

## RELATIONS BETWEEN RESISTANCE AND LAPLACIAN MATRICES AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

Wenjun Xiao<sup>a</sup> and Ivan Gutman<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Computer Science, South China University of Technology,  
Guangzhou 510641, P. R. China  
e-mail: wjxiao@scut.edu.cn*

<sup>b</sup>*Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Serbia & Montenegro  
e-mail: gutman@knez.uis.kg.ac.yu*

(Received November 3, 2003)

### Abstract

The resistance distance  $r_{ij}$  between two vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  of a (connected, molecular) graph  $G$  is equal to the resistance between the respective two nodes of an electric network, constructed so as to correspond to  $G$ , such that the resistance of any edge is unity. The matrix  $R = \{r_{ij}\}$  is the resistance matrix of  $G$ . Let  $L$  be the Laplacian matrix of  $G$ . In this work we obtain some new relations between  $R$  and  $L$ . Using these relations we give a new proof of the formula:  $r_{ij} = \det L(i, j) / \det L[i, i]$  for  $i \neq j$ . Here  $L[i, j]$  and  $L(i, j)$  are the matrices obtained from  $L$  by deleting its  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column, and by deleting its  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th rows and columns, respectively.

## INTRODUCTION

The ordinary distance between two vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  of a (connected) graph  $G$ , denoted by  $d_{ij}$ , is defined as the length (= number of edges) of a shortest path that connects  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  [1]. The vertex-distance concept found numerous chemical applications; for details see the reviews [2, 3] and the recent papers [4-12]. In order to examine other possible metrics in (molecular) graphs the *resistance distance*, denoted by  $r_{ij}$ , has been put forward [13]. This distance is conceived as follows. To the graph  $G$  an electric network  $\mathcal{N}(G)$  is associated, obtained so that each edge of  $G$  is replaced by a resistor of unit resistance. The nodes of  $\mathcal{N}(G)$  correspond to the vertices of  $G$ . The resistance distance  $r_{ij}$  of the vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  of  $G$  is then defined as the effective resistance between the respective two nodes of  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ . The quantities  $r_{ij}$  are computed by methods of the theory of resistive electric networks (based on Ohm's and Kirchhoff's laws). For acyclic graphs  $r_{ij} = d_{ij}$  and therefore the resistance-distance-concept is primarily of interest in the case of cycle-containing (molecular) graphs.

The resistance-distance concept was much studied [13-28]. The matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is  $r_{ij}$  is called the *resistance matrix* (of the respective graph  $G$ ), and will be denoted by  $R$ . Evidently,  $R$  is symmetric, has a zero diagonal, and its order coincides with the number  $n$  of vertices of  $G$ .

Within the theory of electric networks the standard method to compute the resistance matrix [29-31] is via the so-called generalized inverse  $L^\dagger$  of the Laplacian matrix of the underlying graph  $G$ :

$$r_{ij} = (L^\dagger)_{ii} + (L^\dagger)_{jj} - (L^\dagger)_{ij} - (L^\dagger)_{ji} . \quad (1)$$

Recall that the Laplacian matrix is singular and, therefore, has no usual inverse. More on the generalized inverse of a (singular) matrix can be found elsewhere [16, 32-34].

Let  $G$  be a graph and let its vertices be labeled by  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ . The Laplacian matrix of  $G$ , denoted by  $L$  is a square matrix of order  $n$  whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is defined

by

$$L_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and the vertices } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are adjacent} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and the vertices } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are not adjacent} \\ d_i & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

where  $d_i$  is the degree (= number of first neighbors) of the vertex  $v_i$ .

