]), we have

$$\rho(G) = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^T A(G)x}{x^T x}.$$
 (6)

Set  $y = (d_1^{\alpha}, d_2^{\alpha}, \dots, d_n^{\alpha})^T$ . Then

$$y^T y = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^{2\alpha}$$

and

$$y^T A(G)y = 2R_{\alpha}(G).$$

Thus by (6), we have

$$R_{\alpha}(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho(G)\sum_{i=1}^{n}d_{i}^{2\alpha}.$$

If G is an s-regular graph, then  $R_{\alpha}(G) = \frac{n}{2}s^{2\alpha+1}$  by an elementary calculation. On the other hand,  $\rho(G) = s$  if G is s-regular. Thus the equality (5) holds.

In [9], Hofmeister showed that  $\rho(G) \ge \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2}$ , with equality if and only if G is either a regular connected graph or a semiregular connected bipartite graph. Therefore we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 \le n\rho^2(G). \tag{7}$$

By Theorem 5 and (7), we have the following corollary:

Corollary 6. Let G be a simple graph of order n. Then

$$R_1(G) \le \frac{n}{2}\rho^3(G). \tag{8}$$

with equality if G is a regular connected graph.

Remark 7. For a graph G = (V, E) with size m. Bollobás and Erdős [1] prove that

$$B_1(G) \le m \left(\frac{\sqrt{8m+1}-1}{2}\right)^T \tag{9}$$

The bounds (8) and (9) are incomparable: for example, when  $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}$ , the upper bound (8) is  $n^4/16$  and better than (9); however, when  $G \cong K_{1,n-1}$ ,  $n \ge 10$ , the upper bound (9) is better than (8).

Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Denote

$$b(\alpha) = 2^{-\alpha} n^{\alpha} m^{1-\alpha}.$$

Obviously,  $b(\alpha)b(-\alpha)=m^2$ . Then Corollary 6 can be generalized to the following result.

Theorem 8. Let G = (V, E) be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then

$$R_{\alpha}(G) \geq b(\alpha)\rho^{3\alpha}(G)$$

for  $-1 \le \alpha < 0$ , and

$$R_{\alpha}(G) < b(\alpha)\rho^{3\alpha}(G)$$

for  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ .

**Proof.** For  $\alpha = 1$ , the result follows by Corollary 6. Therefore in the following proof, we may assume that  $\alpha \neq 1$ . Suppose first that  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and set  $\beta = 1 - \alpha$ ,  $s = 1/\alpha$ ,  $t = 1/\beta$ . Then 1/s + 1/t = 1. By Hölder's inequality and Corollary 6, we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} R_{\alpha}(G) & = & \displaystyle \sum_{i \sim j} (d_i d_j)^{\alpha} \cdot 1^{\beta} \\ \\ & \leq & \displaystyle \left( \displaystyle \sum_{i \sim j} (d_i d_j)^{\alpha s} \right)^{1/s} \left( \displaystyle \sum_{i \sim j} 1 \right)^{1/t} \\ \\ & = & \displaystyle R_1^{\alpha}(G) m^{\beta} \leq b(\alpha) \rho^{3\alpha}(G). \end{array}$$

On the other hand, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, for  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we have

$$m = \sum_{i \sim j} (d_i d_j)^{\alpha/2} (d_i d_j)^{-\alpha/2}$$

$$\leq \left( \sum_{i \sim j} (d_i d_j)^{\alpha} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i \sim j} (d_i d_j)^{-\alpha} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= R_0^{1/2} (G) R_{-\alpha}^{1/2} (G).$$

That is.

$$R_{\alpha}(G)R_{-\alpha}(G) \ge m^2$$
.

Therefore, if  $-1 \le \alpha < 0$ , then

$$R_{\alpha}(G) \ge \frac{m^2}{R_{-\alpha}(G)} \ge \frac{b(\alpha)b(-\alpha)}{b(-\alpha)\rho^{-3\alpha}(G)} = b(\alpha)\rho^{3\alpha}(G).$$

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggstions.

# References

- [1] B. Bollobás and P. Erdős, Graphs of extremal weights, Ars Combin. 50(1998), 225-233.
- [2] E. Estrada, Connectivity polynomial and long-range contributions in the molecular connectivity model, Chem. Phys. Lett. 312(1999), 556-560.
- [3] E. Estrada, Graph theoretical invariant of Randic revisited, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 35(1995), 1022-1025.
- [4] I. Gutman, Impact of the Sachs theorem on theoretical chemistry: A participant's testimony, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 48(2003), 17-34.
- [5] I. Gutman and M. Lepović, Choosing the exponent in the definition of the connectivity, J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 66(2001), 605-611.
- [6] I. Gutman and D. Vidović, The largest eigenvalues of adjacency and Laplacian matrices, and ionization potentials of alkanes, Indian J. Chem. 41A (2002), 893-896.
- [7] I. Gutman, D. Vidović and B. Furtula, Chemical applications of the Laplacian spectrum.VII. Studies of the Wiener and Kirchhoff indices, Indian J. Chem. 42A (2003), 1272-1278.
- [8] I. Gutman, D. Vidović and A. Nedić, Ordering of alkane isomers by means of connectivity indices, J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 67(2)(2002), 87-97.
- [9] M. Hofmeister, Spectral radius and degree sequence, Math. Nachr. 139(1988), 37-44.
- [10] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [11] L.B. Kier and L.H. Hall, Molecular Connectivity in Chemistry and Drug Research, Academic Press. San Francisco, 1976.
- [12] M. Randić, On characterization of molecular branching, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 97(1975), 6609-6615.
- [13] M. Randić, P.J. Hansen and P.C. Jurs, Search for useful graph theoretical invariants of Molecular structure, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 28(1988), 60-68.
- [14] R.P. Stanley. A bound on the spectral radius of graphs with e edges, Linear Algebra and its Application 87(1987), 267-269.
- [15] W. Xiao and I. Gutman, On resistance matrices. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 49(2003), 67-81.