ISOMERIZATION OF ALTERNANT HYDROCARBONS

Lemi TÜRKER and Ivan GUTMAN

a Middle East Technical University, Department of Chemistry, Ankara, Turkey

b Attila Jozsef University, Institute of Physical Chemistry, P.O. Box 105, H-6701 Szeged, Hungary; on leave from: Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac

(received: November 1993)

Abstract

Within the framework of the Hückel molecular orbital theory four theorems are deduced, related to the isomerization of alternant hydrocarbons.

1. Introduction

Isomerism is an interesting subject of chemistry. From the point of view of organic chemistry, the isomeric substances are classified into different categories, such as geometrical, structural, positional, optical, topological and even rotational isomers [1]. The topological investigations of isomeric structures allow one to speak of topomers, namely of isomeric structures possessing different constitutions, but having isomorphic topological subspaces [2]. The pronounced differences in the chemical properties of isomers obviously arise from various realizations of a given topology. Indeed, the topological effect on molecular orbitals [3] (TEMO) provides solid evidence that topology plays a very important role. Topomers have attracted the attention of various investigators [4-13]. In what follows, isomeric alternant hydrocarbons are studied in terms of molecular orbital energies.

2. Theory

In the present treatment of alternant hydrocarbons, rules governing the structural isomerization are considered, in which the number of atoms and carbon-carbon bonds remain constant throughout the isomerization process.

Suppose A and B are two isomeric even alternant hydrocarbons both having 2n carbon atoms and e carbon-carbon bonds. Let $X_1 \geq X_2 \geq \ldots \geq X_n > 0$ and $X_1' \geq X_2' \geq \ldots \geq X_n' > 0$ stand for the occupied molecular orbital energies of A and B, respectively.

Here and later the energies are expressed in the units of the HMO carbon-carbon resonance integral β [2]. Consequently, the numbers X_i and X_j' correspond to the eigenvalues of the molecular graphs of A and B, respectively [2]. It is further assumed that neither A nor B have non-bonding molecular orbitals; this restriction is irrelevant for the subsequent considerations, except in the case of Theorem 4.

According to a well-known relation in graph spectral theory [2],

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i})^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i}')^{2} = e .$$
 (1)

The Main Results

Theorem 1.

$$0 < \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} X_{i}' \leq e .$$

<u>Proof.</u> Define the vectors C and D in the n-dimensional Euclidean space [14] as $C = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ and $D = (X_1', X_2', ..., X_n')$. The scalar product of these vectors is given by either (2) or (3):

$$C \circ D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} X_{i}' \tag{2}$$

and

$$C \circ D = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i})^{2} \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i}')^{2} \right]^{1/2} \cos \phi$$
 (3)

where ϕ is the angle between the vectors C and D. Bearing in mind relation (1), we transform Eq. (3) into

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} X_{i}' = e \cos \phi . \tag{4}$$

The angle ϕ occurring in Eq. (4) will be called the angle of isomerization [15]. Note that this angle is zero in the case of identity (A = B) or if the molecular graphs of A and B are isospectral [18-20]. The angle of isomerization cannot be equal to $\pi/2$ because both X_1 and X_1' are occupied molecular orbitals, and thus X_1' $X_1' > 0$. Even if non-bonding MOs are permitted, the former condition will be fulfilled, at least, for i = 1. Then, obviously, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i' > 0$. Since $\cos \phi \le 1$, Theorem 1 follows. \Box

Define the quantities ΔX_i , i = 1,2,...,n , via X_i' = X_i + ΔX_i .

Theorem 2.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta X_{i}(X_{i} + X'_{i}) = 0 .$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta X_{i} (X_{i} + X_{i}') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i}' - X_{i}) (X_{i} + X_{i}') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[(X_{i})^{2} - (X_{i}')^{2} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i})^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i}')^{2}.$$

The above is equal to zero because of Eq. (1). \square

Theorem 3.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Delta X_{i})^{2} < 2e .$$

Proof.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Delta X_{i})^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X'_{i} - X_{i})^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i})^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X'_{i})^{2} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} X'_{i}.$$