By  $J$  we denote the square matrix of order  $n$  whose all elements are unity. Then for all connected graphs (with two or more vertices) the matrix  $L + \frac{1}{n} J$  is non-singular, its inverse

$$X = ||x_{ij}|| = \left( L + \frac{1}{n} J \right)^{-1}$$

exists, and [24]

$$r_{ij} = x_{ii} + x_{jj} - 2x_{ij}.$$

Thus the matrix  $R = ||r_{ij}||$  can be written as

$$R = \text{diag}[x_{11}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{nn}] J + J \text{diag}[x_{11}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{nn}] - 2X. \quad (2)$$

In this work we obtain some new relations, connecting the resistance and the Laplacian matrices. By means of these relations we give a new proof of a known formula [18, 28]:

$$r_{ij} = \frac{\det L(i, j)}{\det L[i, i]} \quad (3)$$

for  $i \neq j$ . Here  $L[i, j]$  and  $L(i, j)$  are the matrices obtained from the matrix  $L$  by deleting its  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column, and by deleting its  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th rows and columns, respectively.

## NEW RELATIONS BETWEEN THE MATRICES $R$ AND $L$

We first prove the following

**Theorem 1.** *If  $L$  and  $R$  are the Laplacian and resistance matrices, respectively, of a connected graph  $G$ , then*

$$L R L = -2L. \quad (4)$$

**Proof.** Since  $X = ||x_{ij}|| = (L + \frac{1}{n} J)^{-1}$  and  $LJ = JL = 0$ , it follows that  $LX = XL = I - \frac{1}{n} J$ , where  $I$  is the unit matrix of order  $n$ . Thus we have

$$L X L = \left( I - \frac{1}{n} J \right) L = L.$$

By Eq. (2) we further obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L R L &= L(\text{diag}[x_{11}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{nn}]J + J \text{diag}[x_{11}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{nn}] - 2X)L \\ &= -2LXL \\ &= -2L \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Identity (4) is a useful and interesting result, in spite of the fact that its proof is simple. In what follows we outline some of its applications.

Let  $M$  be any matrix of order  $n$ , and let  $\text{Tr}(M)$  denote its trace. In [15] it is proven (as Theorem B) that  $\text{Tr}(L M L R) = -2\text{Tr}(M L)$ .

We now offer a generalization of this result.

**Corollary 1.1.** *The matrices  $L M L R$  and  $-2 M L$  have the same characteristic polynomial.*

**Proof.** It is sufficient to observe that the matrix  $L M L R = L(M L R)$  has the same characteristic polynomial as  $M L R L = (M L R)L$ , and to apply Eq. (4).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.2.** *The matrices  $L R$  and  $\text{diag}[-2, \dots, -2, 0]$  are similar.*

**Proof.** We have  $(L R)^2 = L R L R = -2 L R$  and therefore the minimal polynomial of  $L R$  is  $\lambda^2 + 2\lambda$ , which has no multiple roots. Therefore  $L R$  is similar to a diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are  $-2$  and/or  $0$ . Since  $R$  is non-singular [17], the rank of  $L R$  is  $n - 1$ . Thus  $L R$  and  $\text{diag}[-2, \dots, -2, 0]$  are similar.  $\square$

In what follows by  $\delta_{ij}$  we denote the usual Kronecker delta, defined as

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,*

$$w_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n r_{1k} L_{1k} + \delta_{i1}.$$

Then

$$I + \frac{1}{2} R L = J \text{diag}[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n].$$

**Proof.** By Corollary 1.1, the rank of the matrix  $Q = I + \frac{1}{2}RL$  is 1. Hence any row of this matrix is a multiple of the first row. Since

$$\left(I + \frac{1}{2}RL\right)J = J$$

all rows of  $Q$  are the same. Therefore  $Q = J \operatorname{diag}[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]$ .  $\square$

### PROOF OF FORMULA (3)

Bearing in mind Eq. (1), the fact that  $L^\dagger$  is symmetric [34], and  $JL = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} RL &= \left(\operatorname{diag}[(L^\dagger)_{11}, (L^\dagger)_{22}, \dots, (L^\dagger)_{nn}]\right)J \\ &+ J \operatorname{diag}[(L^\dagger)_{11}, (L^\dagger)_{22}, \dots, (L^\dagger)_{nn}] - 2L^\dagger L \\ &= J \operatorname{diag}[(L^\dagger)_{11}, (L^\dagger)_{22}, \dots, (L^\dagger)_{nn}]L - 2L^\dagger L. \end{aligned}$$

Because of [34]

$$L^\dagger L = I - \frac{1}{n}J$$

we arrive at

$$RL = J \operatorname{diag}[(L^\dagger)_{11}, (L^\dagger)_{22}, \dots, (L^\dagger)_{nn}]L + \frac{2}{n}J - 2I.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^n r_{ik} L_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^n (L^\dagger)_{kk} L_{kj} + \frac{2}{n} - 2\delta_{ij} \quad (5)$$

which holds for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Setting in (5)  $i = t$  we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^n r_{tk} L_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^n (L^\dagger)_{kk} L_{kj} + \frac{2}{n} - 2\delta_{ij}$$

which subtracted from (5) yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (r_{ik} - r_{tk}) L_{kj} = 2(\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ij})$$

and which holds for  $i, j, t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . For  $i, t = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(L[n, n])^t (r_{i1} - r_{t1}, r_{i2} - r_{t2}, \dots, r_{i, n-1} - r_{t, n-1})^t \\ &= 2(\delta_{i1} - \delta_{t1}, \delta_{i2} - \delta_{t2}, \dots, \delta_{i, n-1} - \delta_{t, n-1})^t \\ &- (r_{in} - r_{tn})(L_{n1}, L_{n2}, \dots, L_{n, n-1})^t. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now, set  $i = n, t = 1$  into (6) and assume that  $n \neq 1$ . Then

$$(r_{n1}, r_{n2} - r_{12}, \dots, r_{n,n-1} - r_{1,n-1})^t$$

is the solution of the system (7) of linear equations in the variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$ :

$$(L[n, n])^t (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})^t = 2(1, 0, \dots, 0)^t + r_{1n} (L_{n1}, L_{n2}, \dots, L_{n,n-1})^t. \quad (7)$$

In order to obtain  $r_{1n}$  we have by Cramer's rule

$$\begin{aligned} \det(L[n, n])^t r_{1n} &= 2 \det L(1, n) + (-1)^n \det(L[n, 1])^t r_{1n} \\ &= 2 \det L(1, n) - \det(L[n, n])^t r_{1n}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that

$$\det(L[n, 1])^t = (-1)^{n-1} \det(L[n, n])^t$$

because

$$\sum_{k=1}^n L_{kj} = 0$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Since  $\det(L[n, n])^t = \det L[n, n]$ , we conclude that

$$r_{1n} = \det L(1, n) / \det L[n, n]. \quad (8)$$

Because the labeling of vertices of the graph  $G$  was arbitrary, whichever result holds for the vertex pair  $v_1, v_n$  must hold for any other vertex pair  $v_i, v_j$ . Thus formula (8) implies the validity of the identity (3) for any  $i, j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , provided  $i \neq j$ . In other words, we proved the (earlier known [18])

**Theorem 2.** *Eq. (3) holds for any connected graph  $G$  of order  $n > 1$ .*

*Acknowledgement.* This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China and Fujian Province, and by the Ministry of Sciences, Technologies and Development of Serbia, within the Project no. 1389.