Because of Theorem 1,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Delta X_{i}^{2})^{2} < \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i}^{2})^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i}^{2})^{2}$$

and now Theorem 3 follows from Eq. (1). D

<u>Theorem 4.</u> Let the characteristic polynomial of the molecular graph of A be written in the form $x^{2n} + a_1 x^{2n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1} x^2 + a_n$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Delta X_{i} / X_{i}) \le (e \ a_{n-1} / a_{n})^{1/2} - n .$$

Proof. Since $\Delta X_i/X_i = (X_i' - X_i)/X_i = (X_i'/X_i) - 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Delta X_{i} / X_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} / X_{i}) - n .$$
 (5)

On the other hand, if we introduce the n-dimensional vector E as

$$E = (1/X_{1}, 1/X_{2}, ..., 1/X_{n})$$
, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i}^{*} / X_{i}^{*}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{*} (1 / X_{i}^{*}) = D \cdot E = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i}^{*})^{2}\right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 / X_{i}^{2})\right]^{1/2} \cos \beta$$
(6)

where β is the angle between D and E. The relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1/X_i^2) = a_{n-1}/a_n \tag{7}$$

was deduced elsewhere [21]. Substituting (6) and (7) back into formula (5),

we readily obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Delta X_{i}/X_{i}) = (e \ a_{n-1}/a_{n})^{1/2} \cos \beta - n$$

from which Theorem 4 follows immediately. \square

3. Conclusion

The above theorems, proved here for isomeric even alternant hydrocarbons are also true for odd alternants. The reason for this is obvious: for an odd alternant hydrocarbon with 2n+1 carbons atoms the (n+1)-th molecular orbital is necessarilt non-bonding [2], i.e. $X_{n+1}=0$. We can simply disregard this energy level and treat the odd system as an even system with 2n carbon atoms, whose bonding energy levels are X_1 , X_2 ,..., X_n . As already mentioned, if additional non-bonding MOs exist, then one must be cautious in the case of Theorem 4, whereas the validity of the Theorems 1-3 is not affected.

On the other hand, the theorems presented above are true for all sorts of structural isomers of alternant hydrocarbons, irrespective of any difference in their gross or fine topologies.

References

- [1] V.P.Potapov, Stereochemistry, Mir, Moscow 1978.
- [2] I.Gutman and O.E.Polansky, Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1986.
- [3] O.E. Polansky and M. Zander, J. Mol. Struct. 84, 361 (1982).
- [4] O.E. Polansky, M. Zander and I. Motoc, Z. Naturforsch. 38a 196 (1983).
- [5] A. Graovac, I. Gutman and O. E. Polansky, Monatsh. Chem. 115, 1 (1984).
- [6] A. Graovac and O. E. Polansky, Croat. Chem. Acta 57, 1595 (1984).
- [7] O.E.Polansky, J.Mol.Struct. 113, 281 (1984).
- [8] O.E. Polansky, Math. Chem. 18, 111 (1985).
- [9] O.E. Polansky, Math. Chem. 18, 167 (1985).
- [10] O. E. Polansky and G. Mark, Math. Chem. 18, 249 (1985).

- [11] I. Gutman, A. Graovac and O. E. Polansky, Chem. Phys. Letters 116, 206 (1985).
- [12] A. Graovac, I. Gutman and O. E. Polansky, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. II 81, 1543 (1986).
- [13] I. Gutman, A. Graovac and O. E. Polansky, Discrete Appl. Math. 19, 195 (1988).
- [14] N.V.Efimov and E.R.Rozendron, Linear and Multidimensional Geometry, Mir, Moscow 1975.
- [15] The angle of isomerization is closely related to the so-called "almost-isospectrality measure" (AIM), recently introduced by Gutman and Marković [16] and eventually applied to a vast number of benzenoid hydrocarbons [17].
- [16] I. Gutman and S. Marković, Chem. Phys. Letters 185, 473 (1991).
- [17] D. Babić, I. Gutman, S. Marković and M. Stanković, Math. Chem. 28, 3 (1992).
- [18] W.C. Herndon and M.L. Ellzey, Math. Chem. 20, 53 (1986).
- [19] L. Türker, Math. Chem. 25, 195 (1990).
- [20] I.S. Dmitriev, Molecules without Chemical Bonds, Mir, Moscow 1981.
- [21] I. Gutman and D. H. Rouvray, Chem. Phys. Letters 62, 334 (1979).