## References

- [1] F. Buckley and F. Harary, *Distance in Graphs*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood, 1990.
- [2] B. Lučić, I. Lukovits, S. Nikolić and N. Trinajstić, Distance-related indexes in the quantitative structure-property relationship modeling, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **41** (2001) 527–535.
- [3] A. A. Dobrynin, R. Entringer and I. Gutman, Wiener index of trees: theory and applications, *Acta Appl. Math.* **66** (2001) 211–249.
- [4] M. V. Diudea, B. Părv, P. E. John, O. Ursu and A. Graovac, Distance counting in tori, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **49** (2003) 23–36.
- [5] A. A. Dobrynin, On the Wiener index decomposition for catacondensed benzenoid graphs, *Indian J. Chem.* **42A** (2003) 1270–1271.
- [6] A. A. Dobrynin, Wiener index decomposition based on vertex degrees of catacondensed benzenoid graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **49** (2003) 37–46.
- [7] A. Graovac, M. Juvan, M. Petkovšek, A. Vesel and J. Žerovnik, The Szeged index of fasciagraphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **49** (2003) 47–66.
- [8] A. A. Dobrynin and L. S. Mel'nikov, Trees and their quadratic line graphs having the same Wiener index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **50** (2004) 146–164.
- [9] M. Gorše and J. Žerovnik, A remark on modified Wiener indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **50** (2004) 109–116.
- [10] M. Stefu and M. V. Diudea, Wiener index of  $C_4H_8$  nanotubes, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **50** (2004) 133–144.
- [11] D. Vukičević and A. Graovac, On modified Wiener indices of thorn graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **50** (2004) 93–108.
- [12] H. B. Walikar, V. S. Shigehalli and H. S. Ramane, *Bounds on the Wiener number of a graph*, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **50** (2004) 117–132.
- [13] D. J. Klein and M. Randić, Resistance distance, *J. Math. Chem.* **12** (1993) 81–95.

- [14] D. Bonchev, A. T. Balaban, X. Liu and D. J. Klein, Molecular cyclicity and centrality of polycyclic graphs. I. Cyclicity based on resistance distances or reciprocal distances, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **50** (1994) 1–20.
- [15] H. Y. Zhu, D. J. Klein and I. Lukovits, Extensions of the Wiener number, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **36** (1996) 420–428.
- [16] I. Gutman and B. Mohar, The quasi-Wiener and the Kirchhoff indices coincide, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **36** (1996) 982–985.
- [17] D. J. Klein, Graph geometry, graph metrics, & Wiener, *MATCH - Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **35** (1997) 7–27.
- [18] R. B. Bapat, Resistance distance in graphs, *Math. Student* **68** (1999) 87–98.
- [19] I. Lukovits, S. Nikolić and N. Trinajstić, Resistance distance in regular graphs, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **71** (1999) 217–225.
- [20] D. J. Klein and O. Ivanciuc, Graph cyclicity, excess conductance, and resistance deficit, *J. Math. Chem.* **30** (2001) 271–287.
- [21] J. L. Palacios, Resistance distance in graphs and random walks, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **81** (2001) 29–33.
- [22] J. L. Palacios, Closed-form formulas for Kirchhoff index, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **81** (2001) 135–140.
- [23] D. J. Klein, Resistance-distance sum rules, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **75** (2002) 633–649.
- [24] D. Babić, D. J. Klein, I. Lukovits, S. Nikolić and N. Trinajstić, Resistance-distance matrix. A computational algorithm and its application, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **90** (2002) 161–176.
- [25] W. Xiao and I. Gutman, On resistance matrices, *MATCH - Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **49** (2003) 67–81.
- [26] R. B. Bapat, I. Gutman and W. Xiao, A simple method for computing resistance distance, *Z. Naturforsch.* **58a** (2003) 494–498.
- [27] I. Gutman, D. Vidović and B. Furtula, Chemical applications of the Laplacian spectrum. VII. Studies of the Wiener and Kirchhoff indices, *Indian J. Chem.* **42A** (2003) 1272–1278.



- [28] W. Xiao and I. Gutman, Resistance distance and Laplacian spectrum, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **110** (2003), in press.
- [29] S. Seshu and M. B. Read, *Linear Graphs and Electrical Networks*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1961.
- [30] J. A. Edminster, *Electric Circuits*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.
- [31] B. Bollobás, *Modern Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998, chapter 9.
- [32] A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses - Theory and Applications*, Wiley, New York, 1974.
- [33] S. L. Campbell and C. D. Meyer, *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations*, Pitman, London, 1979.
- [34] I. Gutman and W. Xiao, Generalized inverse of the Laplacian matrix and some applications, *Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts (Cl. Math. Natur.)*, in press.